

LEBANON, CONFESSIONALISM, PROTESTS: CHALLENGING THE ANCIENT REGIME

EDITED BY PATRIZIA MANDUCHI

CONTRIBUTION BY NOMA ZIADEH-MIKATI, FRANCISCO BARROSO, ASAAD SADAKA
AND WALID RAAD



Università di Cagliari
Dipartimento di Scienze Politiche
e Sociali



Unione delle Università del Mediterraneo
Mediterranean Universities Union
Union des Universités de la Méditerranée
إتحاد الجامعات المتوسطية



Ministero degli Affari Esteri
e della Cooperazione Internazionale

Lebanon, confessionalism, protests:
challenging the ancient regime

Working Paper

1

Edited by
Patrizia Manduchi

Contribution by
Noma Ziadeh-Mikati, Francisco Barroso, Asaad Sadaka and Walid Raad

Lebanon, confessionalism, protests: challenging the ancient regime
Edited by Patrizia Manduchi
Coordination: Marco Di Donato, Eugenio Platania
First edition: September 2021

This report is published by UNIMED - Unione delle Università del Mediterraneo with the support of the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation, in accordance with Article 23- bis of the Decree of the President of the Italian Republic 18/1967.

The opinions expressed are those of the authors. They do not reflect the opinions or views of the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation.

The report “Lebanon, confessionalism, protests: challenging the ancient regime” has been developed in the framework of the project IRHAL - For an analysis of the Lebanese protests and the role of the youth beyond the paradigm of confessionalism, financed by the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation and coordinated by Marco Di Donato (UNIMED) and Eugenio Platania (UNIMED)

Photo freely downloaded from Unsplash (<https://unsplash.com/license>)
Author of photo: Charbel Karam
Unsplash photos are made to be used freely. Unsplash license reflects that.
- All photos can be downloaded and used for free
- Commercial and non-commercial purposes
- No permission needed

Impaginazione: in fase di revisione da parte della casa editrice UNICApres
(<https://unicapress.unica.it/>)

INDEX

- 9 *IRHAL - For an analysis of Lebanese protests and the role of youth beyond the paradigm of confessionality*
Patrizia Manduchi – University of Cagliari
- 13 *Reflections on 2019 Lebanese Protests: Seeds of change and the role of University Institutions*
Noma Ziadeh-Mikati - Lebanese University
- 23 *Lebanese youth facing sectarian forms of political subjectivization: The Art of Political Demobilization*
Francisco Barroso - Holy Spirit University of Kaslik (USEK)
- 33 *The ancien regime vs protest movements views: comparing narrative and expectations*
Asaad Sadaka and Walid Raad – Lebanese International University (LIU)
- 52 Authors

IRHAL - For an analysis of Lebanese protests and the role of youth beyond the paradigm of confessionalism

Patrizia Manduchi – University of Cagliari

Irhal means: *Leave!* It is, as known, one of the most widespread slogans in the language of the so-called Arab uprisings, and it has been used as a slogan also in the recent Lebanese uprising of 2019. This simple word, or better, this imperative verbal form, represents very well the cry of protesters' demands in the popular uprisings arose across almost all the Arab world – uprisings and protests that strongly asked for answers to quests coming from below, from civil society in a context of political, economic, social and cultural crisis – the popular quest for a political and social change, against the repressive, ineffective, corrupt policies by authoritarian, neo-patrimonial regimes.

The focus of our project is the analysis of the political dynamics which have been shaking Lebanon since 2019, to try to understand the future prospects of this country which, after decades of dramatic political conflicts, is now undergoing deep, protracted and overlapping (economic, social, political) crises, whose end is hard to see.

Lebanon is not so far from Italy in the Mediterranean and has always been, for its strategic position and its political role, a pivotal country for regional policy and geopolitical developments (see, for instance, the current rivalry between Saudi Arabia and Iran). Therefore, it is not difficult to understand why it is so important in the action of Italian foreign policy, in particular in its Mediterranean perspective. Indeed, since 1982, Italian armed forces are consistently present in the country through the UNIFIL mission. Furthermore, Italy is the second most important European import partner of Lebanon. Therefore, understanding the short-term political trajectories of the country represents a priority of primary importance for the Italian foreign policy agenda, especially in a moment, like the current one, where Lebanon is struggling between growing political instability, a very serious economic crisis and increasingly heated protest movements.

To come back to our project, we all know that the Lebanese system has been historically based on a sectarian division of the state offices, the country's institutional architecture, and the division of powers, with significant backlashes also on the sectarian division of society.

But, especially in the past decade, the academic and political debate is better focusing on the limits of this analytical and political understanding of Lebanese politics. About this, I would like to mention here a very useful and agile (synthetic) instrument for understanding this change in academic scholarship about Lebanon, the recent essay "Le Liban et la recherche internationale après les révoltes de 2011" by Rosita Di Peri and Estella Carpi.¹ Carpi and Di Peri's critical inquiry starts from the consideration that the "sectarian narrative", by the

¹ Among the other publications in Italian language regarding Lebanon it is possible to consult Di Peri, Rosita (2017). *Il Libano contemporaneo: storia, politica, società*. Roma, Carocci; Di Donato, Marco (2015). *Hezbollah. Storia del Partito di Dio*, Milano, Mimesis. It is possible then to consult several articles from both authors such as Di Peri Rosita (2012), *Costruzione Identitaria e Democrazia Locale in Libano*, «Meridiana», n. 73/74, pp. 231-256; Di Peri Rosita (2009): "Determinanti storiche e politiche della nascita e dell'evoluzione di Hizballah" «Il Politico», Vol. 74, (n. 2), (Issue 221), pp. 27-61. At the same time for Di Donato, please have a look at Di Donato Marco (2019). *Islam politico e Guerra fredda: alternative islamiste in Iraq e Libano (1967-1991)*, «Contemporanea, Rivista di storia dell'800 e del '900» Vol. 3, pp. 481-499 as well as Di Donato Marco (2013) *Continuità e discontinuità dell'islamismo libanese di fronte all'egemonia confessionale*, in Campanini Massimo (a cura di), *Le rivolte arabe, l'Islam, la transizione incompiuta*, Bologna, Il Mulino, pp. 171-194.

key of confessionalism and politics of local communities, has been uncritically reproduced in international research. They continue by stressing how and when scholars began to change the way of thinking about Lebanese events, starting to introduce other reading keys, like class structure.

In effect, while the very recent protests have certainly not represented a unicum in the tormented history of the country, the same protests represent potentially a new political phenomenon because they overcame the rigid confessional and socio-geographical mobilization schemes. Therefore, they can be considered a very interesting multi and inter-confessional – as well as a multi and inter-class – laboratory.

In this perspective, in our project we'll try to overcome the dominant essentialist interpretation of Lebanese political events in terms of sectarian divisions, which has certainly been the most used interpretative key in academic and political reflections since the independence (1943), and even more after Ta'if agreements (October 1989).

The *starting hypothesis* of our project is that today the dominant understanding of Lebanese socio-political divisions along sectarian lines needs to be re-considered. We argue that sectarian-based socio-political divisions should not be considered as a result of immutable religious identities, but rather as the result of historicized cultural, material and political conditions and practices. Therefore, belonging to a sectarian socio-political group means primarily belonging to a "functional group", functional for example in terms of opportunities in education, access to services, healthcare, job placement.

The enhancement "from above" of sectarian identities through a variety of material, legal-institutional, and discursive practices, continues to keep Lebanon a deeply divided society. On the other hand, under the thrust of endemic corruption, economic stagnation, and poor services, the political system is showing growing signs of weakness and corruptibility and cannot work effectively and transparently – and these are precisely the triggers which fed the 2019-2020 protests in the country.

It is a matter of fact that since the 1990s one of the major fields of social mobilization has been that of civil and citizenship rights, advocating for transparency and reconciliation. Also, in the past three decades Lebanese citizens have been constantly mobilizing along cross-sectarian lines, challenging the existing sectarian post-civil war neoliberal order.

In 2005, for instance, the assassination of the former Prime Minister Rafik Hariri triggered an outstanding civil mobilization (the so-called Cedar Revolution, or Lebanese Spring) which played a pivotal role for the withdrawal of the Syrian troops from Lebanon. The Syrian withdrawal represented, according to some observers, a crucial turning point for the reconfiguration of the country's political balances into two major sectarian ruling blocks according to the respective pro-Saudi or pro-Iranian international alignment. But it is important to point out that, at the same time, social movements demanded a reconfiguration of status quo on secular and more equal and inclusive bases, registering an increasing intersectionality of agendas and campaigns.

Given these premises, our project wants to examine the role that youth have been playing in the process of reshuffling of Lebanese political landscape triggered by the October 2019 Uprising, with a specific focus on university students and institutions. The case study – we argue – is relevant because students, along with having played a central role in the development of the Uprising, are also representing one of the most permeable social groups to the quests for a radical change that the Uprising expressed.

In particular, as the subtitle says ("For an analysis of the Lebanese protests and the role of the youth beyond the paradigm of confessionalism"), *Irhal* project intends to focus on the participation of the Lebanese youth community (and, within it, specifically the student component) in the protest movements that took place in Lebanon from 17 October 2019 to 11 February 2020, stopped by the lockdown due to the global pandemic of COVID-19).

As already said, the focus is specifically on the role of youth in the quest for political change, as youth has been (and is) very important in Lebanese political debate and praxis: in this

context they are a good example of that Gramscian “subalternity” that means marginality but also possibility of growing political awareness; they are important even because their new languages and praxis are rather different (in Arab world as elsewhere) from the traditional forms for expressing political dissent that we were used to deal with, in the past decades.

Pivotal for our project is listening to protests’ actors, asked about their political leanings, sensibilities and perceptions, but also personal feelings, emotions, frustrations and hopes.

Lebanese youth’s voices are, according to us, a very useful reading key to better understand the actual situation, allowing us an analytical perspective “from below” of the dynamics of social transformation, that are still in progress – a perspective that is different from the official and mainstream narratives of events (that generally come “from above”).

Methodologically, the project can be divided into two parts: a first theoretical analysis to frame the socio-political context of the protests (through a reconstruction provided by local Lebanese researchers), followed by a second part, field research, through the organization of focus groups and field-interviews in Lebanon.

The support of the Lebanese academicians has ensured the best implementation of our project, brought together local actors and national key stakeholders, as well as gave the opportunity to access to official sources.

About this point, the role of Lebanese researchers has been crucial to have access to databases, archives and local libraries with not-on line resources, and to analyze the contents of social media used by young Lebanese during the protests, as well as to conduct interviews and focus groups with key actors during the uprisings on specific topics.

In addition to fieldwork and qualitative interviews, the research project team has used a particular working methodology for conducting focus groups with youth groups and university students. The focus groups aimed to highlight the variety of opinion perceptions, the differences of perspective, the factors associated with opinions, behaviors, backgrounds, motivations and actions, as well as to collect useful information for the preparation or interpretation of the results of the quantitative study. All the contributions that make up this report provide an interesting insight into the reality and perception of the reality of the nowadays Lebanon. All from different perspectives, but sharing a single common thread: the search for new and appropriate reading keys for a more complete and coherent analysis of the complex situation the country is experiencing.

To conclude, we think, despite the harsh conditions we are all experiencing in this difficult period – because of the pandemic emergency but also for the heavy crisis that Lebanon is going through – to have reached all the expected goals, and we hope that this project will be followed by other opportunities for discussion and collaboration between our universities.

Reflections on 2019 Lebanese Protests: Seeds of Change and the Role of University Institutions

Noma Ziadeh-Mikati - Lebanese University

Summary

This intervention is part of “IRHAL” project which aim is to analyze the participation of the youth component and specifically students within it, in 2019 protests and riots in Lebanon. “IRHAL” is an Arabic word that means go away and, in this context, it symbolizes the demands of Lebanese protests against the political sectarian corrupted regime. October 2019 protests, a major momentous in the history of Lebanon, brought great hope of change for a better Lebanon among the Lebanese population. Today, a year and a half since the outbreak of these protests, and with the mixture of economic, financial and political crisis that the country is facing, the flame of hope is hardly seen leaving a general feeling of hopelessness and despair. In this intervention I argue that despite the difficulties of change within the political system in Lebanon, the changes in mindset and the increase in political culture among the population in general and among youth in particular might still be potential seeds for long-term change. In this area, university institutions are important when they empower Lebanese youth with quality education and when they work on shifting youth's energy away from sectarian politics toward a more democratic citizenship framework.

Introduction

Today, a year and a half since the outbreak of October 2019 protests, the situation in Lebanon seems complex and unstable and the hope of change that October protests once generated looks so far, so inexistent. Though in October 2019 things seemed different, people changed and believed that change is coming. Within few days after the declaration of new set of austerity measures and spurred by a financial and economic crisis, Lebanese from all over the country re-joined streets, reclaiming their rights, their dignity (Hermez 2011). What was impossible to see during the past years, the unity for a better Lebanon, among the different religions, regions, social classes, age range was taking place in the heart of many Lebanese in Lebanon and abroad, and “the fear from others” a fear that sectarian regime had always tried to nourish in order to ensure its continuity and legitimacy, was breaking. October protests, by breaking this fear and uniting people, were thus considered as a new beginning and were marking the end of the civil war (Kassir 2019, Khuri 2019).

For the next four months, protests continued on a nation-wide base, people demonstrating against domestic grievance (Malmvig and Fakhoury 2019) against the deterioration of economic conditions and most importantly against the corruption of the ruling political class. The intensity of the protests, its inclusiveness, “*the de-sanctification of political figures, including the most untouchable of them*” the awakening of population regarding all major politicians and parties as “*one class that is committing crimes against the people*”² the huge amount of hope in the eyes of the population and their will to change for something else, was new and true.

In a country that witnessed many previous protests and where the freedom of speech and the right to demonstrate are guaranteed under the Lebanese constitution, October 2019 was a

² Nizar Hassan, LCPS Has the October 17 Revolution Accomplished Anything At All? The Lebanese center for policy studies October 2020 retrieved from <https://lcps-lebanon.org/agendaArticle.php?id=197>

different story. It was different not only because it was a true legitimate call for a revolution to overthrow the established political order (Kassir 2019) and to remove all the political elite that has been governing Lebanon like a cartel for the past thirty years, but more importantly it was different because a new mindset was emerging among the population and a collective political awareness was taking place. The unity of people based on legitimate collective demands was creating the power of possible change and this was threatening and destabilizing the most important pillar of the “democratic” sectarian regime in Lebanon: legitimacy.

Youth in particular were very active during October protests. Motivated by their refusal of a current corrupt situation and their aspiration for a better country, youth broke down political, geographical and social barriers and enthusiastically participated in daily debates and discussions. They showed eagerness to understand and to participate in public affairs. This new generation, the future citizens who were criticized for being selfish and nonchalant, showed that they were able to change for the better and to be active and proactive if the adequate ambience exists. Also, educated elite, gathering university professors, professionals and young activists, played a crucial role in spreading this new culture and increasing political awareness.

Understanding the difficulties of organizing for change within the sectarian regime and knowing the impact that demobilizing techniques in addition to the very severe economic crisis that the economy is facing, on protests and on the willingness to fight and to change, and away from romanticizing the possible changes that October 2019 protests achieved, in this intervention I focus on the change in the mindset of the population that was seen during October protests as a potential element of change to consider and to work on. Some may argue that October 2019 mobilization did not provide long lasting impact specifically concerning the citizens’ change in mindset. So, these were really ephemeral awakenings that dissipate with time. The question here is if these awakenings were achievable in October 2019 how is it possible to reinforce and sustain them in the long run? How is it possible to build a different culture among citizens and youth in particular where opinions are based on objective critical thinking and on openness to different points of view? The objective here is not changing the political system that obviously at least in the short run seems a quite impossible task, but how to make small changes in people’s thinking and behavior that may lead to more strategic changes in political culture in the long run, and what role could university institutions play in this area? The remaining part of this intervention is organized as follow: in the first section I review some of the obstacles that undermine the continuity and the success of protests in Lebanon, in the second section I present some of the changes generated by October protests and I specifically focus on the increase in political awareness and the change in mindset among youth as an interesting achievement of this mobilization, in a last section I discuss how university institutions by providing quality education are able to create good seeds for destabilizing some pillars of a corrupt political system.

Difficulties of change within the sectarian power-sharing system

The wave of October protests 2019 is not an isolated event in Lebanon. During the last thirty years, Lebanon witnessed many mobilisations against corruption and against the poor performance of the political class that had failed to deliver social and economic justice to the Lebanese population. Still the results from these mobilisations were away from the aspiration of the populace and in every time, the political system was able to reproduce itself despite the apparent collective resentment. Many elements impact the capacity of protests to bring real changes in the political system or in the behavior of political representatives: the demobilization techniques used by government, the challenges of reform within the confessional regime, the lack of strategy and the lack of clear short-term goals for the mobilization and the deteriorating economic conditions are among the several factors that impact mobilizations sustainability and their capacity to bring strategic changes. Also, these challenges are thought to be more pronounced within the Lebanese confessional political

system (Fakhoury 2011, Majed 2017).

Literature related to the demobilization techniques used by established political regimes to weaken mobilizations or prevent people from joining to protest is abundant. In Lebanon where the political system is based on a confessional division of political power, established regime has even more potential to demobilize and dis-incentivize protests since the divide-and-rule strategy is very easily applicable in this case. Geha (2019) presents a study on how the Lebanese government reacted through co-optation, counter narratives and repression to two waves of protests during 2011 and 2015 that used slogans denouncing the country's sectarian system of government. Co-optation techniques, where politicians and political parties co-opt the demands of the mobilization by sympathizing the demands and by claiming protests demands as their own, is a traditional strategy used to dilute protests. In the early stages of October protests co-optation techniques were less efficient because of the collective outrage against the whole political elites translated into the wide use of the slogan "all of them means all of them". Still the political regime in Lebanon used a wide range of policies and infiltration in order to demonize October protests and to decrease its legitimacy: from accusing the uprising of being funded and controlled by foreign countries to holding it responsible for the degradation of the economic conditions, to making trouble through infiltration, to holding protestors responsible for threatening the stability of the state. Also, repression techniques such as the use of excessive force and unlawful detention were also wildly used. All these demobilization policies not only demotivate people from joining a protest but also and more dangerously make the status quo a more rational choice.

The second element that represents a considerable complexity is related to the difficulty of change within the sectarian power-sharing regime in Lebanon. Breaking the dependence of the Lebanese population to political representatives is complicated because of the socio-political and economic structure of the political system and the complicity between political representatives and the different institutions of the state. The diversity and pluralistic nature of the Lebanese society which includes 18 different confessions, is not a unique case in the world, but what is more particular in Lebanon is how the state deals differently with these different communities: each community has its own civil personal status law, religious court, political representatives, educational institutions... So instead of being citizens for a unified country the Lebanese population are "*members of religious "communities" through which they subscribe to the state in order to access their political and social rights*" (Majed 2017). For example, looking at the political economy of sectarianism in Lebanon and how it impacts the possibility of change within sectarian power sharing regime it is argued that people are more or less dependent "economically" to political representatives. Baumann (2016) defines the political economy in Lebanon as "*one where a small politically connected elite appropriates the bulk of economicsurplus and redistributes it through communal clientelism*". As a result, we have in one hand the political representatives of the different communities who are controlling the resources of the state and on the other hand we have the citizens of the different communities who depend to some extent on the political representative or "*Za'im*" in order to get patronage resources (jobs, education, health care...). Mobilizations that threaten the sectarian elite cartel will be fiercely rejected and demobilized by this elite because such mobilization threatens elite's capacity to control resources and population. Also, when people are economically dependent on political elites to get patronage resources, mobilizing for change may not be an economically optimal decision even if people have a conviction that change is necessary. Another major challenge of change within the sectarian political regime in Lebanon is the politicized judiciary. Despite the principle of separation of powers in Lebanon, judicial work is highly influenced by the interests of political leaders when the latter appoint key judges and legal investigators magistrates. In this context, any mobilization for change will be meaningless if accountability and the rule of law are not restored (Al-Habbal 2019).

Today many countries around the world are witnessing protests that are operating informally away from any form of institutional leadership. The leaderless character of the Lebanese 2019 protests was purposely kept in order to decrease the risk of co-optation and repression by the government. But despite the rightness of such fear can show the results of street

protests be sustainable without good organization or institutions that work on strategies in order to leverage people's hope and anger into tactical policies in the context of major change? Even if October 2019 protests mobilized hundreds of thousands of Lebanese people through all the country, it is well expected that street mobilizations could not continue indefinitely and could not be sustained for long period of time. *"Neither numbers nor streets are by themselves magic wands for change"* (Tufekci 2020), accordingly street protests need to deliver organized entities that are more capable to work on long term strategies and on the channels through which changes may be designed. For example, institutions like unions and syndicates positively contributed to the success of protests to implement change in political institutions in many countries (Majed 2020, Salloukh 2020). In Lebanon knowing that syndicates are particularly influenced by the ruling confessional parties, the strengthening of newly organized entities or groups that emerged during the protests may be a potential element for more sustainable results.

At the beginning of the protests deteriorating financial and economic conditions were among the elements that mobilized people to join protests. However, with the highly unstable economy and with the collapse of the Lebanese lira and the associated surge of inflation, the decision to protest or to mobilize for change is highly challenged. First, large numbers of people are spending their time working or searching for an income locally and abroad. Second, an increasing number of highly educated citizens who played a crucial role in October protests left the country. Third, deteriorating economic conditions may increase the dependency of a larger part of the population on patronage subsidies and services making dependency on the current political elites more difficult to break. All these elements in addition to the overwhelming despair that exists among the Lebanese population make mobilization for change less practical even for those who actively participated in October protests.

Seeds of change in October Protests

If the change in political system and the political institutions is used to assess the success of October protests, then for sure it will be an acknowledgment of failure. Still given that changing mindset is fundamental for changing politics, in this part of the intervention, I focus on the change in mindset and the collective political and social awareness among the Lebanese population and among youth in particular as an interesting element of change to work on.

October protests helped into changing the mindset of a good part of the society. In the early stages of the protests this change was expressed by the rejection of the political figures and the economic and political system, by the organization of several interest groups and discussion entities, by the collective interest specifically from youth to participate into political, economic and social debate and by a willingness to increase knowledge and to be part of discussions related to public matters. People were evolving from simple dispersed individuals to engaged and active citizens asking for their rights and understanding their duties. This is considered a crucial change because it was shaping a new mentality, a new identity of the population away from the sectarian identity that the political system imposes.

Today, these changes may seem less obvious, so why is it important to focus on these elements as good seeds of change?

As mentioned in the previous section there are huge difficulties of change within the current political system and political parties have much more power to keep and preserve the status quo: they control government institutions, they control the different social economic political religious educational and legal institutions through clientelist networks, they are able to control population and voters through patronage subsidies and they have great power to demobilize any attempt of change.

Still, the hope and optimism inspired during these protests are important elements when they fueled energy, hard work, perseverance and creativity. People's change in mindset was translated into discussions that regrouped different communities, into creation of interest groups and professional organizations, into active civic engagement and into more acts of accountability to limit corruption. Of course, October protests did not stop corruption, they

did not change the political system and they did not dissipate divergence between people but more realistically they reunified people despite divergence, on the basis of common ground rights and mutual grievances and on the basis of citizenship. October protests permitted the people to meet, to discuss and to think outside the traditional framework, they also allowed people to dream, to imagine alternatives and for some professionals and activists to work on these alternatives: another world is possible outside the current political regime and this is the element of power that destabilize the regime and this is what is being discussed in this section.

The predominance and the ingrained character of corruption in the different societal institutions and the influence of political elites on population through the different channels of patronage and clientelism are two well-rooted problems in the Lebanese political system. As a result, a collective sense of acceptability of corruption and a collective indifference to the rule of law have prevailed (Helou 2019). This could be explained first by the fact that corruption is beneficial for a good part of society. Also, given the improper influence of political elites over judges individually and the judiciary as a whole in Lebanon (ICJ 2017), corruption is an intractable problem for those who are aspiring to the state of justice. October protests have destabilized in some way this general acceptance or indifference toward corruption. At first hand, the popular mobilization has been able to uncover the violations of the political regime and to expose the files of corruption of the authority and the sources of waste in its sectors. Also there has been a popular trend to denounce specific politically connected corrupted officials through social media and protests around their home and workplace. By doing so the uprising appears as a potential turning point in the field of strengthening popular control over the performance of the authorities (Kabbanji 2019). On the other hand, the uprising encouraged the Lebanese judge's association³ formed by independent judges to take actions against corruption. For example, a few days after the beginning of October protests the Lebanese judge's association filed a complaint at the Lebanese central bank asking to lift banking secrecy and to freeze the accounts of all politicians, senior officials, judges and anyone who deals with public affairs and whose banking account value exceeds 750 million Lebanese pounds. The association also issued a statement on the unconstitutionality of the parliament session that was supposed to be held in November 2019 and criticized its agenda.

The outbreak of the financial and economic crisis in 2019 revealed the failure of the Lebanese political and economic system to provide social justice for the different part of the population. October protests permitted to generate and propagate a collective awareness regarding this matter: the political and economic system in Lebanon is not functional and the political economy of corruption where political elite use their power and influence to consolidate private wealth on the expense of the public good is to be rethought and redesigned.

October protests were not limited to street protests but included protestors' active engagements in many cultural activities and in debates and discussions related to economic and political issues. The energy that has been seen during October protests among university and college students and among young activists and young professors and professionals, was a promising element of change. It reflected a higher level of political culture and a higher level of political awareness among the population in general and among youth in particular. This is an important element to consider because it may have positive influence on governmental quality and political performance (Almond and Verba 1989, Kotzian 2012, Claibourn and Martin 2007). Political awareness is a desirable and promising element of change since it impacts how people evaluate political performance and accordingly it may also impact their votes on the basis of this information (Claibourn and Martin 2007). Besides, given that legitimacy and a certain degree of acceptance are required for government to operate (Gilley 2006) a higher level of political awareness and culture among electorate may put more pressure on government to show better performance (Kotzian 2012).

³ The aim of this association is to guarantee the independence of the judiciary. It was established in 2018 after encountering several obstacles.

Away from exaggerating the importance of the increase in political awareness and its capacity to bring real changes in the political system through electoral in Lebanon and knowing that *“aside from the urban civil society elite, there is no strong sign that Lebanese society is ready to overcome the role of sects in politics”* (DRI 2019), the change in mindset that prevailed during these protests specifically among youth and the role that educated elite played in this matter are still good seeds to nurture and to work on for long-term change: first the cultural activities that has been organized during October protests created an optimal environment where youth including university and college students were able to meet, discuss and rethink topics related to public matters accordingly to increase their political culture. By actively participating in these aspects of mobilizations, youth have once again confirmed that they were the strongest supporters for positive real change and the most receptive to efforts to transform attitudes and social-political behaviors in post-conflict societies (Nagel and Staeheli 2015). Furthermore, October protests shed the light on the potential role that the educated elite among professors, professionals and activists, could play in spreading this cultural space and in increasing the political awareness and political culture among youth. Educated elite's role could also be considered as a potential element that helps shifting youth's energy away from sectarian politics toward a more democratic citizenship framework.

The role of university institutions

Education is the most powerful weapon, which you can use to change the world.

*Nelson Mandela*⁴

October mobilizations away from being able to impact political institutions, shed the light on two interesting elements that I (as a professor at a Lebanese university) would retain for this last part: first youth more specifically university students showed that they are good supporters and good seeds for positive change. They showed that they were able to change for the better and to be active and proactive (even if temporarily) if the adequate ambiance exists. Second educated elite among which university professor's good candidates to create this ambiance when they played an important role in animating and organizing the cultural spaces that characterized October protests and that reflected a higher degree of political culture and political awareness. These two elements that were part of the cultural mobilization are also main actors in university institutions. Based on this fact, in this last part of my intervention and from an educator's perspective, I would like to reflect and to question the role that university institutions may play in providing this adequate ambiance. For doing so I will limit my discussion on two elements: the role that quality education may play in decreasing the economic reliance of youth on clientelism, and the role that university institutions may play in shaping an ethical, qualified, clear conscious, open minded generation.

It has been discussed in a previous section how the confessional political system in Lebanon is widely related to the economic political system. Baumann (2016) explained how, in a sectarian system, political elites control the population by controlling the surplus of the economy and by redistributing it through communal clientelism. Baumann also argued that in order for this control of the population to continue, two main elements must be preserved: first politicians should be able to maintain control over the distribution of resources and second the majority of Lebanese should “remain dependent on patronage resources controlled by the politician”. One way to break this control of political elites over the population and specifically over youth is to work on this second element. This is to make students less dependent on nepotism and political connections to access the job market. The point here is that by empowering youth with quality education, university institutions are decreasing the

⁴ According to Oxford Reference, quotes from a speech held at Madison Park High School, Boston, 23 June 1990.

dependency of youth to clientelism, therefore destabilizing a main pillar of this regime and creating seeds for long-lasting changes. When universities deliver up to date and meaningful materials and empower students with skills needed to be productive citizens and to be able to compete at the national and international level, in this case, education is serving a much wider objective: since access to job market is facilitated with good marketable skills, students and youth are financially empowered and reliance on clientelism decrease. Spreading awareness among citizens about the importance of quality education as an important element of the emancipation from this regime and from corruption is also essential. Quality education is not a mere diploma that institutions deliver at the end of the curriculum. Commercial universities that treat students as clients and where tuitions guarantee graduation are doing great harm at the national level rooting the dependence of youth to clientelism and spreading the culture of mediocrity and corruption among the new generation.

The role that higher education and university institutions may play in shifting youth energy away from sectarian politics toward a more democratic citizenship framework is also an interesting area to consider. As presented previously, a major part of the Lebanese society is still not ready to overcome the role of sects in politics and in everyday life (DRI 2019). So, the question of how university institutions may provide some elements of change in this area is an interesting question to discuss and to reflect on. University institutions are significant not only because they deliver technical curriculums but also they are able to shape and impact future citizens. LeRoy Long (1992) considers higher education as a cultural asset for society: *"Society is enriched by the presence of institutions devoted to preserving a sense of its heritage, extending an awareness of other cultures, searching for a knowledge of reality, honoring truth as an intrinsic value, developing a zest for working with ideas and providing for the training and maturation of citizens"* (LeRoy Long 1992). Away from idealizing the role that Lebanese universities play in shaping students citizenship and knowing that many institutions in Lebanon are owned by confessional communities and by political elites, the objective of the idea here is still not to de-politicize or insure the neutrality of the different actors of the university institutions but more realistically to question the ability of universities to provide a cultural space where students from different backgrounds meet to discuss with each other and with professors on different issues in a professional and scientific manner. The question is how can university institutions provide for the training and maturation of students as citizens? This role is to be discussed and considered as part of universities missions and not only from an individual perspective at the professor's level. Finally, when universities deliver quality education and when universities implement ethics and moral values, when they value hard work and perseverance, when they encourage discussions to take place on professional basis, when they deal with students as knowledge seekers and not as clients, universities in fact are building good seeds for future reform. By forming an ethical, qualified, clear conscious, open minded generation, university institutions are helping in changing the culture of clientelism and corruption that prevail Lebanese every day's life and Lebanese political confessional system. Building different culture among youth is a crucial element for more sustainable changes and for more sustainable results that any mobilization hopes to reach.

Bibliography

- Al-Habbal Jinan S. (2019). *Lebanon needs the rule of law, not the rule of sect*, Retrieved from <https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/crp/2019/12/18/lebanon-needs-the-rule-of-law-not-the-rule-of-sect/> (Last access September 2021)
- Almond Gabriel A., Verba Sidney (1989). *The Civic Culture: Political Attitudes and Democracy in Five Nations*, Newbury Park London, SAGE publications.
- Nagel Caroline, Lynn Staeheli (2015). *International Donors, NGOs, and the Geopolitics of Youth Citizenship in Contemporary Lebanon*, «Geopolitics», Vol. 20, pp. 223–247.
- Fakhoury Tamirace (2011). *Lebanon against the Backdrop of the 2011 Arab Uprisings: Which Revolution in Sight*, «New Global Studies», Vol. 5 (Issue 1).
- Faris Hani, Kubursi Atif, Salloukh Bassel (2020). *Lebanon: Modern History and Future Prospects*, Retrieved from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IARJV5-5Tno> (Last access September 2021)
- Gehan Carmen (2019). *Co-optation, Counter-Narratives, and Repression: Protesting Lebanon's Sectarian Power-Sharing Regime*, «Middle East Journal», Vol. 73, (Number 1), pp. 9-28.
- Gilley Bruce (2006). *The meaning and measure of state legitimacy: results for 72 countries*. «European Journal of Political Research», Vol. 45, pp. 499-525.
- Baumann Hannes (2016). *Social protest and the political economy of sectarianism in Lebanon*, «Global Discourse», Vol. 6, (Issue 4), pp. 634-649.
- Helou Anne-Marie (2019). *Whose government and what law? A political sociological investigation of corruption in Lebanon and its effect on government, legality and the people*, Master's thesis, Queen's University, Canada. Retrieved from <https://qspace.library.queensu.ca/handle/1974/26323> (Last access September 2021).
- Hermez Sami (2011). *On Dignity and Clientelism: Lebanon in the Context of the 2011 Arab Revolutions*, «Studies in Ethnicity and Nationalism», Vol. 11, pp. 527-537.
- Hodges Elena (2019). *A Country on Fire: Lebanon's October Revolution in Context*, *Lawfare*, Retrieved from <https://www.lawfareblog.com/country-fire-lebanons-october-revolution-context> (Last access September 2021).
- Kabbanji Jacques (2019), *The Lebanese movement between academic failure and new youth values*, «Al Adab».
- Kassir Alexandra (2019). *Lebanon's "October revolution": An end to the civil war?* Retrieved from <https://www.opendemocracy.net/en/north-africa-west-asia/lebanons-october-revolution-end-civil-war/> (Last access September 2021).
- Khuri Fadlo R. (2019). *Lebanon Doesn't Need Heroes The destiny of the well-intentioned citizen leader need not always be that of the martyr*, Retrieved from <https://www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2019/11/lebanon-protests-role-university/601084/> (Last access September 2021).
- Kotzian Peter (2012). *Civic Culture, Institutions and Quality of Governments*, «International Journal of Humanities and Social Science», Vol. 2 (No. 6), pp. 49-59.
- Kraidy Marwan (2019). *The Lebanese Rise Up Against a Failed System*, «Current History», Vol. 118 (Issue 812), pp. 361–363
- The Lebanese center for policy studies (LCPS) (2020). *Has the October 17 Revolution Accomplished Anything At All?*, Retrieved from <https://lcps-lebanon.org/agendaArticle.php?id=197> (Last access September 2021).
- The Lebanese center for policy studies (LCPS) (2020). *Why Did the October 17 Revolution Witness a Regression in Numbers?*, Retrieved from <https://lcps-lebanon.org/agendaArticle.php?id=199> (Last access September 2021).

- LeRoy Long Edward Jr. (1992). *Higher Education as A Moral Enterprise*, Washington D.C., Georgetown University Press.
- Majed Rima (2017). *The Political (or Social) Economy of Sectarianism in Lebanon*, Retrieved from <https://www.mei.edu/publications/political-or-social-economy-sectarianism-lebanon> (Last access September 2021).
- Malmvig Helle, Fakhoury Tamirace (2020). *Tales of the Unexpected: Will the Lebanese Uprising Stay Clear of Attempts at Geopolitization?*, Retrieved from <https://pomeps.org/tales-of-the-unexpected-will-the-lebanese-uprising-stay-clear-of-attempts-at-geopolitization-1> (Last access September 2021).
- Cammett Melani, Sukriti Issar (2010). *Bricks and Mortar Clientelism: Sectarianism and the Logics of Welfare Allocation in Lebanon*, «World Politics», Vol. 62, pp.381-421.
- Claibourn Michele P., Martin Paul S. (2007), *The Third Face of Social Capital: How Membership in Voluntary Associations Improves Policy Accountability*, «Political Research Quarterly», Vol. 60 (No. 2), pp. 192-201.
- Cilina Nasser (2019). *How Protests in Lebanon Have Broken a Taboo in its ShiaCommunity*, Retrieved from <http://www.fletcherforum.org/home/2019/10/29/lebanons-protests-have-broken-a-taboo-in-its-shia-community> (Last access September 2021).
- Salloukh Bassel (2020). *Will a new Lebanon arise from the ashes of Beirut?*, Retrieved from <https://en.qantara.de/content/interview-with-lebanese-political-scientist-bassel-salloukh-will-a-new-lebanon-arise-from> (Last access September 2021).
- Serhan Yasmeen (2019). *The Nationalist Movements Against Sectarian Politics: Protesters in Iraq and Lebanon are transcending societal divides to oppose political systems that are rooted in division. But can the unity last?*, Retrieved from <https://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2019/10/new-nationalist-movements-iraq-and-lebanon/601021/> (Last access September 2021).
- Sinno Wael (2020). *How People Reclaimed Public Spaces in Beirut during the 2019 Lebanese Uprising*, «The Journal of Public Space», Vol. 5 (Issue 1), pp. 193-218.
- The International Commission of Jurists (ICJ) (2017). *Judicial Accountability in Lebanon: International Standards on the Ethics and Discipline of Judges*, Retrived from <https://www.icj.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/Lebanon-Memo-re-accountability-Advocacy-Analysis-Brief-2017-ENG.pdf> (Last access September 2021).
- Tufekci Zeynep (2020). *Do Protests Even Work?* Retrieved from <https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2020/06/why-protests-work/613420/> (Last access September 2021).

Lebanese youth facing sectarian forms of political subjectivization: The Art of Political Demobilization

Francisco Barroso - Holy Spirit University of Kaslik (USEK)

Summary

The article examines how the Lebanese political class has been gradually weaving a strategy to keep part of the Lebanese youth politically demobilized. To do so, the article delves into what sectarianism represents for the political behavior of the Lebanese youth during the protest movement so-called 'Thawra'. The article addresses some of the political implications related to political disaffection and political subjectivization that leads to the political demobilization of such an important social group. Likewise, the article shows how despite the common risk factors that harm this vulnerable group, the Lebanese youth remain divided concerning their political support to the traditional political establishment and how this group has already made a breakthrough in order to reduce the negative impact of sectarianism in the Lebanese political life.

Introduction

It is enough to read the headlines of the main Lebanese newspapers to realize that the current situation in Lebanon, since August 2020, is not promising at all. The devastating explosion that took place in the port of Beirut on August 4 has not only fueled the anger of the protest movement but has also finished squandering the scant confidence that the Lebanese people had concerning the technocratic government or authorities in general. Such a disaster in material and human terms can serve as a turning point to eliminate the old political order. Lebanon is at a crossroads where there is endemic corruption that generates ethical misbehavior without responsibility, the devaluation of the Lebanese pound that has lost more than to 85% of its value, an increase in the cost of basic necessities, a shortage of dollars in the market that drowns small and medium-sized entrepreneurs, increases in social inequality rates, unemployment, and poverty that are skyrocketing, rampant sectarianism without rhyme or reason, the threat of the start of another civil war or another war between the formation of Hezbollah and the state of Israel for the control of natural resources, a political class stuck in old and obsolete power mechanisms that no longer fit with the current reality, an increasingly politicized and less efficient opposition movement, increases in external interferences that further heat the already effervescent political scene, the inaction of a supposedly technocratic and independent government though resigned, the lack of structural reforms at the political and economic levels demanded by the international community to turn on the aid tap, fear of the unknown, etc., all of these are some of the factors that are leading the country of cedars to dig its own grave. Lebanon is on the brink of total collapse (Gharizi & Yacoubian 2021). The country is facing multiple crises from different levels that are destroying the authority and legitimation of such a *sui generis* statal entity. Lebanon that was considered the 'Switzerland of the Middle East' is hitting the best positions in factors related to the lack of governance. Lack in providing the basic services (electricity, water, garbage collection, medical services, etc.), corruption, nepotism, feudal practices, lack of transparency, lack of responsibility or

accountability, mismanagement of public sources, continuing political deadlock, lack of trust in politics and politicians, and the negative impact of sectarianism are a few elements that could explain why and how Lebanon can be considered a failed state.

Regardless of the time frame of the current crises where the currency has lost more than 85% of its value and Lebanon reached the second worldwide position in hyperinflation, citizens can feel how the situation is not going to improve anytime soon. Importantly, the level of desperation and humiliation are increasing and the need for a new sociopolitical order and new social contract are far more necessary than any previous time. Through those elements it is possible to understand why and how the 2019 Revolution surfaced. That seminal development was a remarkable event and followed the 2005 Cedar Revolution because it was the first time that Lebanese citizens rushed to the streets to express their anger and dissatisfaction with the government's performance and the behavior of the ruling class. What is also remarkable is that this revolution was chiefly manned by Lebanese youngsters calling for fairer and better opportunities that would enable them to stay in the country and have a decent life. It was one of those rare occasions where the sectarian affiliation did not work in determining the participation or not in such an event. Unfortunately, the old forces immediately called their respective members not to take part in such a protest movement. Special attention to the Shia community, where the leader of one of the most important political forces of the Shia community like Hezbollah, Sayed Hassan Nasrallah in a televised speech gave the order to its acolytes not to participate in such demonstrations, because, he surmised, these were all part of a diabolical plot by foreign embassies and external powers (Haboush 2019). Be that as it may, the 17 October 2019 Revolution, the so-called 'Thawra', was considered an important litmus test for the ruling class stuck in feudal or sectarian political practices (Ayalon 1987).

This article sheds light on the forms of political subjectivization affecting such a fragile group as the Lebanese youth. It aims to explain how the majority of this group prefers to opt for demobilization rather than active participation in Lebanese political life. The article addresses whether sectarianism and inequality do increase the level of political disaffection and political demobilization. It shows how the manipulation of sectarianism for political purposes alongside the lack of political options and political opportunities put the Lebanese youth in a real dilemma where apathy and disaffection are the most commonly used escape routes.

The article will be structured as follows. Following these introductory remarks, the next section will assess the impact of sectarianism and how it affects political subjectivization. The third section outlines some of the factors that would be behind the political disaffection and political demobilization of Lebanese youth. In the fourth section, the article tackles the political stances of the Lebanese youth concerning the current political establishment and the revolutionary movement. In the last section, the article offers a few general considerations related to the future political role that could be played by the Lebanese youth in the post-Thawra period and proposes avenues for further research.

Sectarianism and Political Subjectivization

In Lebanon, it could be argued that the political elites have configured an economic-political system designed to avoid the construction of a political entity and a common Lebanese identity for fear of losing their traditional role and privileges on the political scene. An example is the failure to comply with some of the most important precepts of the Taef agreements in which it was committed to the gradual eradication of political confessionalism and the creation of a Senate to serve as an intercommunity chamber of representation. The mechanism for this shared power paradigm is perceived as a transitory element towards a liberal democracy that in the case of Lebanon has been perpetuated by the mutual distrust shown by the different confessional communities. Any attempt at reform and legal or political changes would thus be perceived as a threat in a neo-patrimonial system where public resources are part of the game of struggles, negotiations, and concessions between said elites.

In Lebanon, as a corporate consociational system, what is registered is an 'ethnocracy' where 'citizens who do not identify with one of the ethnic segments - the 'Others' - are the jure and / or de facto marginalized and discriminated against in the exercise of their political rights and beyond' (Stojanovic 2020: p.33). We would be referring to a system of government governed by a plurality of peoples that govern together, but not as one. The Lebanese government would present itself as an exceptional example to understand what 'ethnocracy' means. The cartel that would form the executive branch would fit perfectly with the idea of government management based on the proportional representation of the main confessional communities. The problem would arise when, in the said grand coalition, the different sects could compete in what has come to be known as 'ethnurgy'. We would be talking about a '*conscious fabrication and politicization of ethnic identity*' (Hanf 1999: p.45) when the competition would intersect at the domestic level requires it. Political elites have not hesitated to promote sectarian narratives based on memory and trauma that are extremely useful in fearmongering. Community elites often use collective memory and collective trauma as a strategy for the political mobilization of their constituents. Ultimately, 'ethnurgy', memory and trauma are factors that can undermine, on the one hand, the power-sharing political mechanism and on the other, the willingness to take an active part in politics.

We have to bear in mind that sectarian identities are historical construction in which intensity and modes of mobilizations are based on specific political, ideological, and geopolitical contexts (Salloukh et al., 2015: p.1), that have the power to affect the entire social spectrum of the Lebanese society including, of course, the youth. Sectarian modes of political mobilization thrive on state weakness and ideological vacuum because such sectarian practices could be perceived as practices of social reproduction, material domination, and national imagination (Salloukh et al., 2015: p.3). Therefore, certain social groups like the Lebanese youth must face in unfavorable conditions the hegemony of sectarian forms of subjectivization that '*is not the product of an imagined essential Lebanese identity; it is rather the result of the operation of an ensemble of institutional, clientelist, and discursive practices at different levels. The disciplinary tentacles of the sectarian system also shape its own political economy*' (Salloukh et al., 2015: pp.4-5). Therefore, the sectarian system denies the Lebanese their existence as citizens with inalienable political and social rights, reducing them instead to unequal members of state-recognized sectarian communities regulated by extended patriarchal kinship groups, and clientelism networks.

There is a sort of intra-community loyalty that generates a kind of subjective politicization that hinders the creation of a common national identity and project. As a matter of fact, Lebanese youth forge its feeling of belonging based on parochial subgroups related to other elements like family, ethnic, religion, sect, kinship, and political patron (Harb 2021: p.373). As a result, the most important elements to forge their social identification and social construction are based on religious and sectarian belongings. The subordination of the national project for the sake of the consolidation of a kind of 'communitocracy'⁵ would serve us to prove how some confessional communities do not consider democracy as a basic objective. There is a kind of intra-community loyalty that generates a kind of subjective politicization that hinders the creation of a common national identity and project (Barroso & Kechichian 2020: p.7). The consociational system would serve as a kind of opportunity game where each sectarian leader would have to fight, on the one hand, to achieve clear leadership within their respective community, and on the other, to obtain the greatest possible quota of resources for their own community in your interaction with other leaders of other faith communities. The end of political confessionalism would mean the loss of said intersectarian negotiation platform that would translate into a loss of hegemony in relation to its corresponding confessional community. In addition, it would affect the main decision-making process since horizontal

⁵ 'Communitocracy' might be perceived as a governance system where the policies would be designed to serve more than the interests of the people, the interests of non-state groups, confessional communities, or ethnic groups. See: Imad Salamey. The Decline of Nation-States after the Arab Spring. The Rise of Communitocracy. New York: Routledge: 85.

communication between different elites would be more important for the present case than vertical communication between the elite and their co-religionists (Tsebelis 1990).

As a result, we constantly notice the combination of consent and coercion in the sectarian system which reinforces the sectarian linkages and undermines the potential emergence of national, inter-sectarian socio-economic movements and alliances. In this context, the Lebanese youth keeps suffering the direct impact of such dynamic of consent and coercion because not only they are one of the most vulnerable social groups but because they are perceiving how the authorities are implementing the so-called 'necropolitics' that could be considered as a sort of dark governance where the authority determines who can live and who must die (Mbembé & Meintjes 2003). Therefore, the lack of political options pushes part of this group to behave as simple followers without any kind of critical thinking by seeing itself as simply a passive element that has nothing to contribute or to say. As a kind of collateral damage of the 30' year sectarian system that Lebanese youth have inherited. As a result, the level of sectarianism and political polarization are increasing due to the enhancement of the mechanism of patronage and clientelist practices that represents a very important obstacle to achieve their long-awaited emancipation. The remaining question is whether the Lebanese citizen in general and young citizens in particular, are going to be capable to enforce a new social contract between the Lebanese and their representatives (Peterson 2019).

Political Disaffection and Political Demobilization

Lebanese elites have been able to consolidate their roles and statuses due to the confessional political system that has undermined not only the mere conception of citizenship but the social cohesion and plural coexistence that are vital to building a State *per se*. This sort of solidarity among the main 'Zaim' [strongman] has become extremely important to understand the reticence to tackle the political, economic, and legal reforms needed to rescue the country. In this vein, political confessionalism is used by members of this elite to justify the unjustifiable. Indeed, this behavior could be understood as a suicide pact where once for all the Lebanese politicians are on their own and must face the consequences of their worst actions as well (Young 2021).

The impact of the lack of legal and political reforms necessary to get Lebanon out of the quagmire has been aggravated by the erosion of democratic principles, and the increase in the autocratization process that have been evident. In fact, Lebanon could be affected by what has come to be called the 'third wave of autocratization', which would be defined as a '*substantial de-facto decline of core institutional requirements for electoral democracy*' (Lührmann & Lindberg 2019: p. 1096). For the Lebanese case, we could mention that the erosion of democratic factors can be labeled as backsliding which was defined as '*state-led debilitation or elimination of any of the political institutions that sustain an existing democracy*' (Bermeo 2016). In this article, democratization refers to the process of developing democratic institutions and practices and the sustainability of these institutions (Mostov 2015).

Political disaffection can be understood 'as the subjective feeling of powerlessness, cynicism, and lack of confidence in the political process, politicians, and democratic institutions, but with no questioning of the political regime' (Torcal & Montero 2006: p. 6). Therefore, it is vital to check up what the main triggers of disaffection are for Lebanese youth. In this vein, we could highlight among other the following factors behind the increase of political disaffection among this specific group⁶:

⁶ The list of factors behind political disaffection is the result of a questionnaire, where 25 students of the Holy Spirit University of Kaslik (USEK) took part. The questionnaire was implemented between April 5 and April 25, 2021.

- The crisis of representation and intermediation, and the usurpation of people's voice
- Corruption, and illegal financing
- The economic crisis and its consequences
- Lack of virtue of the political class
- Lack of transparency, internal democracy, and participation in political parties.
- Governments are not in charge, the impotence of politics (triumph of 'Communitocracy')
- Information asymmetries and manipulation
- Limitations to the uncertainty of results and political outcomes (policies)
- Hostility towards political parties, the crisis of representation, and crisis of ideology
- Political decisions are trapped by sectarian ties
- Non-fulfillment of electoral promises and programs

If we could describe the feeling of the Lebanese young adults in two words it could be helpless and hopeless. In general, the pulse of the streets is giving us some hints to understand those emotions. How they could avoid sharing those emotions when they cannot help their families, communities or they perceive that they cannot achieve their dreams in their homeland (Al Mahmoud 2021). Those feelings are creating a sort of humiliation understood in the way it was presented by Professor Dominique Moisi who states *'humiliation is impotence, an emotion that seems above all from the feeling that you are no longer in control of your life either collectively, as a people, a nation or a religious community, or individually, as a single person. Humiliation peaks when you are convinced that the Other has intruded into the private realm of your own life and made you utterly dependent'* (Moisi 2010: p.56).

Regrettably, one of the most obvious outcomes is related to the deterioration of mental health in Lebanon⁷, especially among young people. Depression, fear, uncertainty, socio-economic stress, emotional distress, suicidal thoughts, Covid-19, lack of professional opportunities, and the Beirut blast are common elements within this specific vulnerable social group (Al Mahmoud 2021).

This lack of control is related to the lack of emancipation that certain vulnerable groups are facing in Lebanon. In this vein, it will be useful to bring up the definition of security as emancipation coined by Professor Ken Booth, who considers security as *'the absence of threats — linkages are quite evident. Indeed, and according to Both, "Emancipation is the freeing of people (as individuals and groups) from those physical and human constraints which stop them from carrying out what the world freely chooses to do. War and the threat of war is one of those constraints, together with poverty, poor education, political oppression and so on'* (Booth 1991: 319).

Part of the Lebanese youth feels liberated from the shadows of their sectarian leaders showing somehow certain discrepancies concerning their parents. Likewise, they perceive the entire political class as part of the main problem and not part of the solution but implicated in corruption and mismanagement (Halabi 2019: p.6). Something also interesting is related to the concept of civil society as independent from the traditional political forces and parties. The need for political emancipation and the promotion of this new entity, civil society, are essential to understand what this specific group can do against the current political establishment. Whatever the outcome will be, one thing is certain, we have a new generation of youth which leads the emergence of collective awareness that is going to be less permissive with any sign of lack of governance or traditional ways to deal with politics. Indeed, the public perception of politics and politicians is not going to be the same as before.

⁷ Even if suicide is still a taboo in Lebanon and the real numbers are underreported, it represents a very important problem that affects more and more the Lebanese youth. As a matter of fact, according to 'Embrace', a local organization, more than half of the people who died by suicide in 2020 were between the ages of 18 and 29.

Lebanese youth behavior within the Protest Movement

To tackle the Lebanese youth behavior with the protest movement is a herculean task if we bear in mind that the Lebanese youth does not represent a homogeneous group. Social characteristics, mixed views on politics, religiosity, attitudes towards migration, and women's rights are some factors among others that could be used in order to settle a proper diagnosis of our object of study. Needless to say, that without such an endeavor the task to understand the elements promoting youth's depoliticization will be in vain. In this vein, a very interesting contribution was provided by Professor Mona Harb, who presented a proposal to divide the Lebanese youth into a total of five clusters taking into account the aforementioned elements⁸. What is interesting is to see how the main block of clusters compounds by 'Secular Youth', 'Potential Migrants' and 'Conservative Students' (59% of the sample) seems to be eager to take part in politics, are concerned to improve women's right, and are keen to dissociate religion from politics (Diab et al., 2017:42). All these clusters have something in common, the suffering from a patronage and political clientelism system perfectly organized to political demobilize Lebanese youth.

According to the result of our questionnaire, this segment of the Lebanese youth stressed the following factors as the main drivers to join the 'Thawra':

- High rate of unemployment
- Fragile economic and financial situation
- The willingness and readiness to seek a change of the political system or at least to minimize the impact of the immoderate sectarianism in running the internal affairs of the country
- The corruption of the ruling class
- The lack of opportunities allows the young generations to increase their living standards
- Inequalities caused by the 'Wasta'

In this sense, the promotion of this civil society and the increase of the level of emancipation could help to reduce the current Lebanese quota and patronage-based system. The diminishing of political clientelism and the increase of accountability and transparency levels would be helping to establish a civil secular system that will be able to treat the citizens as real citizens, and not as mere acolytes of their respective confessional groups. Therefore, part of the Lebanese youth embraced the slogan '*killon yaane killon*' (all of them means all of them) in order to overthrow a regime considered futile, sectarian and corrupted. Likewise, such a slogan reflects the lack of hope in reforming the current regime (Halabi 2019: 9) and demands a new political authority based on a different mechanism where religion and state are independent of each other. In this vein, one of the most important obstacles to reach emancipation consists in the strength of the old Lebanese political order.

⁸ According to Mona Harb the clusters would be the following ones: 1. potential migrants, 2. secular youth, 3. school-to-job youth, 4. conservative students, and 5. maturing youth. See more: Harb Mona, Atallah Sami, Diab Mohammed (2021) *Using the clustering method for a heterogeneous reading of Lebanese youth*. «Mediterranean Politics». Vol. 26 (Issue 3), pp. 370-392.

The traditional elite has shown somehow the same appetite for not changing anything as the 'Thawra' is showing to usher in those changes. Therefore, we need to bear in mind that there still exists a sort of politicization of cultural identities, a process known as 'ethnurgy' which was defined by Professor Theodor Hanf (1995) as, '*the process of politicization of groups that combines economic, political and cultural approaches for mobilization in communities defined by ethnic markers*' (Hanf 1990). Therefore, the political mobilization based on ethnic factors becomes essential to overcome the alienation process.

Still, Lebanese youth must face different layers of resistance before achieving their political emancipation. Despite of the resilience of the oligarchic political system that barely provides space for oppositional politics, Lebanese youth have been able to organize collectively in order to put some pressure on the regime through new forms of activism (Harb 2018: p.75). However, it is undeniable that 'ethnurgy' and family pressure count enormously in political decision-making. However, this specific group must face other factors that could explain why despite the general emotions of feeling helpless and hopeless part of this social group still defends the confessional scheme by siding with the Government and traditional political parties. Be that as it may, we could organize them in two categories where the first one would represent the youngsters who are discourses. They believe that the segmental leader which they are following has nothing to do with any corrupted or illegal activities. This type of behavior caused a huge polarization among Thawra's participants because those who did not participate thought that the essence of the revolution is directed against the leaders of their political parties.

The second category represents the youngster who thought from the beginning of the revolution that it was a mere political game, controlled, and supervised by leaders of certain political parties. Therefore, according to this group, it will be doomed to fail without achieving any of the advanced objectives, so why risk it. For them, the status quo will last until something much bigger happens within and around Lebanon.

Besides, it would be interesting to dig up into those youngsters who are politically affiliated with political parties to understand a little bit what the main drivers are. In this vein, we can identify at least three different groups. In the first place, those who can see that their interest is with this party and many of those are out there spreading ideas and backing up policies of their parties as they may see it as an opportunity to reach a job or economic and moral status.

On the other side, the 2nd group is the one who is afraid of expressing explicitly their disappointment towards their party due to many factors such as parents and environment who could be politically affiliated and it may also be taboo to criticize the party. There is much work to do in order to help them express their real feelings, even if the revolutionaries could see the elections as a chance to gain those votes, which is controversial.

Finally, the 3rd and most important group is that of the young leaders of the party, many of whom have good political knowledge and experience. Those who lack trust in the faces of the revolution and any new parties formed after it. They cannot find any party in which they can take part and reach a level of influence, where they can be heard and lead a political change, so they prefer to stay with their parties and try to change from the inside.

Regardless of those groups, we can highlight a very interesting element which consists in the creation of a new generation reconciling with politics. It would be risky to maintain the narrative that hurt the image of Lebanese youth in politics. The Lebanese youth cannot be considered indifferent, alienated, or engaged with trivial and superficial matters anymore. Despite the lack of progress in terms of institutional politics and policy, they have proved that regardless of the side they are on, the collective consciousness is a fact that cannot be denied. Indeed, this new awareness could help (Osseiran 2019) us understand how certain private institutions have emerged during 'Thawra'. For instance, the 'University Professor's Coalition' and the group called 'October 17 students' brought together students and professors of private and public universities and were quite active in mass mobilization during that period.

Conclusions

In general, time provides a better perspective to analyze certain social phenomena. The 'Thawra' protest movement, regardless of its outcome, gives us a group of signs of optimism to face the future with more hope. How to put an end to the art of political demobilization perfectly established by traditional confessional forces that have led part of the Lebanese youth to feel hopeless and helpless. Without the assumption of a greater role in Lebanese political life, this segment of the population will continue to be excluded from the decision-making process in such a delicate moment as the one we are currently experiencing.

The generational confrontation, the difficulty in joining a political party as most of them are institutions closed to third parties that do not have a 'Wasta'⁹, the intentional exclusion of this social group by the political establishment, the traditional problem of Lebanese identity and the incidence of political subjectivization, the difficulty in changing the political culture, the strength of the traditional political caste, the difficulty in becoming independent and emancipated due to the lack of economic resources and options, etc. are some of the factors behind the art of political demobilization that young Lebanese have to face.

For example, despite the strong influence of sectarianism and political disaffection, part of the Lebanese youth has changed their mentality regarding political issues and has shown an important increase in their level of awareness. The assumption of greater responsibility demands greater involvement, and that is precisely what these young people have done. Seeing themselves as having a unique opportunity to save the country from collapse, they have broken several barriers in societal divisions, fear of the political establishment and current political leaders. However, the youth must keep fighting the numerous hurdles that constrain their political inclusion.

Political disaffection and political demobilization can be corrected if the notion of citizenship is restored. Likewise, if the door is opened to new political parties that will bring fresh air to the badly damaged credibility of political parties and public institutions¹⁰. The involvement of the Lebanese youth will be more significant if they are part and are integrated into the new political forces that are expected to emerge after the nightmare we are living through. Regrettably, without this step, the push and inertia of youth in order to find and consolidate its position within the Lebanese political environment will be in vain.

Likewise, other measures could reduce political demobilization, for instance, lowering the voting age as well as the inclusion of the youth factor in political decision-making, appear essential to give a voice to this segment of the population that has been demobilized and ostracized for too long.

To paraphrase the Maronite Patriarch, Cardinal Bechara Boutros al-Rahi, the Lebanese youth are the future of Lebanon as much as Lebanon is their future. The idea of migration, which is producing a calamitous brain drain problem¹¹, will remain latent, but it will not be considered the only way out. The elimination of sectarianism and political patronage will bring more opportunities based on meritocracy to the table. Hope against hopelessness and certainty against uncertainty are the only possible ways to save the country. Taking the matters into their hands and fighting civically for what is just and necessary will bring light back to a country that has been and continues to be in chronic darkness unfit for its potential.

⁹ 'Wasta' is an Arabic word that refers to the use of connections to obtain scarce goods or services. See: Egan Martyn, Tabar Paul (2016). *Bourdieu in Beirut: Wasta, the State and Social Reproduction in Lebanon*. «Middle East Critique». Vol. 25 (Issue 3), pp. 249-270.

¹⁰ For instance, we could highlight the young activists from the 'Minteshreen movement' (Spread Out) which want to provide an alternative to the political corruption of the elites, the 'Taqaddom' (Progress) describes itself as a progressive democratic party working for social justice and sustainable development, Tahalof Watani (National Alliance), Citizens in a State and National Block.

Lebanon has always been in the epicenter of turmoil throughout the years and has seen many crises that have led thousands of Lebanese to leave their country. Youth is the future, yet all of them are now dreaming of leaving. The question that will remain to be answered is whether Lebanon can have a future without them.

Bibliography

- Al Mahmoud Fatima (2021). *Helpless and Hopeless: Despair grips Lebanon's youth*, The National News (April 12). Retrieved from: <https://www.thenationalnews.com/mena/lebanon/helpless-and-hopeless-despair-grips-lebanon-s-youth-1.1202101> (Last access September 2021).
- Ayalon Ami (1987). *From Fitna to Thawra*, «Studia Islamica». Vol. 66, pp. 145-17.
- Barroso Cortes, F. S. & Kechichian A. J. (2020). *Authoritarianism and Necropolitics in Lebanon: How Lebanese Elites destroyed their Democracy*, Retrieved from: <http://www.mei.org.in/uploads/content/305-1607882926-analyses-monograph.pdf> (Last access September 2021).
- Bermeo Nancy (2016). *On Democratic Backsliding*. «Journal of Democracy», Vol. 27 (Issue 1), pp. 5–19.
- Booth Ken (1991). *Security and Emancipation*, «Review of International Studies», Vol. 17 (Issue 4), pp. 313-326.
- Diab Mohammad, Atallah Sami, Harb Mona (2017). *Who are the Lebanese Youth? A Segmentation-Based Approach to Understanding Youth and Youth's Attitudes in Lebanon*, Retrieved from http://www.iai.it/sites/default/files/p2y_25.pdf (Last access September 2021).
- Egan Martyn, Tabar Paul (2016). *Bourdieu in Beirut: Wasta, the State and Social Reproduction in Lebanon*, «Middle East Critique», Vol. 25, (Issue 3), pp. 249-270.
- Gharizi Osama, Yacoubian Mona (2021). *Lebanon on the Brink of Historic Breakdown*, Retrieved from: <https://www.usip.org/publications/2021/06/lebanon-brink-historic-breakdown> (Last access September 2021).
- Haboush Joseph (2019). *Hezbollah and Amal change tactics and ratchet up violence amid ongoing protests*, Retrieved from: <https://www.mei.edu/publications/hezbollah-and-amal-change-tactics-and-ratchet-violence-amid-ongoing-protests> (Last access September 2021).
- Hanf Theodor (1995). *Ethnurgy: On the Analytical Use and Normative Abuse of the Concept of "Ethnic Identity"*, In Von Benda-Beckman Kebeet, Verkuyten Maykel (eds) *Nationalism, ethnicity and cultural identity in Europe*, Utrecht, European Research Centre on Migration & Ethnic Relations, pp. 40-51.

¹¹ Human capital is fast eroding. For instance, according to World Bank, 44 percent of Lebanon's tertiary education graduates have emigrated. See: World Bank (2015) *Lebanon: Promoting Poverty Reduction and Shared Prosperity. A Systematic Country Diagnosis*. The World Bank Group Middle East and North Africa Region (June 15). Available from: <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/698161468179049613/pdf/97498CAS-P151430-SecM2015-0202-IFC-SecM2015-0073-MIGA-SecM2015-0047-Box391476B-OUO-9.pdf> (Last access September 2021).

- Halabi Fares (2019). *From Overthrowing the Regime to All Means All: An Analysis of the Lebanonization of Arab Spring Rhetoric*, Retrieved from: <https://www.arab-reform.net/publication/from-overthrowing-the-regime-to-all-means-all-an-analysis-of-the-lebanonisation-of-arab-spring-rhetoric/> (Last access September 2021).
- Harb Mona (2018). *New Forms of Youth Activism in Contested Cities: The Case of Beirut*, «The International Spectator», Vol. 53 (Issue 2), pp. 74-93.
- Harb Mona, Atallah Sami, Diab Mohammed (2021). *Using the clustering method for a heterogeneous reading of Lebanese youth*, «Mediterranean Politics». Vol. 26 (Issue 3), pp. 370-392.
- Harb Mona (2021). *Youth in Lebanon: Policy Narratives, Attitudes, and Forms of Mobilization*, Retrieved from: <http://arabcenterdc.org/research-paper/youth-in-lebanon-policy-narratives-attitudes-and-forms-of-mobilization/> (Last access September 2021).
- Lührmann Anna, Lindberg Staffan I. (2019). *A third wave of autocratization is here: what is new about it?*, «Democratization», Vol. 26 (Issue 7), pp. 1095-1113.
- Mbembé Achille, Meintjes Libby (2003). *Necropolitics*, «Public Culture», Vol. 15, (Issue 1), pp. 11-40.
- Moisi Dominique (2010). *The Geopolitics of Emotion: How Cultures of Fear, Humiliation, and Hope are Reshaping the World*, New York, Knopf Doubleday Publishing Group.
- Mostov Julie (2015). *Democratization*, in Gibbons Michael T., *The Encyclopedia of Political Thought*, Malden, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., pp. 1-3.
- Osseiran Ghia (2019). *The youth revolution for a Lebanon where they can stay*, Retrieved from: <https://lebanesestudies.com/the-youth-revolution-for-a-lebanon-where-they-can-stay/> (Last access September 2021).
- Peterson Scott (2019). *How Lebanese youth stand alone, speaking their truth to power*, Retrieved from: <https://www.csmonitor.com/World/Middle-East/2019/1106/How-Lebanese-youth-stand-alone-speaking-their-truth-to-power> (Last access September 2021).
- Salamey Imad (2017). *The Decline of Nation-States after the Arab Spring. The Rise of Communitocracy*, New York, Routledge.
- Salloukh Bassel et al. (2015). *The Politics of Sectarianism in Postwar Lebanon*, London. Pluto Press.
- Stojanovic Nenad (2020). *Democracy, ethnoicracy and consociational demoicracy*, «International Political Science Review», Vol. 41 (Issue 1), pp. 30-43.
- Tsebelis George (1990) *Elite Interaction and Constitution Building in Consociational Democracies*, «Journal of Theoretical Politics», Vol. 2, (Issue 1), pp. 5-29.
- Torcal Mariano, Montero José Ramón (2006). *Political Disaffection in Contemporary Democracies. Social capital, institutions, and politics*, New York. Routledge.
- Young Michael (2021). *Their Suicide Pact*. Retrieved from: <https://carnegie-mec.org/diwan/84507> (Last access September 2021).
- World Bank (2015) *Lebanon: Promoting Poverty Reduction and Shared Prosperity. A Systematic Country Diagnosis*. Retrieved from: <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/698161468179049613/pdf/97498-CAS-P151430-SecM2015-0202-IFC-SecM2015-0073-MIGA-SecM2015-0047-Box391476B-OUO-9.pdf> (Last access September 2021).

The ancient regime vs protest movements views: comparing narrative and expectations

Asaad Sadaka and Walid Raad – Lebanese International University (LIU)

Debates over society, politics and religion have always been an integral part of what we have been doing at LIU during our classes, seminars and workshops, teaching courses covering media management, media planning and introducing students to the concept of mass media. I have had the opportunity over these last ten years to closely monitor the students' interactions with the developments taking place around them and clearly noticed during the last couple of years a growing interest in politics, as the country started facing both a political and economic crisis that was brought to light following the October 17, 2019 revolution.

As a result, the entire dynamic, whether in class or outside of it, has changed, and our discussions, projects and presentations have been more focused on these issues since they were more pressing than ever, especially since many of the students and instructors were themselves involved in the mobilization and actions undertaken against the corrupt political system in place for many years. However, with the outbreak of the Covid-19 pandemic and the economic crisis that led people to lose their jobs, in parallel to the local lira's loss of 90% of its value, people started participating less and less in the daily activities as their livelihood was on the line. And as such, their mobilization weakened. Although the August 4, 2020 disaster represented by the Port of Beirut explosion led to the resignation of the second Lebanese government in less than a year, it did not lead to real change since the deputies nominated one of them to form the new Cabinet. And after 9 months, these same politicians are still unable to form a new Cabinet, thus making things even worse.

This needs a closer look on our part and that is what we intend to do in the upcoming six months or so. And our approach will be both descriptive and explanatory, in order to understand and try to explain what went on in Lebanon leading to the October 17 revolution and its aftermath.

These developments that have changed the face of the country and have driven more than half of the Lebanese population below the poverty line, make it very important for us to conduct research to see why and how these events took place and try to explain their meaning and their outcome.

We will try to explain why the uprising took place at that particular time and why it did not succeed in overturning the Taef regime that was installed in the early 1990s. However, we will be starting our approach with the explanatory method in order to explain the importance of, and the need for such a study, and why we should interview the main actors on the Lebanese scene, i.e. the Future Movement, Amal Movement, Hezbollah,¹² the Lebanese Forces Party, the Progressive Socialist Party, and the Free Patriotic Movement. These six political movements have been ironically called by the experts "the club of six", in that all six are equally corrupt and opposed to any real change in the political system. This part will be covered by my colleague Dr. Sadaka.

But we will not only approach the latter forces, but also the main civil actors, NGOs, opposition parties and movements, and students.

¹² Unfortunately, despite efforts, both Amal and Hezbollah declined to be interviewed.

As such, we will be engaging both the traditional political parties on one hand, and civil society, the economic actors, and the opposition movements on the other, which is the part I will be covering myself.

Accordingly, I will be talking to the main activists who were very influential during and following the October 17 revolution, especially in the Beirut and Tripoli regions.

As key actors, they probably suffered the most from the failure to change the political system. And today, they are trying to organize themselves – a thing which they had so far failed to achieve – thus holding a major meeting in Achrafieh a few days ago to announce the formation of an opposition front. The meeting was held at the headquarters of the National bloc in Gemmayzeh.

The National bloc a very old traditional Lebanese party, which had reinvented itself shortly before the eruption of the October 17, 2019 revolution. It is a very good example of what future secular parties might look like, seeing as how it decided to rebuild itself on nonreligious bases. The meeting they held was attended by a number of October 17 figures, such as Attorney Nizar Saghieh from the Legal Agenda, a prominent legal activist and researcher, Attorney Wassef al-Harake, and Ms. Paula Yakoubian, an MP who resigned from Parliament following the August 4 Port explosion. We will be interviewing them, as well as the secretary-general of the National Bloc, Mr. Pierre Issa.

The ongoing popular activities have shifted from the street to social media, partly due to the restrictions enforced as a result of the corona pandemic. And they have mainly moved to Clubhouse, where hundreds of meetings are being held by Lebanese activists on a weekly basis to discuss the best ways to help their country overcome its current crisis and defeat the current political leaders.

A set of questions - up to twenty - will be addressed to each of the interviewees, covering the latest developments in Lebanon on both the political and economic levels. But I must also point to the fact that these questions will be open-ended, depending on the way the interview is going and the people being interviewed.

With LIU research team we will also be holding a focus group discussion with a number of LIU students from both Beirut and Tripoli campuses (around 25 participants).

We will be opening a debate about the revolution, its successes, as well as its failures. In this discussion, as well as in my interviews with the main activists, I will be asking for their take on why they believe they have failed to change the country, at a time when the traditional religious and sectarian parties succeed in mobilizing their troops when needed, and thus thwarted the attempt to renew the political system.

In conclusion, it is clear there is a need to conduct a thorough research into the events that took place in Lebanon over the last few years, leading to it becoming a failed state. A country that was seen as the jewel of the Middle East, which people visited from across the region to study in its universities and get treated at its advanced hospitals. And I am confident that we will be able to get a better view of the situation and see what awaits us after these extensive meetings with the main actors in Lebanese society. Likewise, we should have a clear idea of why and how the old sectarian regime was able to overcome a huge popular uprising and remain in place as if nothing had happened and its business as usual.

MR. ABDELKADER AL ABI
Tripoli - 15 June 2021

Activist during the October 17 Revolution in Al Nour Square in Tripoli. He was very active among the Tripoli revolutionaries whose actions turned violent however. He is independent and leads a small group of young activists that have in common a rejection of both the current political system and of Iran and its allies in Lebanon.

Interview

Q: When did you decide to take to the streets and why?

A: I did not decide to take to the streets. I was rather drawn to squares because we could not tolerate more oppression. It was not just me who took to the streets, rather the entire Lebanese society. The action was spontaneous, no one planned it: not even one call to take action was made prior to October 17 2021.

Q: Was your decision to work actively among the October 17 revolutionaries really that spontaneous?

A: As I have said earlier, it was spontaneous and the huge number of participants from all ages and from all the classes of society proves that our action was spontaneous. The security services, however, were able to infiltrate the students and send informants to practice violence and disturb the peaceful actions. In time, they succeeded in portraying our movement in the media as a violent one. Unfortunately, they succeeded in their plot i.e. to demotivate us and get us out of the streets.

Q: Were the Tripoli revolutionaries financed by foreign powers or outside parties?

A: Not only in this case, but in any other one as well, one should not generalize. All Arab countries are affected and influenced by the embassies and by foreign interference. We saw how Saudi Arabia and Qatar interfered in the noble Syrian revolution and consequently caused its failure. We have heard that Turkey, Saudi Arabia and France were interfering in the events taking place in Lebanon in general and in Tripoli in particular. However, we should not think that all revolutionaries in Tripoli were supported by foreign powers. I can even confirm that a very small number of people were subject to such influence.

Q: Do you believe that the youth still have hope in the future of Lebanon and do you plan to leave the country?

A: The current rulers have crushed the dreams and aspirations of the youth. These people do not want to leave power and are ready to do anything to defend their positions and privileges. Honestly, I no longer think or believe that I can achieve my dreams and my hopes in this country. Yes, I prefer to leave Lebanon.

Q: During the revolution, some popular protests turned violent. Why?

A: Some youths were under the influence of drugs and this is due to the hardships that they are facing in our society. But what are we really talking about here? Do you mean the poor man who used violence against the Tripoli municipality because they had destroyed his only source of livelihood a few hours earlier! Instead of allowing this man to work decently, the municipal police decided to humiliate him. I am not defending violence or giving excuses. But what occurred was a normal reaction by the people who were looking for food in the garbage and do not care if the municipality building is destroyed or not.

Q: Your main slogan was "All of them means all of them." Do you still believe in it?

A: When we said all of them, we meant all those who are corrupt and not all the politicians.

After all, the country has known throughout its history many honest politicians and we cannot say that they are in the same category of those governing us today. For example, we cannot call Paula Yaakoubian corrupt. When we said all of them, we meant all the political parties that have governed Lebanon and were part of the system of corruption.

Q: Would you be willing to take to the streets again if people decide to protest in big numbers?

A: In my mind, I am still defending the same principles. Elections are coming next week and I will cast my vote and express my opposition to the current rulers. After all, we were not able to change anything when we took to the streets. The only way available to us to get rid of these corrupt parties is to vote them out of power.

Q: Do you really believe that one day you will be able to get rid of the current authority?

A: These attempts to change will prevail and we will succeed in our goals. But let us not forget that the Lebanese problem is also related to the developments taking place in the region and in the world. But yes, we will replace the current rulers with new faces through the upcoming parliamentary elections.

DR. MOSTAFA ALLOUSH
Lebanon - 15 May 2021

Vice President-Future Movement. According to his social network's profiles, he is a Lebanese politician, former member of Lebanese Parliament, surgeon and columnist in several newspapers.

Interview

Q: As Future Movement, where do you stand from the October 17 revolution and its demands?

A: It would be self-evident to say that we, as a political movement, support, and even bid on, the demands of the October 17 revolution. It is not logical, in light of the design of the revolution, for a political current to come out to oppose it, but with all objectivity, although our partisans with the majority of them took to the streets under the banner of that revolution, for me nothing can be placed under an absolute banner, neither negatively nor positively, including the October 17 Revolution.

Q: Why do you not pursue the options of the revolution and go to a new election law and a new balance of power in Lebanon?

A: We have seen a new election law based on the Ta'if constitution, which means expanded constituencies on the basis of diversity and relativism. In any case, the revolution did not produce an understanding on which law the elections will be conducted, and we have heard contradictory statements in this regard. As for the production of a new authority, for whoever remembers the years 2005 and 2009, a new authority emerged and was unable to rule under the presence of Hezbollah's weapons. If a new power will be in place, should we afford the same issue again? And how this power will deal with it?

Q: Some of you accuse the revolution of receiving funding from abroad, is there any evidence for that?

A: As for receiving money for the revolution, I do not know, but the revolution is not a specific entity, but if the discussion is about individuals or organizations in the revolution that received money, then this is another talk, and the answer is not mine.

Q: All of you are related to relations with foreign countries, is this not considered a bond as well?

A: I understand from this question that the revolutionary forces receive support from foreign countries, and I do not object to that, just as political forces are linked to relations with foreign countries, brotherly or friendly countries, and the world today is open to each other, but it is illogical for a party to establish an armed army affiliated with another country in Lebanon, as is the case with Hezbollah today.

Q: You have been in power for more than 15 years. Why haven't you made any change in terms of going to a prosperous civil state?

A: Our party, like all other powers, acted according to the traditional rules. Everything that is achieved is based on the balance of power imposed by sectarian realities. With all transparency, we did not achieve anything of what we hoped for in this regard, but the situation has become worse than before.

Q: In your opinion, who is responsible for the current situation in Lebanon?

A: Who is responsible means that I am able to refer to one entity or one person, but a number of things equally contributed in arriving at what we arrived at. For example, the structure of the system based on sectarian and tribal quotas, the failure of the parliamentary

experience to develop into the logic of citizenship based on democracy, the question of Palestine and its multiple implications, and the presence of an enemy on the one hand that imposes destructive and brotherly options on the other hand, who did not hesitate to trade in Lebanon and other than Lebanon

Q: Are you ready to go to a new constitution that abolishes political sectarianism and the quota system based on it in all state centers and institutions?

A: The Ta'if constitution includes this proposition, and we certainly support the abolition of political sectarian laws.

Q: How do you explain the hundreds of thousands of young people and citizens taking to the streets and raising them to unified slogans and requests?

A: The descent of young people is logical because things have reached the point where there is no longer any hope for them for a better tomorrow, but the slogans remained general, without leadership and without alternatives.

Q: You hold each other responsible for the political and economic collapse. Have you not allied and shared power over the past 15 years and until now?

A: No party can be held responsible for the other, even though some have more sin than others, but as a result there is a difference between those who insist that they are impartial and outside blame, and those who admit their mistakes and are ready to correct them.

Q: If you acknowledge the existence of corruption within the state, who is responsible for that?

A: Corruption is a general condition, not a single person, and it is a mentality in which the citizen is brought up: it is considered a way of life. But expanding people's livelihoods, liberating them from favoritism, imposing the rule of law and an independent judiciary are some of the means that can make the difference.

Q: Are you ready to accept an external solution that might impose a group of specialists and technocrats to run the country in the next phase?

A: I think that we are heading in this direction, as happened in some failed countries, and I think that many citizens today hope so.

Ms. SAHHAR GHADDAR HUSSEINI
Beirut - 10 June 2021

An activist during the October 17 Revolution in Martyrs Square. She is the daughter of a Hezbollah dead fighter but is not politically affiliated with them. She has more than 91 thousand followers on twitter and has been very active in the streets especially in all actions conducted against the governor of the central bank Riad Salameh and has become a well-known figure due to her many TV interviews.

Interview

Q: When did you decide to take to the streets and why?

A: It was a decision that I had actually taken in 2011, when I became engaged in political and feminist activism. I was present in the street earlier to protest against the government in relation to many social and political issues. But the street exploded on October 17 and I was there.

Q: Was your decision to work actively among the October 17 revolutionaries spontaneous?

A: Lebanese society was facing many hardships five months before October 17. There was no more bread or fuel, and the disaster was already clear in the month of September when fires ravaged the country while the government stood by idly. On October 17, when the minister of telecommunication decided to raise the tariff on WhatsApp, we gathered spontaneously as activists and called on the people to meet at Martyrs Square at 6pm. And this is where the first confrontation took place with the bodyguards of then-Minister Akram Shehayeb, who used force against us and fired live rounds at us.

Q: Was the revolution financed by foreign powers or outside parties?

A: Any civil and political movement is financed and might be financed by external powers, especially in the case of Lebanon where we have many Western intelligence agencies actively working in the country. We also have our enemy, Israel, which is always meddling in our affairs through its intelligence services. This is Politics. But we must not allow these interferences to take the momentum of the street and the rightful demands of the masses.

Q: Why did the revolution flame fade away?

A: For an obvious reason: the absence of a main component of Lebanese society, i.e. the Shi'i community. The Shi'is took part in the revolution and were the main instigators on October 17. However, two days later, after Sayyed Hassan Nasrallah called on his supporters to leave the squares, it became obvious that the streets were almost empty. In addition, the Free Patriotic Movement of President Aoun was absent from all activities, since its supporters felt as if they were being targeted by our actions. Add to that the fact that the economic crisis erupted and the economic situation worsened, which drove the people to stay home because in the face of such an economic disaster, people do not have the privilege to stay in the street.

Q: Do you believe that the youth still have hope in the future of Lebanon?

A: I cannot answer that. I mean I cannot say yes, there is hope in the future. But do not forget that our country has always faced great hardships and has always overcome them. However, this blow is bigger than ever before, and is very destructive. In the past, a young man or woman who wanted to build a future could do so in five years. But now they need at least fifteen. I cannot blame those who are leaving Lebanon, but my decision was to stay and help rebuild the country.

Q: During the revolution, some popular protests turned violent. Why?

A: Violence in the street is part of the game. No one can accuse us or criticize us because some acts of violence took place. It is normal to see such actions taking place in any revolution. Besides, I do not agree that we used violence, and I accuse the regime and its military services of using violence against their own people. Ours was a normal reaction to these actions. When people take to the streets, it is normal to see some acts of violence and Lebanon is no exception.

Q: Your main slogan was “All of them means all of them.” Do you still believe in it?

A: Yes, of course I do. When we said all of them, we meant all of them without exception. The country has reached a disastrous situation and those in power are responsible for that. And no one is to be exempted from that huge responsibility.

Q: Would you be willing to take to the street again if people decide to protest again in big numbers?

A: Of course, I would be willing to continue what we have started. And despite the COVID-19 restrictions that are currently in place, I am actively taking part in all activities and all protests that the revolutionaries have been calling for. And yes, it is true that our current activities are not drawing big numbers, but I will never leave the street because I still believe that our people deserve better and the revolution that we have started on October 17 deserves more sacrifices from us.

MR. AMINE ISSA
Beirut - 20 May 2021

Coordinator of the Political division at the National Bloc, a main party that contributed in the October 17 revolution and was not part of government or parliament for the last thirty years. (Brother of the General secretary of the Movement Pierre Issa). The bloc has right wing sensitivities and is considered to be anti-Hezbollah but was able to gather under its umbrella many different revolutionary movements and activists.

Interview

Q: Why was the fire of the October 17 revolution extinguished?

A: Popular action retreated as a result of the excessive oppression practiced by the security bodies and the militias of the sectarian parties. There were also the repercussions of the August 4 explosion, the spread of the pandemic, and the financial crisis. But the spirit of the revolution continues to exist among the Lebanese who filled the squares starting October 17.

Q: Could we see the resumption of the popular action in the street with the same momentum?

A: It is possible. The authority is accumulating mistakes and destroying what is left of the institutions and the economy. But in light of the increasing number of citizens living underneath the poverty line, there are legitimate fears over the possibility of seeing riots on a wide scale.

Q: Why do the revolutionary forces not unite?

A: Throughout thirty years, the authority silenced all the opposition movements using intimidation and oppression, and took control of the institutions that usually make the demands, such as the unions and syndicates in order to silence them. They even deepened sectarianism in the sports clubs and scout movements to prevent mixity among the Lebanese, thus frightening them of each other. In these circumstances, and in light of the diverse cultural structure in Lebanon and the lack of experience among the new opposition groups, it was difficult to unite within a short period of time. But not too long ago, alliances started to form, the most important of which being the one announced from the headquarters of the National Bloc on April 13.

Q: Could your run in the next parliamentary elections together?

A: Yes, we have launched consultations in this regard. This is not an option but a necessity.

Q: It true that the revolution is funded by foreign sides?

A: These are lies and fabrications and we defy anyone to show proof of that. Naturally, there are infiltrators trying to lure some groups with such funding. But they have always failed. For example, the National Bloc's funding is purely Lebanese and subjected to an audit to avoid the entry of any suspicious revenues. And anyone can check our budget and financiers on our website.

Q: Is the disarmament of Hezbollah one of the main demands of the revolution?

A: Yes, as is the case with any weapons that exist outside the context of the Lebanese armed forces. And this issue should be handled through internal dialog, far away from any foreign interference.

Q: What do you think about the talk surrounding a military government?

A: Since its establishment and despite its flaws, Lebanon believes in democracy. And unlike

all the neighboring states, it has never known a military regime throughout its history, and this reality should not change regardless of the circumstances, whether today or tomorrow.

Q: What is the role of the youth in tomorrow's Lebanon if they are all dreaming of leaving?

A: Today's emigration is due to the lack of trust in Lebanon and particularly the parties of the authority. Their exist from power, the formation of an independent salvation government, and then the organization of honest elections will restore trust in Lebanon's future and push the youth to rebuild Lebanon even this requires them to offer sacrifices. As a result, immigration will retreat.

Q: How can the revolutionary youth achieve victory over the ruling class?

A: October 17 marked a turning point in Lebanon's history, which was not limited to the rejection of the ruling class, but also showed a deep change in the way the citizens and especially the youth view the Lebanese system. Today, they are convinced that the sectarian regime, the foreign interferences and the entire economy are the reason behind Lebanon's destruction, and view citizenship as a first identity, Lebanon as a sovereign country, and the free economic system as the alternative, in order to redistribute the wealth and enhance social justice. And the ruling class cannot block this anthropological shift for much longer.

Q: Your party met with the French Foreign Minister Jean Yves le Drian during his last visit to Lebanon. What are you expecting from France?

A: The United States and France are coordinating over Lebanon and the Biden Administration is coordinating world affairs with its European allies. Le Drian' visit came one day after the G7 meeting and his extensive talks with his American counterparts. Both countries are worried from deterioration of the situation in Lebanon and they also fear that any troubles in Lebanon might lead to a wide immigration problem towards the West. Clearly the US does not want additional problems while it is negotiating with Iran. And we believe that France and the US are pressuring Iran and as such Hezbollah in order to lower their repeated obstructions in Lebanon.

MR. CHARLES JABBOUR
Lebanon

Communications and Media Department Head of the Lebanese Forces

Interview

Q: As the Lebanese Forces Party, where do you stand from the October 17 revolution and its demands?

A: Two days after the October 17 revolution, that is, on October 19, the "Lebanese Forces" announced its resignation from the government, and it had paved the way for this step a month and a half ago at the Baabda economic meeting, in which the party leader, Dr. Samir Geagea, called for the necessity of forming a government of independent specialists that alone would be able to face the financial crisis, and this demand constituted one of the basic demands of the revolution. The "Lebanese forces" supported a revolution which united in all the squares of Lebanon in an exceptional peaceful scene in rejection of the adopted policy that led them to poverty and changed their lifestyle. The "Lebanese forces" support for the revolution stems from its conviction that change cannot be achieved. Except with the will of the people at the polls.

Q: Why don't you follow the revolution's choices and go to a new electoral law and a new production of power in Lebanon?

A: The "Lebanese Forces" raised the title of the early parliamentary elections since the start of the revolution, and it is still raising this title, even if alone, based on its conviction that every day the current majority remains in power, means a decline in the conditions of the country and people, and it is impossible to get the country out of its crisis before the majority is removed from power. Through elections, and for this purpose, a bill was submitted to shorten the mandate of the House of Representatives. But insisting on early parliamentary elections is one thing, and the election law is something completely different, because the current law is the best of the successive laws since 1992, which were equal and median laws and do not reflect the correctness of representation. On the contrary the law in force reflects the actual correctness of representation and the basic criterion in any election law. This law was approved after ten years of discussions, disagreements and divisions, in addition to the fact that it is not permissible to change the electoral laws with every electoral entitlement. The revolution is not a party or a single front and each of its groups prefers one law over another, and when the door for discussion on this issue is open, some will take it as an excuse to extend the current parliament and, by extension, extend the crisis. Especially since the authority fears for its majority after the October 17 uprising and seeks to change the law, there is absolutely no interest in opening the door for discussion on this issue. We should focus on completing the elections and not entering into endless discussions and divisions, and the first beneficiary of them is the one who sits in his positions at the expense of the country and the people.

Q: Some Lebanese parties accuse the revolution of receiving funding from abroad. Do you agree with these accusations and are there any evidence for that?

A: We have nothing to do with the accusations of some parties and what they say, especially since these parties have been affected by the revolution and fear for their political situation, and the revolution in the end is an expression of the mood of the people before they are affiliated with associations, groups and currents, and therefore the most important thing in the revolution is the mood of the people.

Q: You all have relations with foreign countries, isn't that also considered a pledge?

A: A distinction must be made between those who have an external relationship with a country that was behind the launch of his party and supports it financially and militarily and implements its policies, agenda and interests, and between those who harness their foreign relations in support of the Lebanese cause, especially since Lebanon is an integral part of the Arab and international legitimacy. The "Lebanese Forces" that have the best relations with the Arab and Western decision-making capitals urge them to assume their responsibilities not to leave Lebanon and to support the state and its institutions, and therefore the difference is that the "forces" employ their relations in order to achieve Lebanese sovereignty and the establishment of the actual state.

Q: You have been in power for many years, why didn't you make any change in terms of going to a prosperous civil state?

A: A distinction must be made between participating in some governments and being in power, because the party who holds the decision of authority is the same party that overturned the Taif Agreement since 1990, and until this moment it still refuses to implement the constitution starting with its sovereign aspect, and thus this party prevented the establishment of the state and the achievement of sovereignty. It is impossible to achieve change in any country that has lost its strategic decision, and the "forces", through their participation in some governments, have sought to bring about the required change by introducing transparency and the constitution and the law. Participation in governments is natural for any political group in pursuit of change that takes place either in a way: a coup d'état or through the ballot boxes and participation in governments, and when the "forces" felt that change was impossible with the team that controlled the state's decision, it called for the production of a new authority based on the revolution's requests.

Q: In your opinion, who is responsible for the current situation in Lebanon?

A: Responsibility rests with two main parties: the team that prevents the establishment of the state and confiscates its strategic decision and turns it into a fictitious state and keeps Lebanon an open arena for its foreign policy, and the team that runs the state, expressing its narrow and authoritarian personal interests over the higher interest of the country and people, and therefore responsibility is shared.

Q: Are you ready to go for a new constitution that abolishes political sectarianism and the quotas based on it in all state centers and institutions?

A: It is not correct to talk about a new constitution before agreeing on basics that we consider axioms: the state's monopoly on weapons away from false claims about the resistance and others, and that all the Lebanese people's loyalty be shifted to Lebanon first, and the state first. Before discussing any constitution, the issue of state sovereignty must be resolved, and every discussion otherwise has nothing to do with reality and falls within the framework of intellectual luxury and does not lead to the desired change.

Q: What do you explain why hundreds of thousands of young people and citizens took to the streets and raised unified slogans and demands?

A: Any unity of line and position for the Lebanese that crosses regions, sects and barriers. We consider it a moment of awareness and we support and encourage it and call for its fortification, because Lebanon cannot rise and settle without a unity of position and description among all its components on matters of principle and basics, and therefore the revolution that succeeded

in uniting the Lebanese arenas is called upon to translate these Unity in the polls to achieve the desired change.

Q: You hold each other, as Lebanese parties, responsible for the political and economic collapse. Didn't you join forces and share power for more than 15 years that have passed until now?

A: We repeat the necessity of distinguishing between those who hold the authority's decision in implementation of an external will and prevent change, and between participating in some governments in an attempt to change this reality, because we are against the policy of excommunication and resignation.

Q: Are you ready to accept an external solution that might impose a group of specialists and technocrats to run the country in the next stage?

A: The required solution is the internal solution stemming from the free will of the Lebanese, and the external role must range between supporting the Lebanese choice, direction and decision, and preventing some foreign countries from hijacking Lebanon's decision and following it. Internal politics is the responsibility of the Lebanese, while the responsibility of the international community is to ensure the implementation of international resolutions and prevent Lebanon from keeping an open conflict arena. Finally, we recall and reiterate that the "forces" called on September 2, 2019 to form a government of independent specialists in order to remove the duo who confiscate the existence of the state and manage it about the decision-making positions, because the real confrontation is between those who want a state and those who do not want a state. Thus the essence of the crisis is summarized in the barter between those who undertake the absence of the state for ideological considerations, and those who harness the management of the state for clientelist and interest considerations.

Ms. MAY KHREISH
Beirut - 13 May 2021

Vice President-Free Patriotic Movement and deputy chairman for political affairs.

Interview

Q: Where does the Free Patriotic Movement stand from the October 17 revolution and its demands?

A: The demands of the October 17 Revolution were neither strange nor far from the Free Patriotic Movement and its founder, President Michel Aoun. It is the movement for freedom, sovereignty and independence, the movement that fights for social and tax justice and the fight against corruption. We stood and still stand with the rightful demands of the original and real revolutionaries with whom we share the same reform principles in politics, economics and society. However, we and the Lebanese reject the bandits, the curses, the suspects, and the aggressors of private and public property. In any case, we still look forward to meeting with the real revolutionaries seeking reform, modernization and development, at a time when the Lebanese are living the most difficult, delicate and critical moments as a result of the successive collapses that were established and sponsored by a system that controlled the Lebanese over a period of three decades and led to the cracking of the national structure.

Q: Why do not you pursue the demands of the revolution to go to a new election law and a new production of power in Lebanon?

A: Any electoral law that is not based on the concept of justice, equality and correct representation is not equal as one of the foundations of the constitutional reforms. This was the persistence of the Free Patriotic Movement in its struggle to reach the electoral law in a way that established that three-dimensional equation, relying on proportionality for the first time, which allowed a correct and straight representation of the vast majority of the Lebanese, after long decades of being marginalized, shackled and dispersed as a result of adopting the majority law and what is known by "electoral rollers". The Free Patriotic Movement does not mind any discussion of the election law that stems from what the current Law No. 44 has achieved on June 17, 2017, and certainly in the direction of its development while preserving the essence of representative justice, which is the most important positive reason that motivated the movement.

The ultimate goal of the exercise of the act of election is the constant need to develop public political life, which is a natural need in which all peoples who constantly yearn to develop the system that governs their interrelationships, as well as with the regimes or authorities that emerge from the popular will. The Free Patriotic Movement, as it emerged from the womb of the popular will, lives a tireless dynamism in search of the best way to develop and modernize, in search of the system most capable of managing the complex Lebanese diversity. We believe that the implementation of the reforms stipulated by Taif, and which are still not covered by the Lebanese, is the main building block in the process of modernizing the system.

We mean here, for example, the expanded administrative and financial decentralization, the abolition of sectarianism, the establishment of a Senate with full and informal powers, the adoption of a unified personal status law, and a steadfast launch towards the secular state. We see no reason for the traditional system to neglect the implementation of these reforms without other provisions of the Ta'if, except for the desire of that system to monopolize and nibble on what remains of the state and institutions, and perpetuate its gains even at the expense of the constitution and the National Accord Document, and subsequently disrupt social justice and the conventionally suspended constitutional reforms. This is our modernization proposal, and

this is our perseverance at all times, and our hand is extended sincerely and patriotically to meet every desire from the forces of the revolution and others.

Q: Some of the Lebanese parties accuse the revolution of receiving funding from abroad; is there any evidence for that?

A: The revolution is not a single entity or a monolithic party, but rather diverse and multi-ethnic groups that do not adopt a single discourse nor a single common goal. Some of them are new actors, and they are looking for reform and modernization. However, some of them are suspicious and destructive, with clear external links to the recognition of the real revolutionary groups, which prompted them to reject suspects who are clearly inferred. In any case, this fact is not hidden from the Lebanese, who make a clear distinction between the bad and the good.

Q: All of you are related to relations with foreign countries; is this not considered a problem as well?

A: The Free Patriotic Movement was never a pawn, neither outside nor inside. No commission on his hands. Its only perseverance is the purely Lebanese interest. Its modest budget is operational, exclusively from the sacrifices of its employees. This is precisely the strength of the current, because its liberation from any internal or external burdens makes it free of mind and hands, and acts exclusively according to what is proportional to the national interest.

Q: You have been in power for more than 15 years. Why didn't they make any change in terms of going to a prosperous civil state?

A: As we have already mentioned, the current struggles to develop the state into a truly secular state that secures true citizenship that relies on equality in rights and duties.

Q: Who do you think is responsible for the current situation in Lebanon?

A: The economic and financial collapse that occurred was not the result of one hour, but the righteous son of the traditional system that ruled Lebanon, and established the rentier economy based on borrowing, high interest, stifling productive sectors and any entrepreneurial spirit among the Lebanese. That system gradually eliminated the top of the productive economy and marginalized industry and agriculture, and focused exclusively on the malleable but fragile services economy. It was also keen to make corruption an entity, at the core of the system. Therefore, it intended to disrupt the approval of the budget for a whole decade, starting from the year 2005. And if it were not for the Free Patriotic Movement and its efforts and efforts, the public finances would not have been reorganized by imposing the adoption of the budget law. The traditional system wanted from all of that to keep the hand on the state's wealth and waste its capabilities, which contributed to the acceleration of the collapse. From here, the criminal scrutiny has become tirelessly or bored, and the system opposes it for fear of being condemned, the entrance to financial and economic correction to find out who stole the Lebanese money and their deposits, and who caused the tremendous erosion that is paving the way for the great impact.

Q: As Lebanese parties, you hold each other responsible for the political and economic collapse. Have you not allied and shared power over the past 15 years and until now?

A: The Free Patriotic Movement has been absent from power for a full 15 years since the inception of the Second Republic. And whoever is absent, you mean that, a desire to continue with its path and to rob Lebanon. In 2005, we entered the parliament through the big door, which was called the tsunami at the time. However, our change and reform ideas have descended like a thunderbolt over the traditional system that has not yet been digested, neither our existence

nor our thinking of change, nor the modernization that we seek through structural reforms at the core of the system, politically, financially, monetarily, economically and socially.

Q: If you acknowledge the existence of corruption within the state, who is responsible for that?

A: As mentioned above, corruption made the traditional system and its righteous son. It was accepted as one of the means of self-financing during the Second Republic, as compensation for gains achieved in wartime and militia work, and as a main means of accumulating more gains on the margins of the state and the constitution, and contrary to the obligations of its consent to join the nascent state. State-building and corruption cannot coexist, and it is inevitable that one will destroy the other. The fight against corruption is a central issue in the Free Patriotic Movement's policy of state building, despite its high cost to it because it has put it in a bloody confrontation with the entire traditional political and financial system that brought Lebanon, with its non-state practices, to collapse and to the Lebanese losing their jobs and money and reaping their lives. Reforms are based on a central goal, which is forensic scrutiny in preparation for recovering the looted and talented funds, albeit gradually, as well as through a fair distribution of losses. It is based on the obligation to legally declare the recovery of looted and unlawfully donated funds transferred abroad in a discretionary manner, in addition to the Law of the Special Court for Financial Crimes, as well as the adoption of the Law of Accounts and Property Disclosure for each public servant to ensure transparency and clarify the truth of the corrupt. In addition, the Capital Control Law must be passed to stop the leakage of funds abroad, and all structural reforms necessary to secure international support that are conditional on their achievement must be approved. It remains that the sustainable solution to combating corruption is the establishment of an independent, conscientious and effective judicial authority, in conjunction with modernizing state structures, relying on e-government to stop bribery and facilitate and speed up administrative transactions.

Q: Are you ready to accept an external solution that might impose a group of specialists and technocrats to run the country in the next stage?

A: No one is more distressed by Lebanon than the Lebanese themselves. There is no alternative to the national dialogue which has to lead to a discussion on our differences and reach common points that would enable us to move forward with the rescue plan. The outside is acceptable when it constitutes a facilitating factor for internal reconciliation, but it is definitely rejected if it is acted as tutelage. We approved the French initiative as a facilitator for the national solution and an integrated package that produces a rescue plan. We are still waiting for the parties to fulfill their pledges and what they have committed to do.

MR. ZAFER NASSER
Lebanon

General Secretary of the Progressive Party.

Interview

Q: As a Social Progressive Party, where do you stand from the October 17 revolution and its demands?

A: There is no doubt that the moment of October 17 formed a popular uprising rejecting an existing political and economic reality, and therefore we did not have a negative stance on it at all, but we did not take an official decision to participate in it. And the demands raised are right. We had a movement through a demonstration organized by the Progressive Youth Organization three days ago, that is, on October 14, which raised many demands that converge with the demands of October 17, but many groups went to the slogan "All Means All", that we cannot accept because we have our political, ministerial and parliamentary history and we are proud of it. Despite this, we expressed our willingness to discuss our performance in detail to evaluate it, but not on the basis of generalization. This is what made the distance between us and the movement.

Q: Why don't you follow the revolution's choices and go to a new electoral law and a new production of power in Lebanon?

A: We are with a new electoral law since before the revolution, and we proposed that the law should be outside the sectarian constraint and that the youth group would give it the opportunity to express themselves.

Q: Some Lebanese parties accuse the revolution of receiving funding from abroad. Do you agree with these accusations and is there any evidence for that?

A: There is a lot of talk about external funding for some groups, and usually in Lebanon, the external factor enters the internal political game, but for us the most important is the reality of the position of the Lebanese people, which they expressed in this revolution, and the people are the sincerest in relation to some groups that contribute to mobilizing or organizing the movement.

Q: You all have relations with foreign countries, isn't that also considered a pledge?

A: Communication with abroad is not legally prohibited, but working for foreign parties at the expense of the country's interest is rejected.

Q: You have been in power for many years, why did you not make any change regarding going to a prosperous civil state?

A: We have been participating in governments since after Ta'if agreement, but in Lebanon and because of the sectarian structure, we cannot be asked about change, but rather we can ask about our performance because we are not a ruling party.

Q: In your opinion, who is responsible for the current situation in Lebanon?

A: The one responsible for what the situation has devolved to is first the sectarian political system, then the political performance of the political forces without generalization because

the matter needs a detailed study in this aspect, which adopted the quota approach instead of the rational management of the state and its capabilities.

Q: Are you ready to go for a new constitution that abolishes political sectarianism and the quotas based on it in all state centers and institutions?

A: There is no radical solution in Lebanon except with a political system that is not sectarian, but realism requires saying that this is difficult and requires collective conviction.

Q: What do you explain why hundreds of thousands of young people and citizens took to the streets and raised unified slogans and demands?

A: Young people descend with unified slogans and demands that express this generation's rejection of the existing reality, but it failed to find a program and leadership

Q: You hold each other, as Lebanese parties, responsible for the political and economic collapse. Didn't you join forces and share power for more than 15 years that have passed until now?

A: I prefer not to answer

Q: Are you ready to accept an external solution that might impose a group of specialists and technocrats to run the country in the next stage?

A: Any external solution imposes on us is evidence of our inability as Lebanese and detracts from sovereignty and national dignity. Helping in the solution is not a defect, but the important thing is that we help ourselves through an internal national will, and this is not impossible if the goal is Lebanon and the Lebanese, their interests and ambitions.

Ms. OMAR WAKIM
Beirut - 21 May 2021

A leader in the People's Movement with left wing sensibilities with close ties with Hezbollah. (Omar is the son of the Movement President Najah Wakim). They have participated to the revolution but remained distant from the other movements and insist on acting alone.

Interview

Q: Why was the fire of the October 17 revolution extinguished?

A: October 17 was not a revolution. It was a cry. And more than one year after it started, this 'cry' must produce a command with a project, capable of turning this cry into a real movement of change.

Q: Could we see the resumption of the popular action in the street with the same momentum?

A: In light of the collapse seen in Lebanon, it is natural for the people to return to the street. But without the aforementioned command, their action will once again be a mere cry and will not endure the same momentum.

Q: Why do the revolutionary forces not unite?

A: Because they have so far failed to agree on a project.

Q: Could you run in the next parliamentary elections together?

A: This will depend from the project they will present.

Q: Is it true that the revolution is funded by foreign sides? What about the sides close to the US embassy?

A: There is no doubt that the intelligence services are trying to exploit any popular action, especially if they are affiliated with states that had a key role in the current state of collapse in Lebanon, at the head of which is the United States. Unfortunately, some sides are implementing the American agenda, but they no longer enjoy credibility among the people despite all the money spent. This was clearly seen in the latest attempts to block the roads in the country.

Q: Is the slogan 'everyone means everyone' not unfair to the Lebanese resistance and its sacrifices?

A: The slogan everyone means everyone is against all those who were part of this ruling class since the civil war until today, and the resistance has nothing to do with them.

Q: What do you think about the talk surrounding a military government?

A: Once again, it is all about the project not the shape of the government.

Q: What is the role of the youth in tomorrow's Lebanon if they are all dreaming of leaving?

A: All the burden is placed upon the Lebanese youth and there is great hope in them despite all the difficulties they are facing.

Q: How can the revolutionary youth achieve victory over the ruling class?

A: Like the youth in any state around the world, they must overcome their sensitivities and work together to produce a project characterized by awareness and patriotism.

Authors

Patrizia Manduchi

Associate Professor in History of Islamic Countries and Contemporary History of Arab World at the Cagliari University (Department of Political and Social Sciences). Her research focuses on political history in Arab countries, in particular Egypt and Tunisia. She has been (2010-2012) the scientific coordinator of the International Research Team: *Democratization processes in Mediterranean Arab countries. The role of universities and research centers*. She has recently published (2018): "Università e sviluppo dell'identità nazionalistica in Egitto (1908-1952)" in *Nelle aule e per le strade: studenti e lotte politiche. Casi di studio dall'Africa e dall'Asia* (a cura di P. Manduchi, N. Mocci).

Noma Ziadeh Mikati

Lecturer of Economics at the Lebanese University. She received her PhD in Economics from the University of Limoges and holds a MSc in financial sciences from Université Paris X Nanterre. From 2015 till 2018 she was an assistant professor of Economics at Beirut Arab University. She also served as a teaching and research assistant during her PhD at Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne and Université Paris-Est Créteil.

Francisco Salvador Barroso Cortés

Associate Professor and Head of the Department of Political and Administrative Sciences of the School of Law and Political Sciences at USEK. Barroso holds a Ph.D. in Political Science with a specialization in the field of Security and Defense studies. Barroso has extensive experience in teaching and research at the international level. Barroso delivers different graduate courses on Geopolitics, Strategy Security, Defense, and Diplomacy in the master programs organized by the Higher Institute of Political and Administrative Sciences. Likewise, Barroso runs regular workshops and supervises master theses. His areas of focus include security and defense policies, critical geopolitics, geostrategy, insurgency, para-diplomacy, foreign policy analysis, political violence, and the Transformation of the Military and Security fields. He is the author of different peer review papers and chapters of books on Geopolitics of Lebanon and the Middle East, Euro Mediterranean Relations, Public Governance, authoritarianism, and corruption.

Walid Raad

Holder of a PhD in International affairs. Worked for ten years as a media attaché and political adviser to a number of Lebanese deputies and media attaché to former Lebanese President Emile Lahoud from 2005 to 2010. A writer and analyst with Bloomberg.com from 2010 to 2011, and a specialist on the Developments in the Arab world with l'Hebdo Magazine from 2010 to 2014. Chairperson of the Communication Arts department at LIU (associate professor) from 2013 to 2018. Currently the coordinator of the Public relations department.

Assaad Sadaka

The Coordinator of the Communication Arts Department at the Lebanese International University - Bekaa Branch. PhD in Communication and Media Sciences from the Lebanese University. MBA from the Lebanese International University. Bachelor degree in Political Science from the University of Western Ontario (UWO), Canada. Bachelor degree in Journalism from the Lebanese University.

Prodotto digitalmente nel mese di settembre 2021

UNIVERSITY STUDENTS AND LEBANESE POLITICAL SOCIETY: CONTEXTUALIZING IRHAL FOCUS GROUPS AND THEIR IMPORTANCE TO UNDERSTAND THE PRESENT AND FUTURE OF LEBANESE POLITICS

EDITED BY PATRIZIA MANDUCHI E ROSSANA TUFARO



Università di Cagliari
Dipartimento di Scienze Politiche
e Sociali



Unione delle Università del Mediterraneo
Mediterranean Universities Union
Union des Universités de la Méditerranée
إتحاد الجامعات المتوسطية



Ministero degli Affari Esteri
e della Cooperazione Internazionale

University students and Lebanese political society:
contextualizing IRHAL focus groups
and their importance to understand
the present and future of Lebanese politics

Working Paper

2

Edited by
Patrizia Manduchi and Rossana Tufaro

University students and Lebanese political society: contextualizing IRHAL focus groups and their importance to understand the present and future of Lebanese politics
Edited by Patrizia Manduchi and Rossana Tufaro

Coordination: Eugenio Platania
First edition: October 2021

This report is published by UNIMED - Unione delle Università del Mediterraneo with the support of the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation, in accordance with Article 23- bis of the Decree of the President of the Italian Republic 18/1967.

The opinions expressed are those of the authors. They do not reflect the opinions or views of the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation.

The report “University students and Lebanese political society: contextualizing IRHAL focus groups and their importance to understand the present and future of Lebanese politics” has been developed in the framework of the project IRHAL - For an analysis of the Lebanese protests and the role of the youth beyond the paradigm of confessionalism, financed by the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation and coordinated by Marco Di Donato (UNIMED) and Eugenio Platania (UNIMED)

Photo freely downloaded from Unsplash (<https://unsplash.com/license>)

Author of photo: Charbel Karam

Unsplash photos are made to be used freely. Unsplash license reflects that.

- All photos can be downloaded and used for free
- Commercial and non-commercial purposes
- No permission needed

Impaginazione: in fase di revisione da parte della casa editrice UNICApres
(<https://unicapress.unica.it/>)

INDEX

- 9 *University students and Lebanese political society: contextualizing IRHAL focus groups and their importance to understand the present and future of Lebanese politics* (by Patrizia Manduchi and Rossanna Tufaro)
- 11 Section I - *University Students and the Lebanese political society: a historical overview* (by Rossana Tufaro)
- 21 Section II – *Focus groups main findings per cluster: a synthetic overview*
 - Cluster 1 – Lebanese University (by Dr. Noma Ziadeh Mikati)
 - 29 Cluster 2 – Lebanese International University
 - Focus Group I – Beirut and Tripoli Campuses (by Dr. Walid Raad)
 - 33 Focus Group II – Beqaa and South campuses (by Dr. Assaad Sadaka)
 - 35 Cluster 3 – Université Saint Éspirit de Kaslik (by Dr. Francisco Barroso Cortes)
- 39 Section III. *Unpacking the focus groups' results: a generational breakthrough?* (by Rossana Tufaro)

University students and Lebanese political society: contextualizing IRHAL focus groups and their importance to understand the present and future of Lebanese politics

by Patrizia Manduchi and Rossana Tufaro

Introduction

Between October 17, 2019 and late February 2020, an unprecedented popular uprising for geographic scope and popular participation took hold of the Lebanese streets demanding, through a variety of claims, practices and solidarities, a radical redefinition of the national socio-economic and political order on more accountable, inclusive and equal bases (IFI 2020). The uprising – or *thawra*, revolution, as labelled by the array of mobilized actors who embodied it – cross-cut geographic, class, sectarian, gender and generational boundaries, and assumed immediately a steady anti-establishment posture, epitomized in the overarching slogan “*Killun ya’ni killun*”, “All of them means all of them” to express both the attribution of the full responsibility for the socio-economic and governance shortcomings affecting peoples’ everyday lives to the entirety of Lebanese sectarian forces, and the quest for the latter’s immediate resignation from the parliament. This represented a breakthrough of crucial importance with the transgressive protest cycles of the post-war period, where sectarian logics of engagement and exclusion had represented or a distinctive feature (most notably, the so-called “Independence Intifada” or “Cedar Revolution” of 2005), either, as of the case of the incremental anti-sectarian protest cycles developed from 2011 onwards, an elephant in the room still too incumbent to be overtly challenged (AbiYaghi, Younes and Catousse 2015). Furthermore, while the uprising consistently built on cumulative and incremental dynamics, much of its propulsive force came from the spontaneous and protracted activation of social groups and geographical areas which had so far widely remained on the margins of anti-sectarian transgressive contention, and whose quiescence had represented a fundamental – albeit passive – pillar for the reproduction of sectarian elites’ access to political power.

As effectively summarized by Mona Harb, «the 2019 October uprising toppled the government but did not manage to break the entrenched sectarian political system» (Harb 2021). One of the main reasons for this shortcoming has been identified in the loose horizontal structuring adopted by the squares which, if on the one hand contributed to preserve demonstrators from top-down attempts of cooptation, it simultaneously prevented to canalize the array of atomized grievances and actors who reactively took the streets towards a broad-based constituent process projected on the long term.

The uprising demobilized at the end of February 2020, upon the spread of Covid-19 pandemic, and the consequent enforcement of protracted sanitary lockdowns which forbade public gatherings and were conducive to compulsory dismantlement of the permanent mobilization sites mushroomed in the main squares and crossroads of the country. When, during the summer 2020, the containment measures on public gatherings begun to be lifted, the explosion of more than two thousand tons of Ammonium Nitrate at the Port of Beirut provoked the devastation of a consistent portion of the eastern side of the capital, with a final toll of about 220 deaths, thousands of injured, and the forced displacement of about 300 000

households. Meanwhile, the economic crisis which triggered the outbreak of the uprising underwent a steady acceleration to become, according to World Bank estimates, the third harshest one ever experienced at a global level since the late XIX century (World Bank 2021). The progression of the crisis has been further burdened by thirteen months of institutional paralysis, which hindered the delivery of a variety of international donations and loans to address the debt crisis, implement the reconstruction, improve services and infrastructures, and stabilize the currency. This progressively deprived the majority of Lebanese citizens of the material conditions to return to permanently occupy streets and squares, triggering an outstanding migratory exodus. Furthermore, the peaking of the crisis provided opportunity for sectarian elites to reactivate sustained clientelistic rewards mechanisms (cash and food donations, targeted distribution of oil and electricity, clientelistic distribution of Covid-19 vaccines, to cite the most important) to retrieve consensus among their target constituencies. However, as testified by the continuative persistence of capillary – albeit molecular and dis-organic – protest actions and their anti-systemic framings (Lebanon Support, Mapping of Collective Actions in Lebanon), this operation does not seem to have produced so far effects large enough to mend the deep fracture in the sectarian social contract unveiled by the uprising. Equally important, the demobilization of the squares ignited ex-post a sustained constituent momentum among a variety of opposition actors and networks emerged during the uprising towards the construction of alternative political parties and coalitions through which challenge establishment parties in the upcoming 2022 elections.

Against this backdrop, the IRHAL project aims at analyzing the role that university students might play in the construction of an alternative power block. To this aim, we organized four focus groups to assess how the uprising and its aftermaths impacted on students' political attitudes and behavior, to then explore the role that the latter can exert in the future of Lebanese politics.

In effect, since the very affirmation university students as established contentious actors on their own right in the national political arena throughout the 1960s, university student activism in Lebanon has been constantly characterized by a sharp dualistic structure. As much as university campuses have never ceased to represent one of the major breeding grounds for the radical contestation of the Lebanese sectarian order, in fact, the same campuses have simultaneously represented also a prominent site of partisan activism and recruitment underpinning from below the reproduction of sectarian loyalties and modes of political subjectification. The forms whereby this dualism expressed itself over time have walked hand in hand with the shifting framings, fault-lines and power-balances defining the national political dynamics, whereof students have represented both a mirror and an integral component. Therefore, understanding the political positionalities of university students across time and space, their stratifications, and modes of articulation provides a privileged observation point to grasp the main political ferments at stake within Lebanese society in a given historical moment. More importantly, being university campuses one of the privileged sites of formation of future voters and political leaders, to understand the forms and trajectories of students' engagement to the political offers a particularly valuable vantage point to anticipate the main outlines of the political confrontation to expect in the upcoming future.

Section I: University Students and the Lebanese political society: a historical overview

by Rossana Tufaro

From ideology to partisanship: Lebanese university student activism before and after the Civil War (1975-1990)

Albeit the establishment of the first universities in Lebanon dates back to the late XIX century, university students emerged as prominent contentious actors on their own right in the national political arena on the eve of the 1960s. This emergence was significantly prompted by the educational policies promoted by the president Fuad Chehab (1958-1964) which, by consistently enlarging the scope and the activities of the public Lebanese University¹, played a pivotal role to democratize and massify the access to higher education, and to disrupt the monopoly held until then by private and elitist university institutions (Barakat 1977). At the center of students' mobilizations stood educational, domestic, and regional questions alike, within the framework of a broader process of rapid politicization and radicalization of the youth population both within and outside educational institutions (Traboulsi 2012, 163–70)

Until the outbreak of the Civil War (1975-1990), the dominant political fault-line dividing the students' political affiliations pitted a right-wing, predominantly Maronite minority of student groups framing their defense of the existing Lebanese sectarian order according to different understandings of the Lebanese Nationalism, against an expanding majority of predominantly Muslim, ideologically heterogeneous leftist groups struggling instead for a radical redefinition of the Lebanese civil order on secular and more equal bases (Farsoun 1973). This division largely reflected the process of political polarization and radicalization that the country was experiencing and which, against the backdrop of an unprecedented socio-economic and political crisis and the propellor of the bulky presence of the Palestinian resistance, ultimately stemmed in a fully-fledged armed confrontation. Also, the pattern of student politicization reflected the deep crisis that the dominant political structures based on civil leadership were undergoing, and foreshadowed the dominant ideological fault-lines which shaped the political confrontation "by other means" in the first biennium of the Civil War (Barakat 1977; Nasr and Palmer 1977).

Starting from the end of the 1970s, the Lebanese Civil War underwent a steady sectarianization. This process walked hand-in-hand with the progressive "cantonization"² of the national territory into homogeneous sectarian enclaves under the control of sectarian militias, which also took over the management of the administrative, infrastructural and public services, as well as the key economic activities and resources in the respective areas of influence (Picard 2005). This triggered a profound reconfiguration of the university political spectrum in a sectarian sense, according to patterns of adhesion and confrontation mirroring the political-sectarian balances at stake in a given locality. A crucial role in this process was played by the decentralization of university campuses, and particularly of the Lebanese

¹ The Lebanese University was formally established in 1951, and reached full operationality only by 1959, thanks to the efforts of President Chehab. Up to today, it represents the only Lebanese public university.

² The term "cantonization" is used here to indicate the process of division of the Lebanese territory into self-governed, contiguous and bordered territorial units under the control of a distinguished militia or coalition of militias which occurred during the Lebanese Civil War.

University, which eased the latter's incorporation in the militia recruitment and hegemonic infrastructures through the means of armed protection and provision of scholarships, the imposition of the campuses' directive bodies and teaching staff, the tailoring of academic curricula and admission criteria, and the clientelistic distribution of educational benefits to partisans (Bashshur 1988).

On October 22, 1989, the signature of the so-called "Taif Agreements" set up the conditions for the end of the Lebanese Civil War. The Agreements established as core initiative for the re-institution of peace a constitutional restoration of political sectarianism readjusted in its power-sharing formula in favor of a greater Muslim representation, by revising the Christian/Muslim allocation of the parliamentary seats from a 6:5 to a 50%-50% ratio, and by transferring part of the executive and legislative prerogatives of the President of the Republic (Maronite) in favor of the executive (Sunna) and the Parliament speaker (Shia). Equally important, the Agreements put Lebanon under a strict Syrian tutelage, which turned soon into an occupation *manu militari* holding almost complete control over the domestic and foreign affairs.

As stressed by Nagle and Clancy (Nagle and Clancy 2019), the Agreements largely reflected the "no victor and no vanquished" outcome of the conflict, and de facto formalized the sectarian structures emerged during the war by providing the new sectarian elites produced by the hostilities with the enabling institutional infrastructure to capture political power and reproduce in both state and society the path-dependent mechanisms of sectarian governance set up throughout the conflict. These elites included, among the most important, a tiny but powerful Sunna oligarchy of "contractor bourgeois" epitomized in the figure of Rafik Hariri who took the leadership of the community (Baumann 2012), and, above all, former militias and warlords which, thanks to the general amnesty of 1991, were able to easily reconvert themselves into political parties and recapitalize in and through the state the political and economic power acquired by weapons. The means whereby this recapitalization occurred consisted first and foremost in the structural transformation of the state apparatuses (ministries, state-owned enterprises, public agencies and institutions, etc.) into instruments of self-serving economic appropriation and political patronage through the clientelistic or personalistic distribution of procurements, workplaces, and resources according to distribution criteria superseded by the politics of allotment of the spoils of office bequeathed by the Taif Agreements (Baumann 2019). This transformation was compounded by the strategic maintenance of a minimal state provision of basic and welfare services, which left the field open to politically-connected or controlled sectarian institutions to fill the void (Cammatt 2014; Cammett 2011), and hence to penetrate and regulate every 'nook and cranny' of the life of Lebanese citizens.

This war-inherited patterns of persistence and reproduction did not left immune university campuses which, along with remaining prominent sites of sectarian incorporation from above, saw student clubs and associations progressively affirming themselves as prominent sites of sectarian partisan activism and recruitment (Harik and Meho 1996). Also, the reproduction of sectarian loyalties among youth was significantly underpinned by the state-sponsored post-war "politics of amnesia" which, by preventing from above the elaboration of a collective memory of the conflict first and foremost by hindering the integration of Lebanese post-independence history in the official school programs, bounded youth's historical knowledge and *mise-en-sense* of the country's recent past to the sole familial memories and communal narratives (Haugbolle 2010; Barak 2007).

The result was to cement and structuralize the political-sectarian fault-lines, loyalties, and modes of political subjectification emerged in the terminal phase of the conflict, which remained henceforth the basic blueprint of the post-war national socio-political fabric.

From the "Independence Intifada" to the October 17 Uprising: patterns and trajectories of university student activism in post-war Lebanon

The consolidation of sectarian loyalties notwithstanding, during the period of the so-called "Pax Syriana", students and youth remained generally absent from the national political arena. One of the main reasons for this absence was strictly related to the tight repressive control exercised by Syrian authorities over Lebanese political and civil life, which stretched from the ban over demonstrations and opposition political parties, to the enforcement of press censorship and electoral frauds, up to the extensive penetration of the structures of civil society and the murder of political dissidents. The second one was the widespread feeling of distress, disillusionment and alienation which caught the silent majority of the student population, resulting in a general disenfranchisement from active student politics (Harik and Meho 1996). This did not prevent anyway underground anti-Syrian student networks to emerge and operate. The earliest and most combative front was represented by the student groups affiliated to the banned Free Patriotic Movement (FPM)³ and Lebanese Forces (LF)⁴ which, despite the close monitoring of the Syrian intelligence, managed to use the safer space of private universities as prominent alternative sites of organization and mobilization in absence of – and autonomously from – the respective party leaders (Bray-Collins 2016, 154-164). This front came to progressively incorporate also the student groups of the PSP⁵ (Druze) and the young partisans of Prime Minister Hariri⁶ which, especially after the withdrawal of the Israeli occupation troops from South of Lebanon in 2000, felt increasingly free to publicly express their looming anti-Syrian stances.

Besides this majoritarian partisan stream stood a minoritarian, but not least important, array of independent secular and leftist collectives pairing the firm opposition to the Syrian occupation with an equally firm rejection of the post-war sectarian and neoliberal order (Gambill 2003). These groups matured in the shadow of the wave of civil activism and leftist revival developed throughout the 1990s as oppositional counter-reaction to the implementation of

³ The Free Patriotic Movement (FPM) emerged in the first half of the 1990s as an underground anti-Syrian organization aiming at pushing forward the political project of the exiled leader General Michel Aoun. Its social base accounted predominantly Christian middle classes, and combined overt anti-Syrian stances with a loose Lebanese nationalist ideology. After the withdrawal of Syrian troops from Lebanon in 2005 and the consequent return of Aoun to the homeland, the movement turned into a formal political party. In defiance of its sharp anti-Syrian history, in 2006 Michel Aoun signed a memorandum of understanding with Hezbollah whereby the FPM became the major Christian force within the pro-Syrian March 8 coalition (Helou 2020).

Currently, the FPM represents the largest Lebanese Christian party present in the Parliament. In 2016, Michel Aoun has been elected President of the Republic.

⁴ The Lebanese Forces (LF) were established in 1976 as an informal coalition of Christian right-wing militias under the leadership of the Kataeb Party. The two organizations definitely split in 1985, to become after the war two distinct political parties. Following the strong opposition to the Syrian control over Lebanon, in 1994 the party was compulsory dissolved and its leader Samir Geagea put under arrest for war crimes. After the withdrawal of Syrian troops in 2005, Geagea was released and the party was legally reconstituted (el-Husseini 2012, 49-58). Currently, the LF represent the second major Christian force of the country, and the leading Christian party of the March 14 coalition.

⁵ The Progressive Socialist Party (PSP) was established in 1949 by the charismatic Druze leader Kamal Jumblatt. From the short Lebanese civil war of 1958 until the Jumblatt's assassination in 1977, the party affirmed itself as the leading force of the Lebanese left, taking the head of the Lebanese National Movement, i.e. the umbrella organization coalescing the heterogeneous array of leftist groups who took the weapons in the first phase of the Civil War. After Kamal Jumblatt's assassination, the leadership of the party was taken by his son Walid who, while managing to keep the party's military hegemony, progressively shifted its ideological discourse towards sectarian positions. During the Pax Syriana, the party was fully integrated in the Syrian-backed ruling apparatus, to progressively shift towards overt anti-Syrian positions after the death of Hafez al-Assad in 2000 (el-Husseini 2012, 58-64). Currently, the party represents the main Druze force of the country and is part of the March 14 coalition.

⁶ After the initial marriage of convenience, from 1998 the relations between Hariri and Syria began to rapidly deteriorate. The definitive breakout occurred in September 2004, as a reaction to the Syrian sponsored imposition of a constitutional reform to extend the mandate of the outgoing president Emile Lahoud. It is widely considered that his spectacular assassination was backed by the Syrian regime (International Crisis Group 2010)

the Taif Agreements, and partially built on the leftist experiences and the anti-war civil society networks of the 1980s (Hagbolle 2016; AbiYaghi and Yammine 2019). As much as their sectarian counterparts, they represented a prominent incubator for a new generation of political and social activists, and actively participated to a variety of mobilizations and campaigns dealing with both domestic (municipal elections, civil marriage, post-war neoliberal reconstruction) and regional questions (es: 2003 US invasion of Iraq).

Starting from late 2000, partisan and independent anti-Syrian student networks begun to increasingly cooperate both within and outside of the campus in the common struggle against Damscus hegemony. The cooperation further accelerated from the end of 2004, as the Syrian-sponsored amendment of the constitutional chart to extend the presidential mandate of Emile Lahoud sparked widespread outrage all over the country. This played an important role to lie the grassroots foundations for the broad-brand coalition which, after the tremendous assassination of Prime Minister Rafik Hariri on February 14, 2005, took the streets and prompted the definitive withdrawal of the Syrian troops from Lebanon (Bray-Collins 2016, 164-167).

The so-called “Independence Intifada” represented a fundamental moment of participation and politicization for the post-war student generation, and marked a crucial turning point in the development of post-war student politics. From the point of view of partisan activism, the major outcome was to foster and consolidate the full integration of student groups in the militant structures of the respective parties. The integration walked in parallel with the sharp polarization of the national political spectrum into two rival coalitions defined by the respective regional alignment: on the one hand, the Saudi and Western-aligned March 14 coalition, leaded by Hariri’s Mustaqbal movement⁷, the Lebanese Forces, and Jumblatt’s PSP; on the other, the Syro-Iranian-aligned March 8 coalition, leaded instead by Amal⁸, Hezbollah⁹, and Aoun’s Free Patriotic Movement who, after his return from exile, shifted his political orientation from anti-Syrian to pro-Syrian positions.

The first consequence of this integration was to shift the main focus of university partisan activism from student issues to the expansion of the respective militant bases and the parties’ in-campus presence. This double work was carried out primarily by capillary penetrating the student socializing structures, most notably the student clubs, which became henceforth powerful platforms of recruitment and mobilization thanks to the numerous academic and social benefits associated to the affiliation (Lefort 2013). Second, several independent groups and first-timers which had participated to the Intifada got absorbed in the sphere of influence of March 8 or March 14, at the expenses of the consolidation of independent networks. Last but not least, university student elections turned into increasingly relevant and competitive testing grounds for the measurement of the political weight of the two coalitions on a national

7 The Mustaqbal movement was borned throughout the 1990s as a political current revolving around the personality of Rafic Hariri. After his assassination, his political legacy was continued by the son Saad who, in 2007, structured the current into a formal party. Currently, Mustaqbal represents the most important Sun a political force and the leading group of the March 14 coalition.

8 The AMAL movement was established in 1974 by the Imam Musa al-Sadr, from the pre-existing Movement of the Dispossessed. The movement aimed at empowering the living conditions and the political weight of the Shia community in Lebanon, and participated to the civil war. After the mysterious disappearance of al-Sadr in 1979, the leadership of the party was taken by the current secretary Nabih Berri, and became one of the most influential militias of the Civil War (Norton 1987). Thanks to its longstanding close alliance with Syria, in the post-war period it was able to retain and further consolidate the prominent position acquired by weapons. Currently the party represents the second most important Shia formation in Lebanon and is part of the March 8 coalition.

9 Hezbollah was born in 1982 from a splinter of the AMAL movement. During the Civil War, it played a prominent role in resisting the Israeli invasion of the South of Lebanon, and rapidly expanded its presence and operations among the Shia community. Thanks to the post-war re-alignment with Syria and the protraction of the Israeli occupation of the South of Lebanon, the party represented the sole post-war militia allowed to retain its military arsenal. Since its very foundation, the party has been organically linked to the Iranian regime, whereof it still represents one of the major regional allies (Norton 2007). In 2020, the Special Tribunal for Lebanon charged to investigate on the assassination of Hariri and other prominent anti-Syrian personalities which followed his death after the Syrian withdrawal, attested the active involvement of a party member in the murderer. Currently, the party represents the most important Lebanese Shia formation.

scale. This relevance peaked particularly after 2012, as the postponement of the parliamentary elections for five consecutive years made university ballots the sole terrain of national electoral confrontation. Furthermore, security accidents among partisan student groups on the election days became increasingly recurrent (Gatten 2013).

Against this sharp sectarian re-entrenchment of university politics, starting from 2009 the explosion of a succession of contentious cycles challenging at different levels Lebanese sectarian neoliberal order gave new impetus to the rise of independent student groups. The most important ones included: a) the *Laique Pride Movement*¹⁰ of 2009-2010, advocating for the secularization of the state; b) the *Anti-Sectarian Movement* of 2011¹¹, which enlarged the scope of the quest for overthrowing the sectarian system to the endemic corruption and the rejection of neoliberal policies; c) the mobilization against the postponement of the Parliamentary elections of 2012-2013, where the quest for political accountability was also consistently present; d) the *You Stink* movement of 2015¹², whose early environmental trigger quickly escalated into an overarching contestation of the political and economic status quo.

Reflecting the socio-geographical scope of the aforementioned mobilizations, these networks took root particularly in the major private universities of the capital, such as the American University of Beirut (AUB)¹³, the Lebanese American University¹⁴ (LAU), and the Saint Joseph University (USJ)¹⁵ where, especially from 2016 onwards, independent student organizations experienced a consistent expansion. The important growth notwithstanding, however, on the eve of the October 17 Uprising, the established sectarian hegemony within campuses was still far from being consistently scratched. In the 2017 AUB elections, for instance, albeit the independent list guided by the *Secular Club* earned the historical record of six seats, these seats represented anyway only one third of the overall council (Parreira, Tavana, and Harb 2019). Furthermore, outside of the aforementioned socio-geographical cluster, the growth of independent groups remained marginal and scarcely visible. This anticipated to a greater extent the results of the 2018 parliamentary elections which, against the historical performance scored by the independent lists stemmed from the aforementioned anti-systemic contentious cycles, saw independents earning only one seat in the East Beirut constituency (el-Kak 2019).

10 The *Laique Pride* movement was born between 2009 and 2010 from social media talks among activists to promote secular change in Lebanon. The first demonstration took place on April 2010, and included among its main claims the secularization of the personal status law. The movement was predominantly composed by collectives, organizations and NGOs advocating for the secularization of Lebanon, including AUB's *Secular Club*.

11 The *Anti-Sectarian Movement* was launched by a coalition of Leftist collectives in the vein of the first wave of Arab Uprisings. The movement touched its zenith between February and March, with a succession of participated demonstrations taking place in the major Lebanese cities. The movement quickly faded upon internal divisions concerning its political agenda and the positioning of its components towards the Syrian uprising.

12 The *You Stink* movement unfolded throughout the spring and summer 2015, against the backdrop of a severe trash crisis which caught Beirut and Mount Lebanon. The crisis was ingited by the saturation of the main landfill serving the capital and the parallel expiring of the contract of the society charged of waste collection, to whom the government failed to find a prompt solution. The movement debuted as a NIMBY mobilization, to quickly escalate into a mass contestation advocating for systemic change (Abu Rish 2015).

13 The American University of Beirut was established in 1866 under the name of Syrian Protestant College per initiative of American protestant missionaries. Since its very foundation, it has represented one of the most prominent and prestigious academic institutions of the Arab Levant, attracting students from all over the region. Since the 1940s, it has constantly represented a major node of radical student activism.

14 The Lebanese American University was established in 1992 under the original name of Beirut University College (BUC). Its earliest nucleus was set up in 1833 as a female Women's College per initiative of American Evangelical missionaries. Currently, LAU represents the second most prominent anglophone Lebanese university after AUB, and attracts students from all over the region.

15 The USJ was established by French Jesuite missionaries in 1874. Currently, it represents the second most prominent Lebanese university institution and the main francophone one. Historically, it has represented the main site of formation of the Maronite ruling elites.


The uprising and Beyond: Making sense of IRHAL Focus Groups

As stressed by a several observers, university students played a central role in the making of the October 17 Uprising as both organized collective actors and individual participants. This centrality has been particularly evident in Beirut, where university students and collectives represented the most vibrant animators of the debates, the mobilizations, and the multitude of innovative cultural, social, political and citizenship practices which inhabited Martyr's Square. The uprising played also a pivotal role to boost the expansion, the institutionalization and the networking of independent student organizations all over the national territory.


The most important incubator in this sense is the MADA network¹⁶. MADA network was established in 2017 per initiative of the Secular Clubs of the AUB and the USJ, with the double aim of enhancing the rootedness of independent groups within the campuses, and integrating university youth in the national social, political, and economic arena. On the onset of the uprising, it played a central role to help the capillary organization of new secular clubs in the major universities of the country, and to coordinate and enlarge the scope of their political action towards (Chehayeb and Majzoub, 2021). Currently, MADA-linked secular clubs are present in ten out of forty accredited Lebanese university institutions. These universities include AUB, USJ, LAU, Université Saint Esprit de Kaslik (USEK), Notre Dame University (NDU), Sagesse University, Antonine University, the Beirut Arab University (BAU), the Lebanese International University (LIU), and th Lebanese University. Last but not least, in the Autumn 2020, independent student organizations reported a series of sweeping victories in the university elections of AUB, USJ, NDU and the Rafic Hariri University.

Both the results of 2020 student elections and the steady expansion of independent student networks undoubtedly represent an important breakthrough with the past. On the other hand, they are not alone self-sufficient to give back a picture representative and thorough enough of the overarching political impact that the Uprising exerted on the ensemble of the student population and its future implications. The IRHAL focus groups aim at filling this gap, by providing a first comprehensive qualitative investigation of students' engagement to the political before, during and after the Uprising and its potential impact. To this end, the project selected a sample of thirty-four students from the three local partner universities, designed to mirror as much as possible the overall socio-geographical composition of the Lebanese youth population.

TAB. 1 - GEOGRAPHICAL COMPOSITION OF PARTICIPANTS




TAB. 2 - GENDER COMPOSITION OF PARTICIPANTS



16 <https://www.facebook.com/MadaNetwork/>

To result consistent with the turnout of 2018 parliamentary elections and enable future projections, the sample privileged the inclusion of participants who had not actively engaged politics before October 2019.



The focus groups have been organized according to four clusters based on the students' Alma Mater, and were carried out online during the second half of July 2021 as follows:

Cluster	Date	Number of Participants	Moderator
LIU – Beqaa and South Campuses	July 16, 2021	8	Dr. Assaad Sadaka
LIU – Beirut and Tripoli Campuses	July 16, 2021	10	Dr. Walid Raad
Lebanese University – Tripoli Campus	July 22, 2021	8	Dr. Noma Ziadeh-Mikati
USEK – Kaslik Campus	July 23, 2021	8	Dr. Francisco Barroso Cortes

The decision to hold the focus groups online was strictly related to the Covid-19 restrictions and the severe fuel shortages that Lebanon begun to experience since the beginning of the month, compromising the possibility for the majority of students to move from a locality to another. Furthermore, the coeval sharp degradation of telecommunication services hindered at the last minute the participation of a total of four students out of the thirtyeight originally identified.

The focus groups revolved around twelve main common questions elaborated by UNIMED, the University of Cagliari and the local partners during three virtual preparatory meetings, and were designed and organized according to three macro-thematic areas of investigation:

1. Triggers, forms and trajectories of students' participation to the Uprising (3 questions)
2. Attitudes and perceptions towards the Lebanese political system before and after the Uprising (6 questions)
3. Post-Uprising Youth and the future of Lebanese politics (3 questions)

So to put at ease students and facilitate the discussion and interaction, the focus groups were moderated by the local partners, who also took charge of selecting the participants for the respective clusters according to the established sampling criteria. The role of observer was filled instead by myself on behalf of the University of Cagliari, who took record of the modes of interaction and the non-verbal communication of participants for all the four clusters. Exception made for the USEK focus group which was held in English, all the focus groups were held in Arabic. In both cases, the choice of the language was oriented to address at best the communication exigencies of all the involved participants. Finally, before, during, and after their unfolding, the focus groups respected the strictest ethical criteria.

The following report will provide first a synthetic overview of the main findings emerged in each cluster according to the three macro-areas of investigation. It will then proceed to provide a thorough comprehensive analysis of the ensemble of students' answers in the mirror of respondents' profile, to conclude with a general assessment of what the results can tell us about the future of Lebanese politics. Is the Uprising here to stay, or is it yet another ephemeral attempt to bring about change?

Bibliography

AbiYaghi, Marie-Noelle, Myriam Catousse, and Miriam Younes. 2017. "From *isqat an-nizam at-ta'ifi* to the Garbage Crisis Movement: Political Identities and Antisectarian Movements". In Di Peri, Rosita and Meier, Daniel, *Lebanon Facing the Arab Uprisings: Constraints and Adaptations*. Palgrave MacMillan: 73-92

----- and Léa Yammine. 2020. "The October 2019 Protests in Lebanon: Between Contention and Reproduction". Civil Society Knowledge Center. Lebanon Support <https://civilsociety-centre.org/paper/october-2019-protests-lebanon-between-contention-and-reproduction>

Barak, Oren. 2007. "'Don't Mention the War?' The Politics of Remembrance and Forgetfulness in Postwar Lebanon." *Middle East Journal* 61 (1): 49-70.

Barakat, Halim Isber. 1977. *Lebanon in Strife: Student Preludes to the Civil War*. University of Texas Press.

Bashshur, M. 1988. "The role of education: A mirror of a fractured national image". in H. Barakat (Ed.), *Toward a viable Lebanon*. Center for Contemporary Arab Studies, Georgetown University: 42-67.

Baumann, Hannes. 2019. "The Causes, Nature, and Effect of the Current Crisis of Lebanese Capitalism." *Nationalism and Ethnic Politics* 25 (1): 61-77. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13537113.2019.1565178>.

Bary-Collins, Elinor. 2016. *Sectarianism from Below: Youth Politics in Post-war Lebanon*. PhD Thesis. University of Toronto.

Cammett, Melani. 2014. *Compassionate Communalism: Welfare and Sectarianism in Lebanon*. Cornell University Press.

Cammett, Melani Claire. 2011. "Partisan Activism and Access to Welfare in Lebanon." *Studies in Comparative International Development* 46 (1): 70-97. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12116-010-9081-9>.

Chehayeb Kareem and Tala Majzoub. 2021. "Lebanon's Student Movement: A New Political Player?". Arab Reform Initiative. September 07, 2021. <https://www.arab-reform.net/publication/lebanons-student-movement-a-new-political-player/>

Farsoun, Samih. 1973. "Student Protests and the Coming Crisis in Lebanon." *MERIP Reports*, no. 19 (August): 3. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3011841>.

Gambill, Gary C.2003. "Student Politics in Lebanon". *Middle East Intelligence Bulletin*, Vol. 5, n°11.

- November 2003: https://www.meforum.org/meib/articles/0311_11.htm.
- Gatter, Emma. 2013. "Lebanese Student Election: A Microcosm of the Nation's Conflicts." 2013. *Al-Fanar Media* (blog). November 30, 2013. <https://www.al-fanarmedia.org/2013/11/lebanese-student-election-a-microcosm-of-the-nations-conflicts/>.
- Harb, Mona. 2021. "Youth in Lebanon. Policy Narratives, Attitudes and Forms of Mobilization". Arab Center Washington DC. June 17, 2021 <https://arabcenterdc.org/resource/youth-in-lebanon-policy-narratives-attitudes-and-forms-of-mobilization/>
- Harik, Judith, and Lokman Meho. 1996. "THE WAR GENERATION AND STUDENT ELECTIONS AT THE AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF BEIRUT." *Arab Studies Quarterly* 18 (2): 67–90.
- Haugbolle, Sune. 2010. *War and Memory in Lebanon*. Cambridge University Press.
- Helou, Joseph P. 2020. *Activism, Change and Sectarianism in the Free Patriotic Movement in Lebanon*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- el-Husseini, Rola. 2012. *Pax Syriana: Elite Politics in Post-War Lebanon*. Syracuse University Press.
- International Crisis Group. 2010. "Lebanon's Politics: The Sunni Community and Hariri's Future Current". *Crisis Group Middle East Report* n° 96, May 26, 2010
- Issam Fares Institute. 2020. IFI Op-ed #1: Naḥu Lubnān akṭar 'adālah: Maṭālib intifāḍa tišrīn, ḥārīṭah ṭarīqnaḥu ad-dawlah al-ḥulm. IFI Blog. January 10, 2020. <https://ifiaub.wordpress.com/2020/01/10/%d9%85%-d8%b7%d8%a7%d9%84%d8%a8>
- El-Kak, Nadim. 2019. "A Path for Political Change in Lebanon? Lessons and Narratives from the 2018 Elections." *Arab Reform Initiative*, July 2019. <https://www.arab-reform.net/publication/a-path-for-political-change-in-lebanon-lessons-and-narratives-from-the-2018-elections/>.
- Lebanon Support. Map of Collective Actions in Lebanon. Civil Society Knowledge Center. https://civilsociety-centre.org/cap/collective_action
- Lefort, Bruno. 2013. *A Recited Community: Figures of an Identity Foretold*. Tampere University Press.
- Nagle, John, and Mary-Alice Clancy. 2019. "Power-Sharing after Civil War: Thirty Years since Lebanon's Taif Agreement." *Nationalism and Ethnic Politics* 25 (1): 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13537113.2019.1565171>.
- Nasr, Nafhat, and Monte Palmer. 1977. "Alienation and Political Participation in Lebanon." *International Journal of Middle East Studies* 8 (4): 493–516.
- Norton, Augustus R. 1987. *Amal and the Shi'a: Struggle for the Soul of Lebanon*. University of Texas Press.
- — —. 2007. *Hezbollah: A Short History*. Princeton University Press.
- Parreira, Christiana, Daniel Tavana, and Charles Harb. 2019. *University Elections and Political Socialization in the Developing World*. <https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/jtvp2>.
- Traboulsi Fawwaz. 2012. *A History of Modern Lebanon*. Pluto Press.
- World Bank. 2021. "Lebanon Economic Monitor: Lebanon Sinking (To the Top 3)". World Bank Group - Middle East and North Africa. Spring 2021. <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/394741622469174252/pdf/Lebanon-Economic-Monitor-Lebanon-Sinking-to-the-Top-3.pdf>

Section II – Focus groups main findings per cluster: a synthetic overview

Cluster 1 – Lebanese University

Date of the Focus Group: 22/07/2021

Length: 93 min

Language: Arabic

Platform: Zoom

Number of students: 8

Age range: 20-26

Moderator: Dr. Noma Ziadeh Mikati

Additional Notes: Technical disturbances and discontinuous participation of some students due to the weakness of internet connections

Report prepared by: Dr. Noma Ziadeh Mikati

Introduction

In this part of IRHAL project we were interested in looking at October revolution from youth perspective. So how did Lebanese youth perceived October revolution? Were they aware of why the revolution breakout at the first place? Were they convinced by these mobilisations and how did they participate? How did they perceive Lebanese political system before the outbreak of the revolution? How did they change after the revolution? And today after almost two years since the beginning of October protests and with the mixture of crisis that the country is facing, how do they feel and what do they think about the changes that the revolution permitted to achieve? Do they still believe that change may occur in this country?

In order to bring Lebanese youth perspective regarding these matters several focus groups were conducted. In what follow will be presented the results from the focus group conducted at the Lebanese University. Specifically, eight young students majoring in Economics and Finance among the Lebanese University students from the North branch (Tripoli) participated in this focus group. The results of these focus groups will be interesting in revealing the degree of maturity and readiness of the Lebanese youth as actor of change in this country. This will be discussed in the final part of this report.

Main Findings

At the beginning of the focus group meeting an introductory question was addressed to our 8 participants in order to question their interest in political, economic and public matters before the outbreak of October 17. The interest here was to underline whether October revolution brought changes from this perspective. Most of the participants showed indifference and a lack of interest before the revolution regarding these subjects. Still everyone mentioned that the revolution was a turning point: they were much more aware and concerned to follow news and to increase their knowledge regarding public and political matters. It is also good to mention that the worsening of the economic situation in Lebanon seems an element that also increased some participants' curiosity to follow news, even before October 17.

"Before the revolution I didn't even use to follow the news and I wasn't really concerned about political matters" (A., 23, F)

"That's true we didn't follow these subjects before October 17" (N., 23, F)

"We already had the feeling that something will happen at the time when the exchange rate begin to fluctuate and at that time a lot of people were saying that the situation is not stable, so yes I used to follow news and read lot" (W., 26, F)

"No, I didn't use to follow, never. I even didn't use to know the economic analysts... I begin to follow more political programs and political shows with the outbreak of the revolution and the crisis" (M., 20, M)

Youth Perceptions and Participation in October Protests

With the outbreak of October Protests the perception regarding these mobilizations among our focus group participants were a bit different. October protests permitted, for all participants, to realize and to understand more deeply some of the main problems that the country is facing and made them more aware that changes needed to be implemented. For example corruption, the economic situation, the bad quality of public services, the high unemployment rate, the failure of government to create job opportunities for youth and the high level of nepotism in job market were among the reasons cited many times by many of our participants as reasons for joining the revolution.

"Honestly maybe at the beginning we were attracted by the ambiance how everyone joined and our friends, at the beginning I didn't understand the revolution deeply and I wasn't aware of the main reasons for it, but after the beginning of the revolution, I began to read and to understand its reasons" (A., 23, F)

"A lot of people joined because they wanted a revolution and they reclaimed changes... We are graduated students and we are not able to find good jobs only five or six among our promotion are working, we are not finding jobs and this is one of the reasons why we should mobilize." (M., 23, F)

"Before we didn't have the motivation to mobilize but when the problem was more obvious and when the media has played a role so we really had to participate in such a revolution!" (C., 23, F)

"The revolution was a starting point for people to know what is happening" (W., 26, F)

But when all participants agreed on the problems in the Lebanese context not everyone agreed on the way in which October protests were expressed. For a couple of participants, some aspects of October revolution including road cuts and musical events and the lack of organization were among the elements that decreased the credibility and the seriousness of the protests and were even reasons for not joining streets.

"Honestly I didn't participate in the revolution it didn't convince me... 75% of the people who joined the revolution did it for fun, so we saw that we had a DJ in Tripoli and a DJ in Beirut so people just joined, it wasn't a real revolution for this reason I didn't join... I am not against the revolution and I am not against the demands I am not happy with my life I mean... but it was chaos, chaos, chaos, and there were no leader and no organisation just decisions to cut roads here and reopen it there... it wasn't a real revolution" (T., 23, M)

"I didn't participate in the revolution because maybe the first five days in the squares it was a true revolution but when the revolution begin to cut streets in my village preventing me from going to my university from joining classes in order to get my degree and contribute positively in my country! What revolution is that? (M., 20, M)

"I didn't join the streets but on the social media and in groups we were talking and discussing and we were also talking about the problems that are happening on the streets that demotivated us from joining. At the end anything without good organization will lead to chaos and will not lead to results and for this reason it didn't lead to anything and didn't present solutions to the problems." (N., 23, F)

Besides, the infiltration of the political parties and the violence implemented by the partisans of the current regime during the demonstrations were also significant in retrieving people out of the streets but not out of the mobilization.

"I joined the street at "al Noor Square" where people were gathering but this was for the first fifteen days but then when we noticed that there is infiltration from political parties I retrieved from the streets and I participated through social media and through TV shows but I didn't join the street mobilization after that I only re-joined streets to participate in the cultural debate and talks that were organized there." (I., 22, M)

"...When I noticed that political parties infiltrated the streets and these parties in Lebanon with thirty years of experience they know how to change things for their own interest so when I noticed that these things are not representing me anymore I retrieved from streets but this doesn't mean that I am not with the revolution anymore" (W., 26, F)

"For me the revolution didn't convince me because only a few were really motivated to join the revolution for the country for the situation and for the living but directly from the next day it was obvious the role of the political parties, it became a politicized revolution" (N., 23, F)

The participants mobilized during October protests by joining streets and squares in different cities and by joining the cultural activities held in tents in squares. Social media was also another mean through which our participants expressed their opinions by following pages and discussing and posting comments. Furthermore interesting way participants engaged during October protests were through the discussions that were happening privately in small groups between participants' families and friends. Most of the participants in the focus group mentioned that they tried to convince their community and specifically those with political affiliation about the rightness of the revolution claims.

"Because we begin to influence our family our relatives and as a result they were also encouraged to participate and join the revolution"(I., 22, M)

"For those with the revolution and those convinced by the revolution of course we have changed! For example we gain much more economic and social awareness also I want to mention that I lost some of my friends because of the revolution, because it really revealed the sectarianism of some people and it revealed how some people are not ready to accept different point of views" (M., 23, F)

When they were questioned about how their participation changed since two years till now most of the participants mentioned once again how the revolution impacted their political awareness and their curiosity to know. The participants were aware of the difficulties to achieve change in Lebanon although they mentioned that election might be an important way to implement changes.

"After the 4th of August explosion I begin with more eagerness to discuss with my family and people around me about how the current political regime lead us to all of this, the 4th of August was like a slap in the face to wake up and we felt that we should not give up and we should continue till the end" (W., 26, F)

"In the beginning I was very active and enthusiastic for the revolution but after a while my participation and my passion decreased because I felt that we need election in order to achieve change because the current political regime has great power in the state institutions and it would be impossible to change we need elections so we may bring important changes." (I., 22, M)

"I think that the level of awareness that we achieved due to the revolution is much more important than the days during which streets were blocked and more important than street gatherings, because this mindset and this change will impact us for our whole life and it also permits us to influence others through discussions... During the revolution we saw that the population mobilized but no one heard people's demands and things didn't change and here we understand the importance of election to bring changes so it's much more about mindset." (C., 23, F)

Youth's Perception of Political regime

When asked about how they perceived the political system in Lebanon before the outbreak of the revolution, all participants agreed that political system is a damaged system where corruption, sectarianism and the lack of patriotism prevail. Two participants also mentioned the role those foreign countries play in major decisions.

"There was no political plan for the future no strategy and everything was about dividing power among the different confessional communities and corruption so there was no way for change." (I., 22, M)

"All the politics in Lebanon and all the government and government institutions and all the public institutions was all about bribe nepotism and corruption this was very obvious before the revolution and continue to be and even increase" (A., 23, F)

"Honestly the whole political system is damaged in Lebanon because of sectarianism. It is not possible to talk in Lebanon about politics without talking about confessions!" (W., 26, F)

"A very bad political system! When we see a deputy member of the parliament without having the qualification without having the education how can we expect from this person to bring evolution for the country? Such people bring corruption they don't have anything to change for the better so of course this is a bad regime." (M., 20, M)

Youth's Expectations during October Revolution

We asked participants about changes that October revolution permitted to achieve. At the beginning of October protests, most of participants, specifically those who joined the movement, expressed a high degree of hope that change is coming and that the situation will be different. However, after two years since the beginning of October protests, participants claimed to be more realistic regarding the changes that October protests achieved, they also stated that time, perseverance and strong will are needed in order for significant changes to arise.

"We had great hope that things will change and we had great hope that those associated and responsible for corruption will be held accountable but until now we didn't see anything maybe later no one knows" (N., 23, F)

"I had great hope, even if we were different in some way but we were unified for a same thing we all were rejecting this current political regime! But after Beirut Port explosion on the 4th of August this hope has decreased specially when we saw that until now people in positions of responsibility do not accept to be held accountable" (W., 26, FI)

"I thought it's really the time to change and I thought that change is coming and the situation will change. After that of course the power of the government and the current regime to influence the revolution through infiltration was obvious." (I., 22, M)

"Change needs time, it's not in one or two or five years that we can implement changes. It has been only two years since the outbreak of the revolution so we cannot expect the change to be on the spot we need to implement some reforms in order to get changes in the future. This system exists for thirty years and led to the current crisis." (M., 20, M)

Changes and achievements of October revolution

The answers regarding the changes that October protests generated could be grouped into two main categories: the impact that October revolution produced at the micro level among the participants' feelings and mindsets and the impact that the revolution induced at the macro social, economic and political levels.

1 - The impact that October revolution produced at the individual level

First at the personal/individual level, as stated previously all participants claimed increase in political, social and economic awareness, curiosity and culture after October protests. This increase in political awareness led to more openness to other political views, it also led to more objective and critical thinking. Furthermore, some of the participants mentioned that they left their political affiliation and that they are less likely to vote for the representatives of their own sectarian communities during the next elections and more likely to vote for independent and qualified people.

"Before the revolution I wasn't completely belonging to a specific party but I was following a specific party, but after joining the revolution we began to discuss, to read and to open up to other political parties, to see what they accomplished, so of course I have dramatically moved away from politics in many ways but at the same time, politics has become much closer to me! The revolution permitted me to hear to read to understand more, I also understand much more about economics of course." (N., 23, F)

"The most important achievement is that a lot of people who used to be affiliated to specific political parties left these affiliations and they began to think more objectively! So not because we are taking patronage resources from a specific politician that we should follow him no we must think logically and independently from any representative and from any political party." (N., 23, F)

"Lot of people used to think that if the political person representing our confessional community is not elected then all our community will suffer but now we realise that this is not true we may have any other person who has the skills needed and he will have better impact than the person we used to vote for." (I., 22, M)

Interestingly everyone mentioned how the revolution permitted to be more independent from parental influence specifically in terms of political decisions. Many participants criticised sectarianism of older generation and the decisions made by their parents concerning politics and elections.

"This really permitted us to have better awareness and it gave us some independency away from the mindset that our parents used to have, so we are much more open and we are much more capable of having our own ideas and saying/discussing these ideas." (C., 23, F)

"Many among us maybe not the majority but many among us were very influenced by their parent's opinion and affiliations. So the revolution was a new platform where we were able to say "no" we have our own ideas and our parents do not represent us they do not think in the same way, we are a different generation" (W., 26, F)

"Maybe now parents realized that they made mistake when they voted for the representatives of the traditional political parties, of course a lot of parents are politically affiliated but they also realized that these political parties didn't provide neither for them nor for their children, so even parents will not encourage their own children to vote for political parties anymore." (A., 23, F)

"Yes, our parents voted to specific people maybe at the time it was an adequate vote but now things are different and it's not at all appropriate to continue supporting these same people, our parents though are now very similar to ours they refuse them all." (I., 22, M)

2 - The impact that October revolution produced at the macro social, political and economic levels

At the social level, participants claimed a decrease in sectarianism and an increase in cohesion between the Lebanese population after October protests:

"Also sectarianism decreased, the revolution permitted to unify people from Zook to Jal el Dib to Beirut to Tripoli so this fact about the north, south Beirut Keserwain so the idea that each region had its own ideas, no after the revolution we are all one Lebanon, Before the revolution of course we used to love each other but after the revolution we understood more that it is you (regime) that you are dividing us but at the end we are all human" (N., 23, F)

"So I am from Tripoli I met people from other regions and discovered how we are alike we all suffer from the same things..." (W., 26, F)

"I also think that the revolution has a great social impact much more than the intellectual one. The revolution permitted people to understand that we need each other we need to help each other because the population is not able to rely on the government... the revolution reminded us that the government is absent from its duties related to the provision of public goods and we really need each other because we don't really have other alternatives" (C., 23, F)

At the political level, participants stated that October protests were an occasion to destabilize the general indifference of the population toward corruption; the revolution also helped in exposing the files of corruption of the authority and the sources of waste in its sectors. Furthermore most of the participants claimed that the revolution weakened the politicians and put great pressure on the representatives of the current regime. They also stated that the weakening of the current political parties could be seen from the results of the election at the union level.

"The revolution made a great pressure on the current regime. Now they think twice before taking any decision... the other positive thing is that politicians are now denouncing each other we can notice that everyone from the political class is in conflict with the others and this is something in our interest, the revolution also strengthened and gave more confidence for those who expose the files of corruption of the authority, now they have more confidence because of the public support and because of the revolution." (A., 23, F)

"We didn't have this awareness or understanding of course everyone knows that corruption exists but everyone turned a blind eye we had the habit of corruption among politicians" (C., 23, F)

"We are already seeing changes at the union level where independent people were elected so yes at the short term we see changes at the union level and NGO but to bring more important changes we need time because corruption is well rooted in the Lebanese system." (M., 23, F)

At the economic level, most of our participants think that the revolution permitted them to understand more deeply the economic crisis and the economic conditions in Lebanon. This interest in economic matters could also be due to the fact that participants in this focus group are all majoring in Economics and Finance. For example, participants in our focus group didn't discuss the political aspect of the current regime they also didn't mention the state of law or the interference of the political regime over the judiciary.

"It also showed us the reality because we used to live in delusion, the revolution revealed the corruption and the economic situation" (I., 22, M)

On the contrary, claiming that the revolution was responsible of the worsening of the economic condition was only mentioned by one of the participant. This argument was widely used by the partisans of the current political regime as a way for demonizing protests and October revolution.

"I was very concerned with the beginning of the revolution, because we were going to the crisis at a slow pace but the revolution made things faster for example the exchange rate before the revolution changed but with small volatility so five ten or twenty Lebanese liras per \$ but after the beginning of the revolution the volatility of exchange rate was much bigger and everything was messy we all knew that we are already facing a crisis but to face it slowly is better! this is what I think!" (T., 23, M)

Finally, when asked about the changes that October protests could bring during the next election, most of the participants predicted major changes to be produced at the electoral level. They also mentioned that current regime and representatives of the sectarians' parties will continue to win but they will have less power and new faces will be seen. Participants weren't so much sure about the changes that these new faces are able to bring in the context of the well-rooted sectarian regime but they almost all stated that they would not vote for the current representatives during the next election. One participant claimed that the same political representatives will continue with the same power but he also stated that he was not sure about how he will be voting during the next election. All in all, October protests brought huge impact regarding the youth generation that according to our sample seems much less likely to continue voting to the same political representatives and are more eager for reforms and change.

"I think that the representatives of the current regime have less confidence about the results of the elections, before they guaranteed their success but today of course there will be new faces and as it for me it will be the first time that I will have the right to vote so of course I will vote for independent candidate" (A., 23, F)

This generation I think is well able to produce a shift in the balance of power in politics we won't vote anymore for those from our sectarian communities that our parents used to vote for, instead we will vote for people whose ideas reflect our own! (C., 23, F)

Discussion of Findings and Concluding Remarks

To conclude, what can we retain from these feedbacks? Can youth and young students

bring change in Lebanon? Are they able to play a major role in the political life? Knowing the complexity of the Lebanese situation and the difficulties of change within the sectarian regime, these questions may seem idealistic even naïve one. Still these questions were the last questions addressed to the participants of this focus group. Despite the divergence of the point of views that were expressed in some of the previous questions, all participants were very assertive about the positive role that youth generation is able to play in Lebanon whether through education, election and through their impact on their community: *"We are crucial and they are not even able to control us"* (A., 23, F), *"We are able to change if we have the will"* (M., 20, M), *"I think that we will be able to bring changes through the elections and in our community in the workplace we will be able to spread this new mindset among the people"* (I., 22, M). *"Lebanese Youth generation is educated we are able to bring changes if we want to"* (W., 26, F)

These answers do not necessarily reflect a higher degree of maturity and readiness of the Lebanese youth to lead change, still what we retain from this focus group analysis is that with the new mindset that prevail among the youth generation and with their aspiration to a new regime away from corruption and away from the traditional sectarian representatives, Lebanese youth need adequate educational and cultural empowerment that make them better seeds for long term change! *"We need good orientation and finding this adequate orientation is also something difficult! To organize and lead the youth mindset coming from different age range and different background different religions so this is something very challenging but as soon as we receive such adequate orientation that leads us to the right way and lead us in this mission of change"* (W., 26, F)

Cluster 2 – Lebanese International University

Focus Group I – Beirut and Tripoli Campuses

Date of the Focus Group: 16/07/2021

Length: 94 min

Language: Arabic

Platform: Google Meet

Number of students: 10

Age range: 21-28

Moderator: Dr. Walid Raad

Assistant Moderator: Dr. Rana Azzaz

Additional Notes: Light technical disturbances and discontinuous participation of some students due to the weakness of internet connections

Report prepared by: Dr. Walid Raad

The focus group was divided into three sections of half an hour each. We asked all contributors the same questions in each section, and individuals contributed equally for 1 minute per question and interacted openly to ensure reliable results from discussions and to understand the attitudes, opinions, and experiences of participants who were randomly selected for the focus group and whose opinions may reflect those of the population at large.

The focus groups session was useful in gaining a deeper understanding of the motivations, feelings, and values behind participants' reactions.

The focus group began with a series of questions to assess participants' major concerns, as well as their general perception of participation in the Lebanese protests, and their perceptions and expectations during, before, and after October 17 broke out. Participants perceived the current political attitudes and mentalities as an impediment to progress and expressed hope that attitudes and opinions would change.

Regarding the role of youth and the future, participants believed that an idealized future was possible given rising concerns over corruption and the disappointing pace of reform.

It was very striking to me that those who participated in the revolution were disillusioned by the fact that it did not induce change, and those who supported the parties were disillusioned by the fact that they did nothing to remedy the crisis. And this is maybe the most essential point that shows that no one is satisfied in Lebanon today. Not those who support the political parties that have been ruling the country for the last forty years, nor the youth that were involved in the revolution and hoped to topple the regime.

This feeling of weakness is generalized and was clearly discussed during the focus group over and over again, pushing most of the youth to either migrate or prepare for leaving the country when the opportunity arises.

The Lebanese youth are disillusioned with their rulers and even with themselves and this is very dangerous.

If anything, our ethnographic analysis has shown that both the individual and group behaviors were challenged by opposing ideas, without this ever leading to change in their minds or their beliefs. They were ready to listen to new and challenging ideas, even in some cases, to read material they would not have read otherwise, but to no avail. The convictions and even previous political affiliations resurfaced, although most of them participated in the Thawra for many months. Thus, the students from the North, mainly the city of Tripoli, i.e.

Sunnis, all blamed Hezbollah, Iran and the Shi'i community for the problems in the country. They also blamed them for supporting the Syrian regime of Bashar al-Assad and for the Arab blockade imposed on Lebanon, because had it not been for Hezbollah's involvement in Syria and Yemen, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and other Gulf countries would not have suffocated Lebanon economically.

In Beirut, on the other hand, the main actors belonged to the Shi'i sect. And they did not see any problem with Hezbollah's arms or Iran's influence in Lebanon. To them, the problem resided in the Western, and more specifically American interference in Lebanese internal affairs. They also blamed Riad Salameh, the Governor of the Central Bank, for the current economic disaster, and behind him the Future Movement of Saad al-Hariri, who has always been his main supporter. They do not mention the role played by Hezbollah in neighboring Arab countries or its involvement in illegal smuggling activities along the Syrian border.

As for the Christian participants, they were the segment that had clearly given up the most on seeing change in the country, and as such, either already migrated or is in the process of doing so. The Christians were the most hopeful that their fellow citizens would rise as one and look beyond religious considerations to build a new Lebanon. But they were greatly disappointed. As such, another Christian migration wave started in early 2020 and is still ongoing to this day. This is very worrisome because Lebanon has always been a special country in the region and a bridge between East and West because of this Christian presence. A role it clearly does not hold anymore.

This is surprising, even frustrating, but it also explains why the Thawra eventually failed to oust the current political regime. A political class that has been in place since the early 90s, when the Syrian regime of Hafez al-Assad was given a green light to control Lebanon and its affairs. Since then, Nabih Berri, Walid Jumblatt, and Al-Hariri, have succeeded in infiltrating all state institutions, whether judicial, political, or military, integrating thousands of people in the state institutions and rendering it a clientele state. They became the state, controlling everything and everyone. Even Hezbollah, who has imposed a parallel state system, was inclined to join them and work actively on pressuring and intimidating people to get them to abandon the revolution. And the revolution was consequently thwarted, after those participating in it were reminded of the old divisions and by bringing up sectarian issues which they knew in advance would divide them once again.

So, it was not a surprise to see that both in the interviews we conducted and in the focus group that was organized that the participants have almost surrendered to the status quo, feeling that their commitment and their activism had not really served the country or their generation. Even worse, the regime and its media rhetoric were able to make them feel guilty for the current situation. The victim, as such, became the guilty party, while the politicians who are the real guilty side are now looking down on these youngsters and blaming them for the current chaos.

In conclusion, and following long weeks of questioning, analyzing, discussing and talking to participants in the October 17, 2019 revolution, it has become clear that many of them are no longer hopeful to see any serious change in the political system. The slogans raised during the popular uprising are long gone and today's youth either want to leave the country or are looking for ways to make ends meet. Since October 2019, things in general have become much worse, with no electricity, gas, or water, and especially with the complete collapse of the Lebanese lira. The banks have also played their part in that, by putting their hands on people's savings. So now, the Lebanese are looking at Venezuela and seeing the same scenario hitting them with no light at the end of the tunnel, since the politicians are only looking after their interests. And in the absence of a working government for over a year, not to mention the economic and psychological damage caused by the August 4, 2020 Port explosion, the Lebanese, a strong people who have faced occupations and hardships for centuries, have mostly given up.

Their dream, especially that of the younger generations, who had created so much hope in

October 2019, is now present outside the country. Very few still seek to create real change in the next parliamentary elections scheduled next year. Those are perhaps the last revolutionaries standing and they were given a big boost during the last lawyers and engineers syndicate elections, as well as in a number of university elections, where in the parties in power were not only defeated, but humiliated by the lists supported by revolutionaries. As such, we can see that the Lebanese civil society is not dead. It was defeated but it can still count on the support of the youth and the wider population, which is suffering from all kinds of hardships caused by the Lebanese rulers. The youth who were active in the uprising and were calling for the removal of the entire political class without any distinction, can still rise again, but only if they see a leadership or a project presented by the Thawra, something that has not yet occurred but is much needed before the parliamentary elections in spring 2022.

Focus Group II – Beqaa and South campuses

Date of the Focus Group: 16/07/2021

Length: 76 min

Language: Arabic

Platform: Google Meet

Number of students: 8

Age range: 19-28

Moderator: Dr. Assaad Sadaka

Additional Notes: Technical disturbances and discontinuous participation of some students due to the weakness of internet connections

Report prepared by: Dr. Assaad Sadaka

The focus group was held with eight out of eleven students who were supposed to attend the discussion session. All the students belonged to the Lebanese International University and were distributed among the branches of the Bekaa and the South, within different party affiliations, most notably Hezbollah and the Future Movement.

All the students expressed their absolute support for the revolution in its infancy and saw in it the salvation for Lebanon from all the political and economic problems and difficulties it is going through.

It turned out that all of these students were disappointed days and weeks after the start of the revolution because of their transformation into groups aiming to block roads or hold music festivals without being able to raise unified slogans and demands, as well as without reaching the formation of a unified leadership. According to the discussion, it was found that most of the students were blaming the other party, or the other parties, and held them responsible for the deteriorating conditions and the collapse that we have reached. Some students of Hezbollah saw that it was the only party that did not indulge in internal corruption and that it was the only party that provided aid to its people and its nurturing environment. While some students held Hezbollah responsible for confiscating the state's decision and for being the party that protected the system of corruption and prevented the reform process, while others accused the party of raising slogans and not applying them.

It was remarkable that some of the students regretted their affiliation with some parties and their belief in the slogans of some of them (including Hezbollah) and said that the revolution revealed the falsehood of their slogans and that they were ready for change at the earliest opportunity and at any upcoming parliamentary elections.

There was a clear disappointment among all the students with the results of the revolution, which began with a massive mass and ended with party gatherings here and there. They attributed the reasons for the failure to the sectarian and sectarian diversity in Lebanon, and that all parties are affected by this sectarian mixture, as well as the affiliations of their supporters. All students emphasized that salvation can only be achieved by leaving this sectarian system and by a new constitution for the country that separates religion and the state and that everyone is treated equal before the law.

All the students emphasized that the revolution had changed their view of things, specifically their political choices, especially after the masks fell and all parties were exposed and it was found that all of them were involved in corruption and the bad situation in Lebanon. This has increased the youth's insistence on changing and participating in any serious activities that

come from demonstrations or elections, provided that they are real and far from sectarian agendas or those of parties.

It turned out that some students belonging to Hezbollah are more attached to the ideology and doctrine of their party and affirmed their commitment to the instructions and choices of their party, which they saw as correct, although some of them said that they would reconsider their accounts and party affiliation.

At the end, it can be said that the Lebanese youth are victims of the political conditions that have prevailed in the country for decades and have imbibed the customs, traditions and political and social legacies so that their affiliation with the sect and the party has taken precedence over belonging to the homeland, which has always appeared in the image of a weak state that does nothing for its citizens, so resorting to sectarian parties was the alternative and solution.

At the same time, the October 17 revolution revealed the sterility of this political system in Lebanon, and that all political parties were involved in one way or another in the quota system and corruption that undermined the foundations of the state. This matter has become clearer for the Lebanese youth, who expressed their rejection of it in the squares, and there is no doubt that this will have a great impact on the upcoming elections on the Lebanese arena.

Cluster 3 – Université Saint Éspirit de Kaslik

Date of the Focus Group: 23/07/2021

Length: 128 min

Language: English

Platform: Microsoft Teams

Number of students: 8

Age range: 18-26

Moderator: Dr. Francisco Barroso Cortes

Additional Notes: Light technical disturbances and discontinuous participation of some students due to the weakness of internet connections

Report prepared by Dr. Francisco Barroso Cortes

1 - Introduction:

The Department of Political and Administrative Science of the School of Law and Political Sciences at the Holy Spirit University of Kaslik (USEK) organized and implemented a Focus Group for the research project IRHAL - For an analysis of the Lebanese protests and the role of the youth beyond the paradigm of confessionalism. It is a research project about Lebanese youth and its political engagement during 'Thawra'. UNIMED implements the project in collaboration with the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs. For more information, read the concept note attached to this e-mail and the following website: <https://www.uni-med.net/progetti/irhal/>

2 - Methodology and Participant Profile:

The Focus Group took part online via Microsoft Teams on Friday, July 23, between 10h30 am and 12h45. Dr. Francisco Barroso, who is the Head of Department, played the moderator role within a group of eight students. The students are currently enrolled in the Bachelor Program of Political Science, Bachelor Program of International Relations and Degree in Law (six students from Political Science and International Relations and two students from Law), and come from different years of enrollment. Likewise, the group was compound by four women and four men.

The moderator once contextualized the research project and introduce the session. He brought up a total of 12 questions divided into 3 sections which were the following ones:

Participation; 2. Perceptions and Expectations; 3. Role of Youth and Future.

The questions were clear and well received by the group. However, some questions were very close, or the answers were useful to cover a couple of questions at the same time.

3 - Findings:

When Thawra broke out, what were the main reasons that pushed you to participate or not participate to the mobilizations?

In this question, most of the students participated in the Lebanese revolution and had mainly the same reasons that pushed them to go to the street: the 'Thawra' was not mobilized by political parties, people wanted equality and freedom, and that is what is lacking in Lebanon, it was a revolution against sectarianism, the state is no longer capable of providing or

satisfying our basic needs, some wanted to change the elite that are in control since forever and wanted to start seeing new faces that are willing to work and make effective change. People wanted to see a difference, as mostly everyone said. However, some students didn't continue to participate in the revolution for many reasons, like the transformation of the revolution to a concert venue. Others stopped because they found that it was leading to nowhere. On the other side, one student didn't participate at all; she saw from the beginning that the revolution is leading nowhere.

How and in which form did you mobilize? Where (including the virtual space)? What did you do?

In this question, it appears that most of the students participated in the 'Thawra' by going to the streets protesting the first few weeks daily even in the university time, students skipped their class to protest, hoping to change. However, the majority left the streets and lost hope in this 'Thawra' because they felt as if it was a play and that the people were getting to the streets because it was a trend and they wanted to have fun, as some students said. Some students continued their 'Thawra' on social media, believing that those platforms are also a tool to change by spearing their thoughts and ideas and influencing others. In addition, a student also believes that he can make a change through his affiliation in his political party (in the opposition) being part of the revolution. A comment was made by a student affiliated with a political party in power, blaming the protesters for not accepting supporters from traditional political parties adding that a revolution without political parties is useless. Replies to this comment were that the 'Thawra' is not accepting those supporters because these political parties have been in power for years, and people were fed up with them and protesting against them.

Did your participation change over time? If yes, why and how?

In the replies to this question, it is apparent that all the students who started participating were intense at the beginning of the revolution. Still, after a few days, all of them realized that this revolution was transformed into a "party" where people can drink alcohol, listen to music, and dance on the streets, which was why they stopped participating. In addition, some students disagreed with the presence of traditional political parties, with which they were fed up. Also, the protesters were exhausted from the current situation. People didn't have the same energy to go to the streets because of the corrupt system. Some students saw that no revolution could be made without violence. One mentioned that the one day when he found he's satisfied with the revolution outcome is when Hezbollah and Amal movement members went down to the streets and started fighting with them, they felt threatened by the change. However, this participation didn't stop; the revolution is not only the protest on the streets, but it is a mindset and a lifestyle, as someone mentioned, and that's why they continued their revolution on the social media platforms trying to influence people.

Before the outbreak of the Thawra, what were your attitudes and perceptions towards Lebanese political system? How did they change over time, if it happened?

All of the students agree that they were fed up with the political system way before the 'Thawra', the same elites in power every time with the same ideas and mindsets, and that nothing changed for them, even their attitudes, towards the Lebanese political system is getting worse. Only two of the students participating in this focus group are affiliated with traditional political parties, one in the opposition (Lebanese Forces) and one in power (Free Patriotic Movement). At the same time, all the rest didn't find any political party that represents them. The Lebanese Forces supporter mentioned that before the revolution, people were asking him why I was invested and majoring in politics since politics is a disaster in Lebanon. They were always trying to convince me to go party and have fun instead of working hard to change this country. He was surprised positively after the 'Thawra' that even people who couldn't stand

the idea of people being politically affiliated to political parties are now political activists and even affiliated with new political movements. He mentioned that it is now the time to change.

When the Thawra broke out, what were your attitudes and perceptions towards what was going on?

All the speakers mainly had the same perception when the 'Thawra' broke out. It was a sign of hope, change, and a way to overthrow the current political system and sectarian agenda. Although a student mentioned that the 'Thawra' didn't break out yet, it will break out the day people lose everything and when everyone will have the will to make an effective change.

Throughout its unfolding, what were the main hopes and expectations that the Thawra arose in you, if any? If none, why?

This 'Thawra' gave hope to all the participants in this Focus group, hope to face the current regime, wish to change the current situation, and this country won't stay a failed state. Also, all of them agree that it is a hard-long process that needs a lot of time, effort, and sacrifice. No change can be done in a short time and efficiently. Some think that we are already doomed and can't change unless all the external powers stop interfering in the Lebanese affairs and the sectarian agenda will stop being applied.

During the Thawra, what were the major achievements reached in your opinion, if any? If none, why?

In this question, the students shed light on significant achievements of the 'Thawra', like uniting the Lebanese and strengthening the bonds between them. The 'Thawra' presented an awareness to the society and especially the youth generation that we can't let things as they are, made the voice of the people heard in the international community. People are now questioning or demanding. They are more aware of what is happening, this revolution brought two governments down, and many people had the opportunity to shine, so now we have new faces that emerged from the revolution. Also, this 'Thawra' let some members of the parties criticize their own political parties. People started to think critically, but some did not agree that the 'Thawra' made a significant achievement because they believed it didn't achieve anything on the governmental level. We still have many political cleavages that divide the Lebanese people into many political interests, which results in many political conflicts. Also, they think that many people are still, to this day, applying the agendas of those confessional groups.

One year and a half later, what have been the main results and failures achieved by the Thawra, in your opinion, if any? If none, why?

Most of the students talked about the failures of the 'Thawra'. They found that nothing was truly happening, in addition to a lack of awareness and some ignorance without clear planning, and that the 'Thawra' failed to pick a leader. Some found that some traditional political parties infiltrated the 'Thawra' by creating new political parties to have a longer lifespan. And that the radicalism that is found in the new political movements by not accepting the opposition leads to nowhere because you can't generalize and say that everyone is terrible. But from another perspective, some noted that the 'Thawra' changed the mentality of the people.

Against the current situation, do you think that can there be room for change, including through the forthcoming elections? Why?

In this question, everyone agreed that change is not impossible and it needs a lot of time and more effort. Most of the students still hope that we see a genuine change in the next parliamentary elections, even though the parties in power are still strong. Still, we saw the opposition growing in the past years, and many members of the parties in power have resigned. Some lost hope in the elections because they think that the traditional parties' power is still too

strong to put them away spatially that they still have a substantial popular base in addition to their corruption that will affect the election results as they always do. Some students said they didn't lose hope but think that we will not have parliamentary elections because the parties in power will cancel it, but there will always be other ways to change.

What is the main contribution that youth/students gave to the Thawra, if any?

All agree that the central pillar of the 'Thawra' is the youth, which is normal because their present decisions will affect their future. Youth are the ones who filled the streets and started shouting for change. The first win of the 'Thawra' was in the universities' elections, where the new political movements and the traditional opposition won all the seats, even though they were against each other in this run. But the parties in power lost everything, and that was great hope for a change of all the society. We also saw that the young generation started to spread awareness all over the social media platforms.

Do you think that Thawra had an impact on your generation? If yes/no, which one/why?

As everyone said, the primary purpose of the 'Thawra' is to make an impact on the young generation because it's their role to make the change. The 'Thawra' was a message of awareness for them to participate in political life and have a clear opinion of what's happening around them. It also helped them grow healthy political decisions, and it freed a lot of the youth from their traditional political parties in power to join the 'Thawra'. It also leads to let the youth be more active in politics, resulting in new political movements.

Today, do you think that youth/students can play a major role in the political life of Lebanon? If yes/no, which one/why? And, in which form?

As all mentioned, the future is in the youth's hands. They have the power to lift the country and make an actual change. Some said that nowadays everything changed. You used to see older people in power and politics, but now we see many youths wanting to do politics to create change. Having new political movements with youth and new faces is a good thing because it will lead to new solutions and a new way of thinking. One also mentioned that in addition to new young political movements, his party in the opposition has a large youth branch that is affecting the party's decisions. In addition, they can be mentored by older people who have been in politics long before them and give these youths an advantage.



Section 3 - Unpacking the focus groups' results: a generational breakthrough?

by Rossana Tuffaro

This third section aims at providing a comprehensive in-depth analysis of the ensemble of the responses provided by students in the four focus groups. The qualitative and quantitative data have been elaborated following the method of Constant Comparison (CC) (Onwuegbuzie et al. 2009). The CC method consists in a three-phased analysis where data, after having been chunked into small units according to their content under thematic labels (open coding), are grouped into categories (axial coding), to enable the development by the researcher of one or more themes expressed (elective coding). Moving from these findings, it will proceed in drawing the conclusions vis-à-vis our main research questions: how and to what extent has the Uprising impacted on the political positionalities of educated youth? Against this impact, can university students represent a site for the emergence or the consolidation of a counter-hegemonic power block?

1 – Before the Uprising: students and the Lebanese political system

Independently from the initial political positioning of the sample, all the participants to the focus groups shared a deeply negative perception of the existing Lebanese political system. The negative attributes the most recurrently used were related for the most part to the rampant corruption and nepotism permeating state institutions and the state-society relations alike, the self-serving use of power by the ruling elites, and the lack of elite-change. These findings resonate with the trends detected by the Arab Barometer survey on the eve of the Lebanese uprising (Arab Barometer 2019), which registered a general perception of political institutions as corrupted or highly corrupted by about 90%, and a distrust in the government institutions by about 80%. It should be noted that for the majority of our participants the notion of Lebanese political system (*nizam siyasi Lubnani*) is strongly identified with the system of allotment of the spoils of office among ruling elites (the so-called *dawlat al-muhasasa*) bequeathed by sectarian power-sharing.



EXCERPT SERIES 1 - FRAMING THE LEBANESE POLITICAL SYSTEM

«The Lebanese state is a failing state with a big decay in democracy. [...] We are a nation with regular electoral frauds, with a lot of corruption infiltrated in every inch of the government and in every infrastructure. [...] We don't have free and fair elections when electing new political leaders. We always have the same elites because they are paying for these votes. [...] Plus, the judiciary system lacks of credibility» NS (18, f)

«I've always perceived our political system as an ineffective one and a sectarian one where we have a lot of inequalities. Based on that I've always perceived the system as a bad one. Regarding all the political parties that are operating under this corrupted system, most of them aren't inclined for change» GS (20, m)

«We thought that everything in the state institutions was wrong, the public management and the management of public institutions and I thought that we should change things [...] There was no political plan for the future no strategy and everything was about "muhasasa" and corruption so there was no way for change» IM (22, m)

Within the framework of this dominant understanding, the major discriminant subsisting between partisan and non-partisan students lies in the different degree of responsibility attributed to each party in the making of Lebanese governance and institutional shortcomings. In particular, while for non-partisan students this responsibility is attributed to the entirety of Lebanese ruling parties with no distinctions, the partisan ones tend to absolve their party of reference, which is generally portrayed as the only one really caring about the destiny of the country against all odds. This self-absolutory attitude is usually compounded by the otherization of the main root of state corruption to the rival coalition. During the realization of focus groups, these conflicting opinions represented a major source of debate.

EXCERPT SERIES 2.1 - DEBATING PARTY RESPONSIBILITIES

• Discussion 1

- G., FPM supporter: «I blame Thawra people that when political parties' supporters were on the streets they should've accepted them and they should've worked to reach a common ground together. A revolution without political parties is useless. They may be traditional political parties but they are subject for a change. Thus, Thawra people should accept their presence and should accept the fact that political parties' supporters have their own opinions and beliefs. [...] Therefore, this is a big stepping stone that Thawra didn't take in hand»

- N., Independent: «I'd like to comment on what G said. Everyone was on the streets protesting against those traditional political parties because we're fed up from them. They had a lot of time to work and make a change. They had about 15 to 20 years to make some progress and to make the situation better. However, they weren't inclined for change. People were fed up from them and they were protesting against them to overthrow them so the minimum was that they will reject any form of cooperation. No negotiations between Thawra people and political parties' supporters' was going to happen. All political parties with no exceptions had several years to make a change but they didn't. We can clearly see right now where we're heading and all of it is because of them».

- G.: «N., I really do understand your point of view and where it's coming from. However, what i am trying to say is that those political parties in our conservative society where it's really hard to implement change throughout new ways without those traditional political parties. In order to raise the effectivity rate of Thawra, political parties' supporters should've been included. A change from the inside should have been made, from the heart of these political parties. Everyone in this country is facing the same daily struggles even political parties' supporters. They are living the crisis and they are jobless like Thawra people. Therefore they should've been involved in the process and negotiations should've been made between both parties»

- R., LF supporter: «Not all political parties had the chance to be in power and to implement change. In reply for some comments, I will say that Thawra is for everyone, and everyone could participate. However, you can't be part of the process and at the same time you're part of the system, part of the corrupted establishment and friends with Hezbollah who destroyed the country. If you really want to help the Thawra, you should start the change from the inside»

- J.S., Independent: «I highly disagree that anyone can join. R. gave the example of Hezbollah but it could be applied on the Lebanese Forces as well, his political party. You can't join the revolution when it's against you».

EXCERPT SERIES 2.II - DEBATING PARTY RESPONSIBILITIES

• Discussion 2

- J.I., Independent: «From the beginning, I did not have a political affiliation, and thank God, I also do not belong to any of them [the ruling political parties, n.b.A.]. I always support the national discourse and act on the basis that we are one people. The revolution did not change much in my convictions, although I pondered a little on its beginnings on the level of our future, and now it does not exist at all»

- Z.A., Hezbollah supporter: «Frankly, I have increased my confidence in my political line because I see with my own eyes that the party to which I belong is making great efforts and providing many services in order to alleviate the suffering of the people. But I admit that the existence of a strong state is better than relying on limited party services. I see that my party works for the developed country but the state does nothing»

- I., Independent: «I would like to ask my colleague Z. about the nature of the services provided by her party? We are practically deprived of all kinds of services.

- Z.A.: I see how the party provides food or medicine to the needy. As for finding jobs, they are more than the party's capacity because it is not the state, but rather secures what it can according to its capabilities

- H., Independent: «If I was a Shiite pro-Hezbollah, I might have supported it because it has weapons, protects me, and benefits from aid to distribute it to its audience. But I do not want a sectarian party to which I belong. I want to belong to a homeland. Look what is happening around us in Syria, Iraq and Yemen, and the economic conditions they are going through»

- Z.D., former Hezbollah supporter: «I support what my colleague H. said. Yes, I belonged to the Hezbollah party before, and I want to make it clear that some people think that Hezbollah helps all Shiites, and this is not true. I had a personal experience that prompted me to abandon belonging to this party. The party serves the interests of some beneficiaries, close associates and affiliates only»

- Y., Mustaqbal supporter: «It must be recognized that all the existing parties are components of the state and at the same time contribute to weakening the state, and we know who is the controlling party in this country [referred to Hezbollah, n.b.A.]»


- H.: «As Y. said, the parties are the state, and I see that they all participated in corruption, and an example of this is Hezbollah, which protected the corruption of the Free Patriotic Movement in exchange for silence on its weapons. Therefore, it can be said that everyone contributed to bringing down the state and bringing us to what we have reached today, and this is all the result of cumulative years»

2 – The students and the uprisings: triggers, perceptions, participation, and assessment of the outcomes


• Attitudes and participation

Due to the widespread dissatisfaction with the existing status quo, when the uprising broke out, most of the focus groups participants opted for taking the streets. The participation to street protests involved also most of the students with partisan sympathies who, along with sharing the overarching claims and grievances expressed by the squares, felt encouraged to take the streets by the cross-cutting composition of the occupiers.

Another major trigger for the participation of both groups was related to the effects of the incipient socio-economic crisis on their average living conditions, most notably on the growing difficulty to find employment, especially outside from politically connected networks.



Independently from the political positioning and the active participation to the mobilizations, the dominant attitude pervading students when the Uprising broke out was that of a widespread hopefulness.



EXCERPT SERIES 3.1 - FRAMINGS OF THE PARTICIPATION

«I found that the people in the Thawra are normal people not mobilized people by political parties so that's what made us feel that the Thawra represents us. That's why I went down to the streets and I participated every day in it. I saw that a lot of people that where down were thinking like me, they wanted the same things as I wanted so I found it the right place to make a change»

(R, 26, Male, Partisan/Active)

«I was with the revolution from the beginning, and I was among the first to take to the streets, thinking that all those who took to the streets were aiming for change»

(C, 21, Female, Critical/Passive)

«I support what both C. and I. said, that I supported the revolution in its beginnings and was optimistic after seeing these huge numbers of demonstrators»

(Z, 19, Female, Partisan/Passive)

«When the revolution broke down I went to the streets like everyone. We were enthusiastic and full of hope. We wanted to get rid of all the political parties. [...] Basically, we went down because we were fed up from the system»

(N., 22, Male, Critical/Passive)

«I support what my colleagues said. I used to live in a sectarian-diverse area, and they all protested at first against corruption, and this matter encouraged me to participate and take to the streets»

(A, 26, Male, Partisan/Passive)

«I participated from the second day because I did not think it had anything to do with the 6\$ fee. This fee awakened us. What made me participate is to change the situation and the political class that has been in power for 30 years»

(H., 26, Female, Critical/Active)

This positive attitude was predominantly propelled by the outstanding and non-partisan scope of the popular participation, and the resonance of the claims and grievances with their own desire for a systemic change.

Other sources of encouragement for joining street protests came from the festive atmosphere of the early days of the protest and the extensive mediatic coverage.

EXCERPT SERIES 3.1 - FRAMINGS OF THE PARTICIPATION


«At the beginning we were attracted by the ambiance, how everyone joined and our friends. At the beginning I didn't understand the revolution deeply and I wasn't aware of the main reasons for it, but after the beginning of the revolution I begin to read and to understand its reasons»

(A., 23, Female, Critical/Passive)


«At the beginning we were watching the TV all the time, and a lot of people on TV were talking about their problems their poverty about how the situation is. [...] I mean we didn't have the motivation to mobilize but when the problem was more obvious and when the media has played a role, so we really had to participate in such a revolution!»

(C., 23, Female, Neutral/Passive)

At the center of students' expectations stood the hope of an overarching systemic change, starting from the uprooting of systemic corruption and the unseating of sectarian elites from power.



The dominant forms of participation combined altogether street protests and media activism. Due to the diffused geographic scope of the protests, the choice of the squares answered predominantly to criteria of geographical proximity. Also, several students participated to more than one square. Media activism alone was adopted instead predominantly by the sample participants who, at the moment of the outbreaking, were not in Lebanon, or were prevented from joining. On the other hand, one of the sample participants quit the job to be able to physically participate to the mobilizations.



Against the outstanding initial participative upsurge, within the space of a few weeks the overwhelming majority of participants stepped back from street protests.

EXCERPT SERIES 4 - MODES OF PARTICIPATION

«Mainly Martyrs Square and Riad Solh [in Beirut city center, n.b.A], also Zouk, Jal el-Dib and Tripoli. I participated on the street and social media. I met people and we created groups not for sabotage purposes, but to hold meetings and plan ahead»

(H., 28, Female, Beirut resident)

«I participated by taking to the squares in my town of Koura where there were actions and protests in many places. Also on twitter and Facebook»

(D., 20, Male, Kura resident)

«I joined the street at “al Noor Square” [in Tripoli, n.b.A] where people were gathering»



(I., 22, Male, Dei Ammar resident)

«I am among the people who didn’t join the revolution directly, first I was a little in chock I was working and I begin to watch and follow what is happening, I decided to leave my job because in my work I was forbidden to participate in any kind of mobilization, so I decided to resign and I joined the revolution»

(W., 23, Female, Tripoli Resident)

«Honestly, I couldn’t take to the streets for certain reasons. I participated on social media and especially twitter»

(J., 21, Female, North Lebanon resident)



The refraining from squares involved for the most part the first-timers of direct participation to contentious politics, which stepped back in consistent proportions.

In the case of non-partisan students, the two main reasons expressed by participants were related to the inconsistency of the modes of mobilization, including the lack of genuine commitment of many mobilized actors, and the perceived large infiltration of political parties among the demonstrators. Violence and the state coercion also played a role. To push partisan students back was instead predominantly the hostile attitude adopted by the squares towards partisan participants and the respective political leaders, judged in turn as divisive.

EXCERPT SERIES 5 - REASONS FOR STEPPING BACK

«Unfortunately, I stopped going down the streets because at some point I felt it was leading to nowhere and it was like a play. [...] I realized after going down many times to the streets that not everyone is really inclined for change. Most of the people that were down and mainly young people, they were only there to have fun, to hop on the trend and to skip classes and not go to school. On the other hand, we had also, some people on the streets protesting that where politically affiliated to those traditional political parties that are poisoning our system which was irritating because we were going down the streets to protest against those same traditional political parties and to eradicate them since they are leading us to nowhere»

(N., 18, Female, Critical/Passive)

«I participated in the Thawra the first few days but then I realized as N. said that it was a play so I stopped. I noticed that people were getting on the streets to hop on the trend and have fun. What was a revolution turned into a party. People were getting there to party and enjoy the music played by DJs. It was a useless revolution so I stopped taking part in the protest»

(C., 20, Female, Critical/Passive)

«I know that usually revolutions are chaotic but here in Lebanon it was a clear organized chaos. It was clearly manipulated so I stopped going down. I saw politically affiliated people on the streets protesting with us, chanting beside us that they want to get rid of the political parties however ironically they were part of those parties so I was lost I didn't quite understand what was happening. Plus, I didn't like the shape of the Thawra and where it was heading. At first it was chaotic and then it turned into a concert venue. People were getting down to have fun. It was useless»

(N., 22, Male, Critical/Passive)

«However, we discovered with the passage of time that everything that happened was not true, but rather intangible slogans, and the evidence for that is the place we have now reached in terms of difficult political and economic conditions»

(I., 28, Neutral/Passive)

«With time, I began to notice how they started uploading pictures of their leaders and heads of their parties, which proved to me that all their slogans were false, until today we hope that the situation will return to before the revolution, when the situation was much better than it is today»

(Z., 19, Female, Partisan/Passive)

The massive stepping back demotivated over time also part of the minority who remained in the streets, which likewise diminished its everyday participation compared to the initial phase. On the other hand, it should be noted that out of the entirety of participants abandoning the squares, only one declared having changed his attitude and commitment towards the uprising, while most of them continued to mobilize through social media or other forms of activism.

EXCERPT SERIES 6 - CHANGING ATTITUDES AND MODES OF MOBILIZATION

«I didn't use the social media, so I joined street for a period of time but when I noticed that political parties infiltrated the streets and these parties in Lebanon with thirty years of experience they know how to change things for their own interest so when I noticed that these things are not representing me anymore I retrieved from streets, but this doesn't mean that I am not with the revolution anymore, in fact I tried to meet with people who are affiliated to political parties and I tried to convince them and to discuss with them and convince them that you are following a wrong path and we, as Lebanese people, are all having the same problems so what I tried to do is talking with these people affiliated to political parties to convince them the leave these parties and to join the revolution that represent us without the infiltration and the violence»

(W., 26, Female, Critical/Passive)

«Yes, in the beginning I was very active and enthusiastic for the revolution but after a while my participation and my passion decreased [...]. Then, when we noticed that there is infiltration from political parties I retrieved from the streets and I participated through social media and through TV shows but I didn't join the street mobilization after that. I only re-joined streets to participate in the cultural debate and talks that were organized there»

(I., 22, Male, Critical/Passive)

«My participation decreased over time. When the revolution started I used to go on a daily basis then I stopped. However, when they started doing a violent revolution I was excited a little bit to rejoin. In sum, I stopped participating because I found that the people that were there, they weren't down to fight for a cause. They were there to have fun and to enjoy their free time and to skip school or work. Plus, I was really disgusted from political parties that were protesting beside us on the streets. They were riding the wave of the revolution so all of our efforts and hard-work was useless»

(J., 22, Male, Critical/Active)

«After I stopped going down the streets, I continued my Thawra on social media and from my own political party. I never stopped protesting. I am always active on social media especially on clubhouse where I hold brief conferences and I talk about the revolution. Thawra isn't only about protesting but it's a full mindset and a lifestyle»


(R., 26, Male, Partisan/Active)

«I was surprised by the transformations that occurred in the following days, as I noticed that many people came to serve the agenda of the parties to which they belong. From there, my position on them changed and I no longer support what is happening on the ground, because change must start from within each individual first»

(C., 21, Female, Critical/Active)

«After a few days I began to feel that the divisions among the revolutionaries became clear and they no longer joined hands in their struggles And this is what turned my position to be against this revolution, which no longer represents me»

(A., 26, Male, Partisan/Passive)



The last element worth of being underlined is the large coincidence between the reasons expressed by students for stepping back from street protests, and the ones expressed by the students having welcomed the outbreak of the Uprising with skepticism or having opted for non-participation.

EXCERPT SERIES 7 - FRAMING SKEPTICISM AND NON-PARTICIPATION

«Frankly, I did not participate in the revolution, but from day one I was in favor of people taking to the streets and in favor of raising the voice against the prevailing conditions. But day after day, I began to notice that the course of the revolution began to shift towards other matters, including blocking the roads. I felt that the demonstrators had begun to divide against each other and that their goal was no longer directed against the corrupt in the state. Everyone is defending their leader, and this is what made me feel that what is happening on the ground is just wrong and untrue»

(Z., 22, Female, Partisan/Active)

«The original reason, i.e. the 6\$ fee on WhatsApp, was not a good enough reason for me to participate in the revolution. This caused the eruption of the revolution, which was politicized. This is why I did not participate, but I wanted to hear what the people had to say. At first they all had the same cries, and later, each started defending his own leader»

(N., 24, Female, Partisan/Passive)

«I didn't participate in the revolution because maybe the first 5 days in the squares it was a true revolution but when the revolution begun to cut streets in my village preventing me from going to my university from joining classes in order to get my degree and contribute positively in my country what revolution is that? A revolution that prevents people from joining their work in order to enhance the country specifically for businesses that bring dollars to the country, the revolution in Lebanon is responsible of making the crisis worse»

(M., 20, Male, Critical/Passive)

«I noticed that what was going on was different from what was going on before. I hesitated a little, fearing that we would go to what the Syrians had reached in their revolution, and I did not like the idea of cutting off roads. In short, I was in favor of the revolution, but I was afraid of going to a different place far from the goals of the revolution»


(Y., 19, Female, Partisan/Active)

This coincidence pertains also the accuse of “divisiveness” risen by partisan students against the hostile attitude adopted by the squares towards the participation of partisan elements, and the specular accusation of “infiltration” arose by non-partisans against partisan participation.

This corroborates both the assumption identifying in the lack of structuring, programming and leadership one of the main reasons for the failure of the Uprising, and the deep divisiveness sparked by the question of the entitlement of partisan elements to participate to the mobilization attested in the Excerpt series 2.II.

- Role of youth in the Uprising

All the participants to the focus groups agreed in considering educated youth the main engine of the mobilization.



According to the participants, the main value added provided by educated youth consisted in their greater awareness and capacity of critical thinking compared to the war generation, which played a pivotal role to both unveil the real nature of the Lebanese regime and ignite a virtuous snowball effect boosting participation, awareness and hope in a better future.

Several participants stressed also the importance of the everyday work of persuasion engaged by educated

youth with their partisan proxies, including parents, despite the conflicts that this engendered, testifying both the creation of a profound generational fracture between their engagement to the political and that of their parents (see *Infra*), and a commitment to change involving also the participants' private sphere.

EXCERPT SERIES 8.I - FRAMING THE ROLE OF YOUTH

"Honestly I think that the youth and students are the cornerstone of the revolution. They have higher education and cultural levels. Participation of youth was better and can induce change because we are the most harmed because of our education, work, future. They are all at risk if there is no change. This will force us to leave the country. Revolution was made for the youth as someone else said. Our parents are still traumatized from the war and fear for us. [...]. The revolution will continue with the youth".

(D., 20, Male, Critical/Passive)

"I think the revolution was made for our generation. Our parent's generation lived in divide for 30 years between the parties. To our generation, the parties are corrupt and taking without giving. And we want change so that the future generation can lead a better life and does not have to worry about the things we worry about today. We will proceed with the revolution, maybe not me, maybe others"

(N., 24, Female, Partisan/Passive)

"I think the youth had a huge impact on the revolution because we were all united and full of hope and ambition and seeking a better future and getting rid of the corrupt gov. but we were paying for a mistake that was not our making. I would like to call it a cultural revolution against segregation of sect, gender, economic background."

(N., 22, Female, Critical/Passive)

"Youth generation were a main pillar of the revolution because the most of older generation were really affiliated to the traditional regime and few of them really thought about the need to change; because we begin to influence our family our entourage our relatives and as a result they were also encouraged to participate and join the revolution"


(I., 22, Male, Critical/Passive)

"On role of students in the revolution: I highly appreciate when cultivated people participate to raise awareness and induce change and make demands, and I was hoping they would be the front of the revolution and stay in the revolution"

(M., 26, Male, Partisan/Active)

• Students' evaluation of the outcomes and shortcomings of the Uprising

When arrived to the evaluation of the outcomes of the Uprising, all the respondents agreed in identifying as main achievements the greater political awareness of citizens about the problems affecting the country, the breaking of sectarian legacies and of the wall of fear inhibiting rebellion against political leaders, and, above all, the unveiling of the real the self-serving nature of the regime, including the structural corruption.



EXCERPT SERIES 9 - IDENTIFYING THE GENERAL ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE UPRISING

“Revolution broke the barrier of fear with dominating parties on the political or security level. Now people are attacking, even if on social media. It also awakened some people about what is right and what is wrong”
(D., 20, Male, Critical/Passive)

“I think the main thing the revolution did was raise awareness. It stopped people from following their corrupt political system blindly”
(N., 22, Female, Critical/Passive)

“In my opinion, the only great achievement is that it presented awareness within our society. In fact, it shows the people how bad our situation is, it gave hope and awareness to the young adults and guiding them in order not to follow their parents' old parties. In addition to this, it made our voice heard to the international civil society and represented the true situation transparently”
(J., 18, Female, Critical/Passive)

“It [the Uprising, n.b.A] only affected the mentality. People were now more courageous they were able to criticize their own political parties on their own. People started to think critically. People became more engaged and interested in politics”
(G., 20, Male, Partisan/Active)

“The most important achievement is that a lot of people who used to be affiliated to specific political parties left these affiliations and they begin to think more objectively! So not because we are taking patronage resources from a specific politician that we should follow him: no! We must think logically and independently from any representative and from any political party”
(N., 23, Female, Partisan/Passive)

“Politicians take in consideration much more now than before the repercussion of their discourse on people, they will think twice before taking decisions they are really afraid from peoples' reactions and they are no more able to pass their own projects: everything is more controlled by the people. [...] The revolution also strengthened and gave more confidence for those who expose the files of corruption of the authority, now they have more confidence because of the public support and because of the revolution”
(A., 23, Female, Critical/Passive)

“In short, the positive thing is the quality of the people who want change. They will no longer remain silent about theft or corruption. Maybe they can't hold them accountable, but they no longer remain silent or submissive. The results cannot be judged before the elections”
(M., 26, Male, Partisan/Active)

“Achievements: The revolution allowed us to yell that we have demands though we are partisans”.
(N., 24, Female, Partisan/Passive)

Equally important, the majority of the respondents attested the fundamental role played by the Uprising in changing the political positionalities and forms of engagement to the political of their generation in a more conscious, active, and anti-systemic sense.

EXCERPT SERIES 9 - ASSESSING THE GENERATIONAL ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE UPRISING

“Revolution permitted us to increase our political awareness and enhanced our capacity to analyze the situation. Before the revolution our parents used to think that youth generation doesn’t have any political culture and that youth doesn’t really understand politics. After the revolution we are much more capable of discussing these matters and convince others so it changed our culture and permitted us to express ourselves in a better way”

(I., 22, Male, Critical/Passive)

“There is no doubt that this revolution has created a kind of awareness among the youth, and this will appear in the upcoming elections, and each of us will remember this while voting in the upcoming elections. Perhaps the revolution was the necessary thing for change and to create awareness among the people. Of course, the revolution played a major role in changing the convictions of young people”

(A., 26, Male, Partisan/Passive)

“The most important achievement is that it gave hope in a better future among Lebanon’s youth regardless of my religion, sect, faith. I am a believer but this should not affect how the state is run”

(A., 21, Male, Partisan/Active)

“To me, it affected me by not accepting corruption and seeing something wrong. If my children, ask me why I did not change I can tell them we tried at least. It did not change much on the ground, but at least the students’ actions are taken into account now, and many are interfering in the student elections because they constitute the future of the parties. Many students were arrested because of their activities”

(M., 26, Male, Partisan/Active)

“Its effect is positive in terms of giving us a dose of hope towards change. I am well aware that change will start with us, the youth, and without the youth, no change will happen. I hold foreign citizenship and I can leave this country whenever I want, but I will never do that because I believe in my right to live in this country”

[...]


“There is no doubt that our political convictions have changed after the revolution, and we know that every political component in this country bears a primary responsibility for what we have achieved. This only increases our determination to work for change, especially after we discovered their corruption and affair. We no longer have this blind dependence towards any of them, and we have become convinced that any of these parties is innocent and different from the others”

(I., 28, Male, Neutral/Passive)

“I am not sure about the degree of awareness that the revolution brought about among other young people, but there is no doubt that it left something behind, especially after the recent developments and the explosion of the port of Beirut and all the calamities that we have experienced. But certainly there is a group of people who are still in their place in terms of their political alignment”

(C., 21, Female, Critical/Passive)

In this respect, it is worth underlining that several participants with partisan sympathies before the Uprising have openly declared to have changed their political orientation.



EXCERPT SERIES 9.I - FRAMING THE CHANGING POLITICAL POSITIONALITIES

“Of course, my political convictions changed after this revolution. We have seen many painful scenes of poor and old people searching for their livelihood and their medicine. At the youth level, they are unable to find jobs or realize their dreams of building a family. It all leads me to give up all parties and everyone should do it. On a personal level, I had a political affiliation before the revolution and I gave it up”

(Z., 19, Female, Partisan/Passive)

“Frankly, I have increased my confidence in my political line because I see with my own eyes that the party to which I belong is making great efforts and providing many services in order to alleviate the suffering of the people. But I admit that the existence of a strong state is better than relying on limited party services. I see that my party works for the developed country but the state does nothing”

(Z., 22, Female, Partisan/Active)


“Of course, the revolution played a major role in changing the convictions of young people. Yes, I changed my way of thinking and the issue of my affiliation, which should be to my state and not to any party. I am no longer that partisan of his party, and I am no longer provoked by criticism of Hezbollah, as I used to be. There is no doubt that the revolution gave us a dose of hope, and the new generation began to change and change. We are really tired and it is time for a change”

(A., 26, Male, Partisan/Passive)

“Before the revolution I wasn’t completely belonging to a specific party but I was following a specific party, but after joining the revolution we begin to discuss, to read and to open up to other political parties, to see what they accomplished, so of course I have dramatically moved away from politics in many ways but at the same time, politics has become much closer to me the revolution permitted me to hear to read to understand more I also understand much more about economics of course”

(N., 23, Female, Partisan/Passive)

Two further generational implications strongly emphasized by respondents included the emancipation from the familial political legacies and the education to say “no”.



EXCERPT SERIES 9.II - ASSESSING THE GENERATIONAL ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE UPRISING

“Because of the revolution we learned to say “No”. It encouraged us to express ourselves using the “NO” word. Many among us maybe not the majority but many among us were very influenced by their parent’s opinion and affiliations. So, the revolution was a new platform where we were able to say no we have our own ideas and our parents do not represent us they do not think in the same way, we are a different generation! so to learn how to say No is very important specially with this government, we should always say no for this government, they tried to teach us to say Yes like our parents but we learned during the revolution that we should say No for everything that is corrupted and doesn’t represent us”

(W., 26, Female, Critical/Passive)

“In my opinion, the only great achievement is that it presented awareness within our society. In fact, it shows the people how bad our situation is, it gave hope and awareness to the young adults and guiding them in order not to follow their parents’ old parties”

(J., 18, Female, Critical/Passive)

“We see now much more than before the new freedom that people have! A new mindset away from sectarianism that used to prevail Lebanese mindset. Also, maybe we are still young to run for election, but of course we have a different mindset in comparison with those who used to vote during the last thirty years.

[...] we won’t vote anymore for those from our sectarian communities that our parents used to vote for, instead we will vote for people whose ideas reflect our own ones”

(C., 23, Female, Neutral/Passive)

“Now they [sectarian elites, n.b.A.] are not even able to control us, so you will still maybe see some people who have affiliation to specific parties among this generation but of course this will be inexistent for the future generations [...] Our generation and future generations won’t be as conformist as our parents’ generation. Given the civil war context, maybe they were in a situation where they were obliged to follow a specific sectarian party, but we are a new generation. We saw that political/sectarian parties brought damage for the country, so I don’t think that youngest generation will have this affiliation to political parties as their parents do”

(A., 23, Female, Critical/Passive)

“We were all politicized at home. Shortly before the revolution, I decided there should be no partisan divide in side the home. After the revolution, I became ashamed to say I supported this or that party”

(N., 24, Female, Partisan/Passive)

For these reasons, while the overwhelming majority of respondents recognized the blatant failure of the Uprising in effectively pursuing the political goals that it had set at the moment of its outbreak, the overall assessment of its political impact remains – albeit with different shades of pessimism, optimism and pragmatism from one respondent to another – more positive than negative.

EXCERPT SERIES 10 - ASSESSING THE SHORTCOMINGS OF THE UPRISING

"I found a lot of failures in the revolution. The whole point of the revolution was to overthrow everyone in power but after it we still have them in the system. It's like a game. We didn't have a concrete solution to everything"
(C., 20, Female, Critical/Passive)

"I will talk a little bit about the failures. We saw the creation of new political organizations and new political parties from the revolution. However, most of them were created by the traditional political parties. So traditional political parties are trying to infiltrate the revolution by creating new political parties so they can have a longer lifespan. Another failure for me that emerged from the Revolution was the radicalism that is found in the new political parties. Radicalism leads us to nowhere. You can't generalize that everyone is bad. You can't say that we have to eradicate every political party. We should accept them"
(R., 26, Male, Partisan/Active)


"In reality we still have a lot of political cleavages that divide the Lebanese people into many political interests which results into many political conflicts. Even though we saw a united front but deep down we are divided into many sects. At the end of the day we will have most of the people that will act for the interest of their confessional group. We can clearly see a lot of people that are still till this day applying the agendas of those confessional groups. We are still shifting our loyalties to those confessional groups instead of destroying them. That is mainly destroying Lebanon. It created a hole in the system. I don't think there is a big achievement that was made. If you dig deep in the situation and analyze it there isn't an effective change"
(N., 18, Female, Critical/Passive)

"To be pragmatic and realistic at the same time, the revolution didn't achieve much on a governmental and political level. [...] However, achievements should be also made on a political level. We have to wait for the next elections to see some improvements and to find out if the revolution really achieved something"
(G., 20, Male, Partisan/Active)

"The Thawra failures are related to its instability, disunity, and the lack of awareness. In fact, the Thawra was unstable for a while, with each event we hear nowadays that the Thawra is back but actually nothing is truly happening. In addition to this, there is a lack of awareness and some ignorance without a clear planification, that's why PM Saad Hariri for instance was designated all over again, seems like the Thawra did not change anything. Also, the Thawra failed to pick a leader, each person wanted something regarding their needs. Some wanted coup d'état, others wanted the government's resignation, etc..."
(J., 18, Critical/Passive)

"There were many failures and the revolution did not achieve anything. But we still have the idea that many took to the streets. If only they stayed and were truthful. The circumstances in the last 1.5 years revealed we are hypocrites and we hate each other. Prices rose with the dollar, we don't love each other, we monopolize medicine, we are criminals like the rulers we have chosen. 90% will choose the same people again"
(H., 28, Female, Critical/Active)

OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE OUTCOMES OF THE UPRISING



EXCERPT SERIES 11 - DRAWING THE FINAL ASSESSMENT OF THE UPRISING

"Although we have a little bit of achievements, the failures are way more than the achievements. It has been the biggest disappointment to the youth. A lot could have been achieved and could be achieved but the revolution isn't going in the right path. It should be a lot more organized. A lot of actions should be taken to take a step forward. It failed to talk about solutions for current problems and it failed to attract the youth that are politically affiliated to it"

(G., 20, Male, Partisan/Active)

"The revolution did not fail because its positive points were more than its negative points. But the stay of the corrupt politicians in parliament is a setback. But this needs time.... After two rounds, the youth might elect different people"

(A., 21, Male, Partisan/Active)

"I see that there have been changes, among the most important of these changes is the cancelation of the Bisri Valley dam, the revolution was able to put pressure and resulted in cancelling this project knowing that the regime was very supporting for this project so despite the fact that the project was a pure corruption it represent a great waste of money and with bad environmental impact but at the end the revolution succeeded and led to the project cancelation and this was possible through the pressure so I think that now it is possible by going back to street we can put pressure on the interior minister to lift immunity for officials, besides the election of Melhem Khalaf, an independent person, as president of the lawyers' syndicate so we were able in some way to make changes. Of course, this change is not as big as the amount of corruption but we are all able to change if we believe and work for it but how much are we able to be perseverant. This relies on the people"

(W., 26, Female, Critical/Passive)

"First of all, I do not like to use the term failure. The revolution did not fail despite the reservations. We cannot blame the people because the political system is controlling everything, from the smallest institution. All are held by the parties. In addition, securing medicine has become a priority for example. A positive point is that no one is afraid to talk, and the engineers' elections achieved a great result. I did not go to media school at Lebanese University because it is controlled by a certain side, while today, they have a secularist club. This is very important. People have also learned their rights. No one can offer you a service. You know this is your right. In 30 years, the militias have learned how to control everything. The fact that the revolution erupted is an accomplishment in itself"

(A., 23, Male, Partisan/Active)

"We didn't see any kind of change, and this is why many Armenian people went back to Armenia. Lebanon was our main land and Armenia came second. But because of this, more than 20,000 Armenians came to Armenia from Lebanon"


(P., 19, Female, Critical/Passive, Armenian)

"True the people took to the streets to demand their rights, but the revolution did not achieve anything"

(T., 24, F., Critical/Passive)

**3 – Students after the Uprising:
current attitudes towards politics
and political change**

Two years ahead from the Uprising, the net majority of focus groups respondents still believe in the possibility of change and the prominent role that youth can play in it, despite the numerous political and economic shortcomings that the country has been experiencing.



EXCERPT SERIES 12.I - FRAMING THE ATTITUDES TOWARDS CHANGE

"Although I did not participate in the revolution, I am very hopeful there will be change in the elections because we cannot go backward or continue living in the current situation: no medicines, no electricity, no water. From all the demands we made during the revolution, now we are hoping for four hours of electricity. By the next elections, we will be the ones voting, regardless of what our parents think. We want to get our voices across and demand our rights"

(N., 24, Female, Partisan/Passive)

"I don't think there is room for change. The people that are making the decisions do not want change for now at least. I hope there will be change and that people who are worthy will rise. Impact: all of us are seeking immigration right now and none of us is thinking about a future in Lebanon is they want to be successful"

(N., 22, Female, Critical/Passive)

"If in these difficult times we cannot induce change, when will we?"

(D., 20, Male, Critical/Passive)

"I see that change is very difficult in Lebanon through the revolution to change the reality of which we caused a large part. We have to work on creating awareness for the coming years so that we can bring about gradual change through parliamentary elections and other things by bringing some secular candidates to their knowledge to make some change"

(H., 27, Male, Critical/Passive)

"Change needs time, it's not in one or two or five years that we can implement changes. It has been only two years since the outbreak of the revolution so we cannot expect the change to be on the spot we need to implement some reforms in order to get changes in the future. This system exists since thirty years and led to the current crisis"

(M., 20, Male, Critical/Passive)

"I believe that change is process, a long process that may not be soon. However, this change is not impossible, maybe not during these elections since some people are still following their leaders and old traditional political parties, without neglecting the people who gave up on them. Hope is here, change is possible but not anytime soon"


(J., 18, Female, Critical/Passive)

"There will be change, but for better or worse? No one can answer that until before the elections. We adapt to our crises. Today the dollar is 23,000 and we are fine. Had the elections been held after august 4, not one bloc would have remained in parliament. If they give us little things, by then, people will reelect them"

(M., 26, Male, Partisan/Active)

The main variation pertained rather the way in which the road for change is now predominantly conceived, with a clear shift from the initial revolutionary utopias to a more pragmatic and long-term-projected electoral gradualism.

MEANS TO ACHIEVE CHANGE



EXCERPT SERIES 12.II - FRAMING THE ROAD TO ACHIEVE CHANGE

"In regard to the elections, we might infiltrate the council through non-partisan figures with 10-15 figures who have a program, not just in civil society by name"

(D., 20, Male, Critical/Passive)

"I consider that regardless of all the bad things we are living. The situation will not remain as it is today. Settlements and changes are affecting regional powers and Lebanon is naturally impacted. These new alliances and powers will have positive repercussions on Lebanon because its collapse is in no one's interest. In regard of the elections, we will see how the people will act then"

(J., 21, Female, Critical/Passive)

"I see that change is very difficult in Lebanon through the revolution to change the reality of which we caused a large part. We have to work on creating awareness for the coming years so that we can bring about gradual change through parliamentary elections and other things by bringing some secular candidates to their knowledge to make some change"

(H., 27, Male, Critical/Active)

"There is no room for change in the elections. First, we need to see if the election will be held at the first place! Most probably the regime will try to postpone it. And I already mentioned that it is more the foreign forces and not local forces that may influence for change in Lebanon. I don't see that the elections will bring other people than those from the current regime"

(T., 23, Male, Not expressed)

"I think that there may be changes in the deputies during the next elections, but most importantly what we should try to change is those in the state positions in judiciary because they have a state inside the state and it is those people who have the most important influence over the state"

(I., 22, Critical/Passive)

"We discovered that change needs work, persistence and perseverance and we need to stick to these demands of change because if we do not have this we won't be able to make big difference"

(W., 26, Female, Critical/Passive)

EXCERPT SERIES 12.III - ENHANCING HOPES FOR CHANGE

"I still have hope even small hope and the proof is the change that is happening in the election at the union level, lawyers, engineers, doctors syndicate, so I think that there is hope of change in that matter"

(M., 23, Female, Not expressed)

"The engineers' elections achieved a great result. I did not go to media school at Lebanese University because it is controlled by a certain side, while today, they have a secularist club. This is very important"

(A., 23, Male, Partisan/Active)

"There is no hope in seeing change. But I don't know why I regained hope during the last few days, through the families of the August 4 martyrs with our help. In the elections, we must wait to see if those who took to the streets and in light of the humiliation we are living, if people will become more aware"

(H., 28, Female, Critical/Active)

Both phenomena have been encouraged by the successful performances scored by independent candidates and coalitions in the elections of several professional union bodies, the last one unfolding concurrently with realization of focus groups. The victory of independent candidates in the 2020 university elections also boosted optimism, despite none of the three partner institutions held its own ones.

It should also be noted that throughout this period the anti-systemic positions of a consistent portion of the sample have further radicalized. To trigger the radicalization have been the

persistence of the governance and socio-economic shortcomings which had already ignited the Uprising and, above all, the dramatic Beirut Port explosion of August 4, 2020, which was seen by most of the respondents as the latest, murderous outcome of the system's corruption. Last but not least, the necessity of a change in pace in terms of policies and ruling class was remarked also by partisan students who remained firm in their political positioning.

EXCERPT SERIES 13 - FRAMING RADICALIZATION

"I always opposed them all [sectarian ruling elites, n.b.A] because the political system did not represent or serve me. After August 4, this turned into hatred on the street and on social media. I am also talking about all those who stood against us, i.e. the security forces, the army, the parliament guard. They were supposed to protect us"

(H., 28, Female, Critical/Active)

"After the revolution, I started perceiving it [sectarian ruling elites, n.b.A.] in an even worse way, based on the way they dealt with the demonstrators, how they detonated Lebanon. I felt less respect for them and became spiteful because they killed us"

(A., 23, Male, Partisan/Active)

"After the revolution and August 4, I became more disgusted, because not only were they [sectarian ruling elites, n.b.A] collecting money and stripping us of our most basic rights, but they were also killing us. The officials are persecuting their people and starving them"

(D., 20, Male, Critical/Passive)

Conclusions and concluding remarks

According to the findings emerged from our focus groups, despite the limited immediate political achievements, the October 17 Uprising has marked a crucial breakthrough in the political attitudes and the modes of engagement to the political of the post-war generation. In particular, against the backdrop of a pre-existing widespread dissatisfaction for the status quo molding unexpressed and unsocialized, the Uprising acted as a detonator and a major forge to exit passive quiescence and propel cross-fertilizing dynamics enhancing political awareness, maturity, and a large-scale reassessment of their political orientations towards active, anti-systemic positions. This double shift has walked hand in hand with a broader transgressive dislocation from the discursive and symbolic legacies whereby sectarian elites had built up a consistent part of their hegemony, most notably with their parents' generation. Both trends have been further enhanced by the post-Uprising return to power of sectarian elites and their incumbents, the dramatic peaking of the economic crisis and, above all, the Beirut Port explosion of August 4, 2020 which, for the majority of students who had taken the streets, has played a prominent radicalizing (or re-radicalizing) role. Last but not least, the disenchantment towards the early revolutionary utopias left room to a more pragmatic electoral and long-term approach to political change, alimanted by the positive results scored by opposition groups in the renewal of directive bodies of several professional associations (El-Kak 2021).

The combination of these findings with the results of the round of student elections hold in 2020 offer altogether enough elements to assert that, while the revolutionary ambitions of the October momentum revealed ephemeral, the revolution has propelled among educated youth a process of dislocation from the dominant political structures based on sectarian patronage endowed with the potential to make them a prominent site of transformative counter-hegemony.

In this respect, the upcoming 2022 elections will represent altogether a moment of truth and a crossroad of crucial importance. A major role in this sense will be played by the capability of the emerging opposition parties to exploit the window of opportunity of the electoral campaign to activate virtuous constituent and participatory practices able to canalize the widespread, yet still atomized dissent against the political status quo towards a concrete and viable alternative agenda. In particular, before than the scope of the bailout victories *per se*, the bulk of the game

for the long-term capitalization of educated youth's propensity to change will revolve around the capacity of opposition groups to define a counter-hegemonic political project perceived as solid and realistic enough to generate hope and commitment beyond the electoral moment. As a result of the awareness and pragmatic shift triggered by the Uprising, in fact, students are well aware of the structural, political and material constraints hindering the affirmation of opposition forces. At the same time, while for most of them a "return to sectarianism" does not represent an option, the lower predisposition to blindly follow alternative political projects lacking of concrete and clear goals, might realistically run the risk to be conducive to a widespread return to a disillusioned quietism insofar as the opposition forces will fail to present candidates, projects, and imaginaries resonating with their expectations.

The second and most important variable is represented by the short and mid-term evolution of the economic crisis. Currently, Lebanon is caught in a dramatic brain drain, within the broader framework of a sustained emigratory wave triggered by the harshening of the crisis. Although detailed statistics are lacking, a glimpse of the extent of the phenomenon can be deduced by a number of collateral disaggregated data on migration published in the past year. Again in 2020, for instance, about 70% of Lebanese youth expressed the intention to emigrate abroad in search for better economic and living conditions, with a turnout higher than Libya and Yemen, and the highest in the region (ASDAA - BCW 2020). Since the sole spring 2021, the daily average number of passports issued or renovated by the Lebanese General Security has reached quota 6000 (Antonios 2021), and about 40% of specialized doctors has left the country (WHO 2021). These data are particularly alarming, for the depth of the crisis and its durability drastically reduce the margins of return for young and skilled adults finding abroad a stable professional position. Equally important, the rapid pauperization of the middle classes increases the vulnerability of educated youth against nepotistic or clientelistic practices. Therefore, to lose the window of opportunity of the 2022 elections might concretely trigger a hardly recuperable setback against the advancements scored by the Uprising.

Bibliography

- ASDAA – BCW. 2020. "Arab Youth Survey – 12th Annual Edition 2020". <https://www.arabyouthsurvey.com/findings.html>
- Antonios, Zeina. 2021. "Lebanese eager to leave the country line up at General Security". *L'Orient – Today*. September 22, 2021. <https://today.lorientlejour.com/article/1275573/lebanese-eager-to-leave-the-country-line-up-at-general-security.html>
- El-Kak, Nadim. 2021. "Alternative Labor Unions in Lebanon: Comparative Reflections and Lessons". *Lebanese Center for Policy Studies*. <https://www.lcps-lebanon.org/featuredArticle.php?id=342>
- Onwuegbuzie, A. J., Dickinson, W. B., Leech, N. L., & Zoran, A. G. 2009. "A Qualitative Framework for Collecting and Analyzing Data in Focus Group Research". *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1177/160940690900800301>
- World Health Organization. 2021. "Remarks by the WHO Representative in Lebanon at WHO's press briefing on Lebanon and Afghanistan". WHO – Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean. September 23, 2021. <http://www.emro.who.int/countries/lbn/index.html>